

ATTACHMENT C

PUBLIC CONSULTATION SUMMARY & LAND USE CONCURRENCE REQUEST



SitePath Consulting Ltd. Representing
 Rogers Communications Inc.
 PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO
 Fairview BC V5Z 0C1

778-870-1388 Telephone
 604 829 6424 Fax
 brianregg@sitepathconsulting.com

March 28th, 2024

City of Nanaimo

C/O Lainya Rowett, Manager of Current Planning and Subdivision
 Email: Lainya.Rowett@nanaimo.ca

Re: Rogers Request for Land Use Concurrence Regarding a Proposed Communication Site Location at 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo, BC V9V 1T7 (Rogers File: W5729 - Woodgrove Centre)

Dear Ms. Rowett:

Further to our prior discussions, Rogers Communications Inc. ("Rogers") is expanding its wireless network and is proposing a new 31.0 meter tall monopole tower at 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo ("Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre") to improve connectivity in the surrounding growing commercial and residential areas as well as along Island Highway N and adjacent roadways. The subject property is a large 5.98 acre lot and is zoned CC4-North Nanaimo Urban Centre zone. The proposed tower siting in the northwest corner of the subject commercial property will mitigate impacts to the ongoing use of the property while enabling Rogers to comply with municipal and Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTT) setback requirements. Additionally, Rogers is proposing a slim monopole structure with flush-mounted antennas thereby minimizing view impacts to the extent feasible. This proposal represents critical infrastructure given that greater than eighty percent (80%) of calls to emergency responders including 911 are now placed via cell phones.

In order to move forward with the proposed tower, Rogers was required to consult the Nanaimo community in accordance with the Innovation, Science and Economic Development (ISED) Canada Default Consultation Process. This process entailed the completion of a public notice in a local newspaper, a mail-out to area property owners and occupants within a radius of three times the proposed tower height and concludes with a request for a letter of land use concurrence. A copy of the public consultation package and newspaper notices are enclosed for your reference (*refer to Appendix A and B*).

During the consultation process, Rogers received input from thirteen (13) commenters (*refer to Appendix C*). Of the thirteen (13) sets of comments received, nine (9) indicated support for the proposal, two (2) indicated concerns or opposition and one (1) asked questions but did not state a position of support or non-support. The primary reason for supporting the proposal pertained to a desire for better connectivity for emergency response services and in support of public safety. The owners of the adjacent apartment expressed a desire for Rogers to retain a partial landscape buffer, to ensure quiet operation of the equipment and to develop a high quality design that will minimize impacts on their adjacent residential use. Rogers will be pleased to work with the City of Nanaimo to ensure that the final design and construction plan takes into account input received from local constituents and the municipality's preferences.

Given that the proposal received broad support from local stakeholders, Rogers respectfully requests a motion or letter of land use concurrence that addresses the following items:

- *That the City of Nanaimo is satisfied with Rogers' consultation process, as outlined in ISED's Default Public Consultation Process;*
- *That the proposed tower is a permitted use;*
- *That the proposed design and location is acceptable;*
- *That the City of Nanaimo has been consulted and concurs with the tower location.*

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Thank you,

Brian Gregg

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP | SitePath Consulting Ltd.

Agent for Rogers Communications Inc.



PUBLIC CONSULTATION – REVISED PROPOSAL
CONSULTATION DU PUBLIC - PROPOSITION RÉVISÉE

February 2nd, 2024

Dear sir or madam,

Rogers Communications Inc. ("Rogers") is expanding its wireless network and would like to share with you its proposal for Nanaimo. Specifically, Rogers is proposing a new 31.0 meter tall monopole tower at 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo (Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre) to improve connectivity in the surrounding commercial and residential areas as well as along Island Highway N and adjacent roadways.

We are consulting residents and businesses of this area regarding this proposed project. Details of this project are included in the present notification file. We invite you to take note of the proposed project and to provide us with any questions or comments in writing by **close of business day on March 18th, 2024**, after which we will answer your concerns. You will then have the opportunity to submit further comments if you require additional clarifications.

Madame, Monsieur,

Rogers Communications Inc. (« Rogers ») étend son réseau sans fil et aimerait partager avec vous sa proposition pour Nanaimo. Plus précisément, Rogers propose une nouvelle tour monopôle de 31,0 mètres de hauteur au 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, à Nanaimo (centre commercial Woodgrove Crossing), afin d'améliorer la connectivité dans les zones commerciales et résidentielles environnantes ainsi que le long de l'Island Highway N et des routes adjacentes.

Nous consultons les résidents et les entreprises de ce secteur concernant ce projet proposé. Les détails de ce projet sont inclus dans le présent dossier de notification. Nous vous invitons à prendre note du projet proposé et à nous faire part de vos questions ou commentaires par écrit avant **la fermeture des bureaux le 18 mars 2024**, après quoi nous répondrons à vos préoccupations. Vous aurez ensuite la possibilité de soumettre d'autres commentaires si vous avez besoin de précisions supplémentaires.

Please send your comments or questions by mail or email to:

Veuillez adresser vos questions/commentaires par la poste ou par courriel à :

Contact Information for Rogers' Representative:

Reference: W5729 – Woodgrove Centre

Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd., Land Use Consultant

Address / Adresse: PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Tel: 778-870-1388

Email: brianregg@sitepathconsulting.com

PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

Proposed Wireless Telecommunications Installation

1. Purpose of the Proposed Installation

Rogers is proposing to build a new wireless communications installation in Nanaimo on a large 5.98 acre property with the following legal description and parcel identifier (PID) number: LOT A DISTRICT LOT 26 WELLINGTON DISTRICT PLAN VIP63639, PID: 023-483-610 (the “**Proposed Installation**”). Rogers’ objective is to improve wireless coverage in the surrounding commercial and residential areas as well as along Island Highway N and adjacent roadways. Installing this infrastructure in this rapidly growing mixed use area will be critical to meet rising demands for wireless services. Additionally, the proposed facility will be critical for public safety given that greater than 80% of calls to emergency responder are now placed via wireless devices. The Proposed Installation will resolve existing coverage gaps in Nanaimo and maintain our commitment to provide fast and reliable cellular service, both indoors and outdoors.

2. Evaluation of Existing Structures and Site Selection

Before proposing a new telecommunication infrastructure, Rogers reviews any existing structure or building for colocation opportunities. There are no structures of a suitable height or location within a 1 kilometer radius of Rogers’ intended service area and therefore co-location is not viable.

Since Rogers could not install its equipment on any existing structures, we identified the proposed location, in a CC4-North Nanaimo Urban Centre zone, as the site of least impact to the community, while enabling Rogers to meet the desired cellular coverage goals and City of Nanaimo land use requirements.

Furthermore, Rogers accepts to receive and review any colocation and tower sharing requests made by other licensed carriers.

3. Details of the Proposed Installation

Legal Description:	LOT A DISTRICT LOT 26 WELLINGTON DISTRICT PLAN VIP63639
PID #:	023-483-610
Geographic coordinates:	49.240320, -124.0561713
Location on the lot:	The site is located on the north west side of the subject lot adjacent to Island Highway N.
Zoning:	Property is located in a CC4-North Nanaimo Urban Centre zone as referenced in the City of Nanaimo Zoning Bylaw. The City of Nanaimo has not adopted a tower siting bylaw regarding the installation of towers in this zone.
Land use requirements:	Rogers will work with City of Nanaimo staff to ensure alignment with any applicable requirements stated in the City of Nanaimo Zoning Bylaw for the CC4-North Nanaimo Urban Centre zone.

4. Description of the Proposed Antenna System

Type of tower and details: Rogers is proposing a monopole tower structure within an approximately 10.0 meter by 10.0 meter fenced compound.

Height: The tower will be 30.0 meter tall with a 1.0 meter tall lightning rod for a total structure height of 31.0 meters.

Details: An equipment compound will also be installed at the base of the proposed tower and the entire site will be surrounded by a security fence with a locked gated access point. A power line will be installed to service the tower site and it will be underground.

Rogers will install the following equipment on the proposed tower. Please note that the height of the antennas may vary slightly but the overall scale will be respected. Additionally, please note that the equipment is subject to change in the future.

Model	Number of antennas	Approximate Dimensions in mm (Length x Width x Depth)	Height in Tower (m)	Technology (4G or 5G)
FFV4S4-65C-R7-V2	6	2000 X 498 X 197	29.9 and 26.35	4G
GPSGLONASS-36-N-S	1	685 mm diameter	5.0	GPS

5. Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements and land use specifications

At this time, Rogers has not received any aviation obstruction lighting or clearance specifications from Transport Canada nor from NAV CANADA for the land-use of proposed project. Nevertheless, Rogers believes that the proposed tower will include the following day lighting: one flashing white Type CL-865 beacon (20,000 candela) at the top (no tower paint) whereas the night lighting will include one flashing red Type CL-864 beacon (2,000 candela) at the top and 2 steady burning red CL-810 DOLs (32.5 candela) at mid-point, pursuant to Standard 621 - Obstruction Marking and Lighting - Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARs). Should this information not be accurate, Rogers will inform residents. For additional information: [NAV CANADA Land Use Program](#)

<https://tc.canada.ca/en/corporate-services/acts-regulations/list-regulations/canadian-aviation-regulations-sor-96-433/standards/standard-621-obstruction-marking-lighting-canadian-aviation-regulations-cars>

6. Respect of engineering ethics and code of practice

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the structural standards contained in CSA S37-18 (Canadian Standard Association), and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

7. Health Canada's Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.

Additional information from the Government of Canada and from other credible sources:

<http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf11467.html>

<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/radiation/understanding-safety-code-6.html>

<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/health-risks-safety/radiation/everyday-things-emit-radiation/cell-phones-towers.html>

<https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/radiation-5g-mobile-networks-and-health>

8. Environmental assessment - Impact Assessment Act

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment. Detailed information on the Impact Assessment Act (S.C. 2019, c. 28, s. 1) can be found at:

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/l-2.75/page-1.html>

9. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada's Regulatory Framework

The telecommunications industry is exclusively regulated under the Federal Radiocommunication Act and administered by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada. It has established a clear set of rules that wireless carriers must follow when looking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (*Client Procedures Circulars* - CPC 2-0-03, Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems).

Furthermore, Rogers must consult with representatives of the City of Nanaimo, as the Land-Use Authority, and refer to their applicable local land-use and consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. General information relating to antenna systems is available on ISED's website: <https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/spectrum-management-telecommunications/en/safety-and-compliance/facts-about-towers>

10. Public Consultation and Local Processes

Since the City of Nanaimo does not have its own antenna tower siting protocol, Rogers is required to follow ISED Canada's default public consultation process. We invite citizens to refer to the link for details relating to the public consultation process:

<https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/spectrum-management-telecommunications/en/learn-more/key-documents/procedures/client-procedures-circulars-cpc/cpc-2-0-03-radiocommunication-and-broadcasting-antenna-systems>

DOSSIER DE NOTIFICATION

Projet d'implantation d'un nouveau site de télécommunication

1. Justification du projet proposé

Rogers propose de construire une nouvelle installation de communications sans fil à Nanaimo sur une grande propriété de 5,98 acres portant la description légale et le numéro d'identification de parcelle (PID) suivants : LOT A DISTRICT LOT 26 WELLINGTON DISTRICT PLAN VIP63639, PID : 023-483-610 (le « Installation proposée »). L'objectif de Rogers est d'améliorer la couverture sans fil dans les zones commerciales et résidentielles environnantes ainsi que le long de l'Island Highway N et des routes adjacentes. L'installation de cette infrastructure dans cette zone à usage mixte en croissance rapide sera essentielle pour répondre à la demande croissante de services sans fil. De plus, l'installation proposée sera essentielle pour la sécurité publique étant donné que plus de 80 % des appels aux secouristes sont désormais passés via des appareils sans fil. L'installation proposée résoudra les lacunes de couverture existantes à Nanaimo et maintiendra notre engagement à fournir un service cellulaire rapide et fiable, tant à l'intérieur qu'à l'extérieur.

2. Évaluation des structures existantes et le choix de l'emplacement

Avant de proposer une nouvelle infrastructure de télécommunications, Rogers examine toute structure ou bâtiment existant pour détecter les possibilités de colocalisation. Il n'existe aucune structure d'une hauteur ou d'un emplacement approprié dans un rayon de 1 kilomètre de la zone de service prévue de Rogers et, par conséquent, la co-implantation n'est pas viable.

Puisque Rogers ne pouvait installer son équipement sur aucune structure existante, nous avons identifié l'emplacement proposé, dans une zone du centre urbain CC4-Nord de Nanaimo, comme étant le site ayant le moins d'impact sur la communauté, tout en permettant à Rogers d'atteindre les objectifs de couverture cellulaire souhaités et les objectifs de la ville. des exigences d'utilisation des terres de Nanaimo.

De plus, Rogers accepte de recevoir et d'examiner toute demande de colocation et de partage de tour faite par d'autres opérateurs agréés.

3. Description du système d'antennes proposé

Description légale:	LOT A DISTRICT LOT 26 WELLINGTON DISTRICT PLAN VIP63639
Numéro du lot :	023-483-610
Coord. géographiques :	49.240320, -124.0561713
Emplacement sur le site :	Le site est situé du côté nord-ouest du lot en question, adjacent à la route de l'Île N.
Zonage :	La propriété est située dans une zone CC4-Centre urbain de Nanaimo Nord, comme indiqué dans le règlement de zonage de la ville de Nanaimo. La Ville de Nanaimo n'a pas adopté de règlement sur l'emplacement des tours concernant l'installation de tours dans cette zone.

Utilisation du sol : Rogers travaillera avec le personnel de la ville de Nanaimo pour assurer l'alignement avec toutes les exigences applicables énoncées dans le règlement de zonage de la ville de Nanaimo pour la zone CC4-Centre urbain de Nanaimo Nord.

4. Description des équipements et des ouvrages proposés

Type de tour et détails : Rogers propose une structure de tour monopôle dans un complexe clôturé d'environ 10,0 mètres sur 10,0 mètres.

Hauteur : La tour mesurera 30,0 mètres de haut avec un paratonnerre de 1,0 mètres de haut pour une hauteur totale de structure de 31,0 mètres.

Autres détails : Un complexe d'équipement sera également installé à la base de la tour proposée et l'ensemble du site sera entouré d'une clôture de sécurité avec un point d'accès verrouillé. Une ligne électrique sera installée pour desservir le site de la tour et elle sera souterraine.

Rogers installera l'équipement suivant sur la tour proposée. Attention, la hauteur des antennes peut varier légèrement mais l'échelle globale sera respectée. De plus, veuillez noter que l'équipement est susceptible de changer à l'avenir.

Model	Nombre d'antennes	Dimensions approximatives en mm (Longueur x Largeur x Profondeur)	Hauteur en La tour (m)	Technology (4G or 5G)
FFV4S4-65C-R7-V2	6	2000 X 498 X 197	29.9 and 26.35	4G
GPSGLONASS-36-N-S	1	685 mm de diamètre	5.0	GPS

5. Exigences en balisage d'obstacle aérien et pour l'utilisation des terrains

À ce jour, Rogers n'a pas reçu les exigences de Transports Canada en matière de balisage d'obstacle aérien ni celles de NAV Canada concernant l'utilisation du terrain. Néanmoins, Rogers estime que la tour proposée (sans peinture), inclura les formes d'éclairages suivantes : un phare clignotant blanc de type CL-865 (20 000 chandelles) au sommet de la tour pour le jour, un phare clignotant rouge de type CL-864 (2 000 chandelles) au sommet de la tour pour la nuit et deux phares permanents rouges CL-810 DOL (32,5 chandelles) à mi-hauteur de la tour pour la nuit, et ce, selon les spécifications de la Norme 621 - Balisage et l'éclairage des obstacles - Règlement de l'aviation canadien (RAC). Si ces spécifications sont inexactes, des informations supplémentaires vous seront fournies. Pour plus de détails, veuillez consulter :

www.navcanada.ca/fr/information-aeronautique/programme-dutilisation-de-terrains.aspx

<https://tc.canada.ca/fr/services-generaux/lois-reglements/liste-reglements/reglement-aviation-canadien-dors-96-433/normes/norme-621-balisage-eclairage-obstacles-reglement-aviation-canadien-rac>

6. Respect des codes et principes de génie

Rogers atteste que tous les ouvrages, installations et structures réalisés et érigés dans le cadre du projet proposé respecteront les codes applicables (Code national du bâtiment et de l'Association canadienne de normalisation – CSA S37-18), et seront conçus selon les principes de génie généralement reconnus, et les méthodes de construction respecteront les règles de l'art, y compris l'intégrité structurelle.

7. Code de sécurité 6 de Santé Canada

Rogers atteste que l'installation radio du projet proposé sera établie et exploitée de façon continue en conformité avec le Code de sécurité 6 de Santé Canada et les modifications qui pourront y être apportées, pour la protection du grand public, y compris tous les effets combinés de la colocation et des installations avoisinantes sur l'environnement radio local.

Pour plus de détails, nous vous invitons à consulter les sites du Gouvernement du Canada et de sources crédibles :

<http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/fra/sf11467.html>

<https://www.canada.ca/fr/sante-canada/services/securite-et-risque-pour-sante/radiation/exposition-professionnelle-reglementation/code-securite-6-lignes-directrices-exposition-radiofrequences.html>

<https://www.canada.ca/fr/sante-canada/services/securite-et-risque-pour-sante/radiation/sources-rayonnements-quotidien/cellulaires-stations-base.html>

<https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/5g-mobile-networks-and-health> (anglais seulement)

8. Évaluation environnementale – Loi sur l'évaluation d'impact

Rogers affirme que le projet proposé n'est pas situé sur des terres fédérales et qu'il n'est pas lié à un projet désigné (selon la description dans le [Règlement désignant les activités concrètes](#)), ou qu'il est autrement expressément désigné par le ministre de l'Environnement, comme nécessitant une évaluation environnementale. Pour plus de détails concernant la Loi sur l'évaluation d'impact (L.C. 2019, ch. 28, art. 1) : <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/fra/lois/l-2.75/page-1.html>

9. Cadre réglementaire d'Innovation, Science et Développement économique Canada

L'industrie des télécommunications est exclusivement réglementée par la Loi fédérale sur la radiocommunication et administrée par Innovation, Sciences et Développement économique Canada. Elle a établi un ensemble clair de règles que les entreprises de services sans fil doivent suivre lorsqu'elles envisagent d'installer ou de modifier une tour ou un système d'antennes (Circulaires de procédures pour les clients - CPC 2-0-03, Systèmes d'antennes de radiocommunication et de radiodiffusion).

De plus, Rogers doit consulter les représentants de la Ville de Nanaimo, en tant qu'autorité d'utilisation du sol, et se référer à leurs exigences locales applicables en matière d'utilisation du sol et de consultation ainsi qu'à toute préférence qu'elle pourrait avoir concernant l'emplacement et/ou la conception de la tour. Des informations générales relatives aux systèmes d'antennes sont disponibles sur le site Web d'ISDE : <https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/gestion-spectre-telecommunications/fr/securite-conformite/faits-sujet-pylones>

10. Consultation publique et le processus local

Étant donné que la ville de Nanaimo ne dispose pas de son propre protocole d'implantation de pylônes d'antennes, Rogers est tenu de suivre le processus de consultation publique par défaut d'ISED Canada. Nous invitons les citoyens à se référer au lien pour les détails relatifs au processus de consultation publique : <https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/gestion-spectre-telecommunications/fr/savoir-plus/documents-cles/procedures/circulaires-procedures-concernant-clients-cpc/cpc-2-0-03-systemes-dantennes-radiocommunications-radiodiffusion>

11. Contact Information / Coordonnées des intervenants

Rogers Communications Inc.

C/O Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd., Land Use Consultant

Address / Adresse: PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO

Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Tel: 778-870-1388

Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

City of Nanaimo

C/O Current Planning Section

Address / Adresse: 455 Wallace Street Nanaimo, BC, V9R 5J6

Email: planning@nanaimo.ca

Tel: 250-755-4429

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) – Vancouver Island District Office

Address / Adresse: 1230 Government Street, Room 430 Victoria BC V8W 3M4

Tel: 1-800-667-3780 or 250-363-3803

Email: ic.spectrumvictoria-victoriaspectre.ic@canada.ca

12. Invitation to Submit Feedback / Commentaires

Within the framework of the public consultation, we invite citizens to submit their written comments and concerns **by close of business on March 18, 2024 to:**

Rogers Communications Inc.

C/O Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd., Land Use Consultant

Address / Adresse: PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO

Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Tel: 778-870-1388

Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com


Dans le cadre de la consultation publique, nous invitons les citoyens à soumettre leurs commentaires et préoccupations par écrit **avant la fermeture des bureaux le 18 mars 2024 à:**

Tower Installation Project / Projet d'installation de communication sans fil
Reference: W5729 – Woodgrove Centre

Appendix 1: Location map of the Proposed Installation
Annexe 1 : Carte de localisation du projet propose



Appendix 2: Site plan of the Proposed Installation
Annexe 2 : Projet de site arpenté



Appendix 3: Visual simulation of the Proposed Installation
Annexe 3: Simulation visuelle de l'installation proposée



View West

Legal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
Notices

PUBLIC NOTICE NOTIFICATION DU PUBLIC

PROPOSED ROGERS 31.0 METER TALL WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS MONOPOLE TOWER INSTALLATION

The proposed installation is composed of a 31.0-meter monopole telecommunications tower, including the antennas and a lightning rod. Rogers will comply with marking and lighting requirements in accordance with Transport Canada protocols.

Property: 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo, BC V9V 1T7 (Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre)

Geographic Coordinates: 49.240320, -124.0561713

Objective: To improve wireless coverage in Nanaimo and to meet rising demands for wireless services.

Details: An equipment compound will also be installed at the base of the proposed tower and the entire site will be surrounded by a security fence with a locked gated access point.

The public is invited to provide written comments by end of business day on **March 18th, 2024** to the contact information shown below. Please include a return address.

Tower Installation Project:

Projet d'installation de communication sans fil
Reference: W5729 – Woodgrove Centre

Contact Information for Rogers'

Representative:

Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO,
Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Phone: 778-870-1388
Email: brianregg@sitepathconsulting.com



EMPLACEMENT PROPOSÉ PAR ROGERS POUR L'IMPLANTATION D'UNE TOUR AUTOPORTANTE D'ENVIRON 31.0 MÈTRES DE HAUTEUR

Le site de télécommunication proposé sera composé d'une tour de type autoportante d'une élévation hors-tout d'environ 46.0 mètres incluant les antennes, le balisage aérien et le parafoudre.

Propriété: 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo, BC V9V 1T7 (Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre)

Les coordonnées géographiques: 49.240320, -124.0561713

Objectif: Améliorer la couverture sans fil à Nanaimo et répondre à la demande croissante de services sans fil. Détails: Un complexe d'équipement sera également installé à la base de la tour proposée et l'ensemble du site sera entouré d'une clôture de sécurité avec un point d'accès verrouillé.

Les citoyens sont invités à faire part de leurs commentaires, avant la fermeture des bureaux de Rogers le **18 mars 2024** soit à l'adresse indiquée (avec une adresse de retour).



Warehouseman's Lien Act

Under the terms of the Warehouse Lien Act, Goods and Personal Property of these persons listed below, deposited at AA Mini Storage, 2399 Cienar Drive, Nanaimo BC, Have been seized and WMI be sold on or after date: February 19, 2024 to recover the cost for unpaid storage rent,

Aaron LaFlam \$793.00

Catlin Rogers \$1,101.60

Warehouseman's Lien Act

By virtue of the Warehouseman's Lien Act and on behalf of **Consolidated Civil Enforcement**, we will dispose of goods belonging to **Lloyd R. Ernest, namely a 2010 Ford Focus recover \$262.50 plus accruing storage and any/all other expenses related.** These goods will be made available for sale after Feb 14th, 2024. Goods are currently being stored in Nanaimo BC. Contact 604-434-2448 for further information.

NOTICE OF DISPOSITION

TO:

Gail Beaudoin

Gisele Beaudoin

Daniel Beaudoin, formerly of Space 13, Seabreeze Mobile Home Park, Nanaimo, BC

Take notice that pursuant to section 37(3) of the Regulation to the Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act, the landlord of the Seabreeze Mobile Home Park at 25 Maki Road, Nanaimo, BC, intends to dispose of a 1994 Moduline Industries Ltd., Gibraltar 24077, 44' x 24'; and its contents, ("the Property") located in Seabreeze Mobile Home Park, Nanaimo, BC, 30 days after the publication date of this notice, unless you take legal possession of the Property.

After the expiration of the 30 day period, the Property will be disposed of with no further notice to you.

Landlord:

Lisa Buchanan,

Seabreeze Mobile Home Park, 25 Maki Road, Nanaimo, BC

Read it. Live it. Love it!
...the Classifieds!



**THANK YOU
FOR RECYCLING
THIS NEWSPAPER.**

To advertise
here
please call
1-866-865-4460

**Service
Providers**

**HOME
IMPROVEMENTS**

WBU
ENTERPRISES INC.

Jylevi Keith
778-233-1573
mrjylevikeith@gmail.com

Renos | Painting | Finish
Carpentry | Fences | Decks
Handyman | Gardening

**HOME
IMPROVEMENTS**

Coastline Installations

• Finishing carpentry • Drywall
• Paint • Flooring • Framing

RED SEAL CERTIFIED & INSURED

Call for your consultation
250-734-1493
www.coastlineinstallations.ca

**PROPERTY
MANAGEMENT**

WIDSTEN
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT INC.

Rental & Strata Management Services

**Celebrating 25 years of
Professional Property Management**

View Rental Listings
and other information at:
WWW.ISLANDRENT.COM
250-753-8200
311 Selby Street

TREE SERVICES

ESTD 2020

Friendly Local Tree Service

• Hazard Tree Removal
• Service Line Clearing • Pruning
• Chipping & Stump Grinding Service

Fully Certified, Licensed & Insured
Call today for a **FREE** estimate

250-618-4227

Legal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
NoticesLegal
Notices

PUBLIC NOTICE NOTIFICATION DU PUBLIC

PROPOSED ROGERS 31.0 METER TALL WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS MONOPOLE TOWER INSTALLATION

The proposed installation is composed of a 31.0-meter monopole telecommunications tower, including the antennas and a lightning rod. Rogers will comply with marking and lighting requirements in accordance with Transport Canada protocols.

Property: 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo, BC V9V 1T7
(Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre)

Geographic Coordinates: 49.240320, -124.0561713

Objective: To improve wireless coverage in Nanaimo and to meet rising demands for wireless services.

Details: An equipment compound will also be installed at the base of the proposed tower and the entire site will be surrounded by a security fence with a locked gated access point.

The public is invited to provide written comments by end of business day on **March 18th, 2024** to the contact information shown below. Please include a return address.

Tower Installation Project:

Projet d'installation de communication sans fil
Reference: W5729 – Woodgrove Centre

Contact Information for Rogers' Representative:

Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO,
Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Phone: 778-870-1388
Email: brianregg@sitepathconsulting.com



EMPLACEMENT PROPOSÉ PAR ROGERS POUR L'IMPLANTATION D'UNE TOUR AUTOPORTANTE D'ENVIRON 31.0 MÈTRES DE HAUTEUR

Le site de télécommunication proposé sera composé d'une tour de type autoportante d'une élévation hors-tout d'environ 46.0 mètres incluant les antennes, le balisage aérien et le parafoudre.

Propriété: 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo, BC V9V 1T7
(Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre)

Les coordonnées géographiques: 49.240320,
-124.0561713

Objectif: Améliorer la couverture sans fil à Nanaimo et répondre à la demande croissante de services sans fil.

Détails: Un complexe d'équipement sera également installé à la base de la tour proposée et l'ensemble du site sera entouré d'une clôture de sécurité avec un point d'accès verrouillé.

Les citoyens sont invités à faire part de leurs commentaires, avant la fermeture des bureaux de Rogers le **18 mars 2024** soit à l'adresse indiquée (avec une adresse de retour).



Notice

This is an Official Notice to any and all Creditors of the late Rev. David S. Fearon of #54, 5854 Turner Road, Nanaimo, who passed away on January 8, 2023. Please submit verifiable documentary evidence of any outstanding debt owing from his Estate to his Trustee and Executor, Bruce Armstrong, at #407, 310 St. James Street, Victoria, B.C., V8V 1J8, no later than Friday, March 15, 2024.

NOTICE OF DISPOSITION

TO:

Gail Beaudoin

Gisele Beaudoin

Daniel Beaudoin, formerly of Space 13, Seabreeze Mobile Home Park, Nanaimo, BC

Take notice that pursuant to section 37(3) of the Regulation to the Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act, the landlord of the Seabreeze Mobile Home Park at 25 Maki Road, Nanaimo, BC, intends to dispose of a 1994 Moduline Industries Ltd., Gibraltar 24077, 44' x 24', and its contents, ("the Property") located in Seabreeze Mobile Home Park, Nanaimo, BC, 30 days after the publication date of this notice, unless you take legal possession of the Property.

After the expiration of the 30 day period, the Property will be disposed of with no further notice to you.

Landlord:

Lisa Buchanan,

Seabreeze Mobile Home Park, 25 Maki Road, Nanaimo, BC

Warehouseman's Lien Act

By virtue of the Warehouseman's Lien Act and on behalf of **Consolidated Civil Enforcement**, we will dispose of goods belonging to **Lloyd R. Ernest, namely a 2010 Ford Focus recover \$262.50 plus accruing storage and any/all other expenses related.** These goods will be made available for sale after Feb 14th, 2024. Goods are currently being stored in Nanaimo BC. Contact 604-434-2448 for further information.

Read it. Live it. Love it!

....the Classifieds!

To advertise here
please call
1-866-865-4460

TOP

Service Providers

HOME IMPROVEMENTS

UBU ENTERPRISES INC.

Jylevi Keith
778-233-1573
mrjylevikeith@gmail.com

Renos | Painting | Finish Carpentry | Fences | Decks Handyman | Gardening

HOME IMPROVEMENTS

Coastline Installations

• Finishing carpentry • Drywall
• Paint • Flooring • Framing

RED SEAL CERTIFIED & INSURED

Call for your consultation
250-734-1493
www.coastlineinstallations.ca

PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

WIDSTEN
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT INC.

Rental & Strata Management Services

Celebrating 25 years of Professional Property Management

View Rental Listings and other information at:
WWW.ISLANDRENT.COM
250-753-8200
311 Selby Street

TREE SERVICES

ESTD 2020

Friendly Local Tree Service

• Hazard Tree Removal
• Service Line Clearing • Pruning
• Chipping & Stump Grinding Service

Fully Certified, Licensed & Insured
Call today for a FREE estimate
250-618-4227



Rogers Cell Tower Proposal-- Nanaimo, Woodgrove Mall

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Wendy Farrington [REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 12:18 PM

Hello Wendy,

I'll have to ask the team about the coverage at your specific location. I believe there may be another proposal for the Hammond Bay area in the works (though, there have been several unsuccessful attempts in the past is my understanding).

Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd,
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 12:00PM Wendy Farrington [REDACTED] wrote:

Fingers crossed. I am assuming that this tower will provide reliable cell coverage to my area of the North end of Nanaimo [REDACTED]

Wendy

Get Outlook for Android

From: Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 8, 2024 10:50:56 AM
To: Wendy Farrington [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Rogers Cell Tower Proposal-- Nanaimo, Woodgrove Mall

Good Morning Wendy:

Thanks very much for sharing your comments. We will save your input in the consultation file for the decision makers at the City of Nanaimo, ISED and Rogers.

As you noted, ensuring reliable connectivity is not only a matter of convenience but also public safety for many given that greater than 80% of calls to emergency responders such as 911 are now placed via wireless devices.

If you have any further questions or comments, please feel free to let me know.

Finally, please kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols we are required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to submit further comments.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd,
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 10:44AM Wendy Farrington [REDACTED] wrote:
Hi, Brian.

I wholeheartedly support Rogers' proposal to install a cell phone tower at Woodgrove Mall.

Poor cell phone service in my area has been a health and safety concern for me as long as I've lived in the north end of Nanaimo.

I have no comment other than to say I look forward to the installation of this cell tower.

Wendy Farrington
[REDACTED]
Nanaimo [REDACTED]

Get Outlook for Android

Tower at Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Deborah Cooper [REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 11:28 AM

Good Morning Deborah,

Thank you for sharing your input. We will save this in the consultation file for the City of Nanaimo, ISED and Rogers to take into account. If you have any further questions or comments please feel free to reach out at any time.

Please also kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCJP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 11:13AM Deborah Cooper [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello Mr Gregg,
Tower is much anticipated and will be appreciated. Thanks,
deborah cooper
[REDACTED]

D. Cooper,
Sent from my iPhone

Cell tower

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Mary Seeton [REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 11:28 AM

Good Morning Mary:

Thank you for sharing your input. We will save this in the consultation file for the City of Nanaimo, ISED and Rogers to take into account. If you have any further questions or comments please feel free to reach out at any time.

Please also kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 11:08AM Mary Seeton [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello Brian,
I totally agree with a new cell tower installation in Nanaimo at 6675 Mary Ellen Drive.
It is long overdue.
Thank you for assisting in this process,
Mary Seeton

proposed cell tower on Mary Ellen Drive

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Mary Seeton [REDACTED]

Fri, Feb 9, 2024 at 3:46 PM

Hello Mary,

Thanks for your email. This proposal is primarily intended to service the commercial areas near Woodgrove and along the highway. However, we have asked Rogers as to whether there may be spillover coverage into your area on Hammond bay Road.

As you may be aware, there has been a separate proposal for the Hammond Bay area but nothing has yet been finalized or approved.

If you have any further questions, please kindly note that I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days to comment as per ISED (federal) protocols.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCSP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-628-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Fri, Feb 9, 2024 at 9:52AM Mary Seeton [REDACTED] wrote:
Morning Brian,
With this new tower on Mary Ellen Drive, will this improve cell accessibility on Hammond Bay Road, in particular near the water treatment plant?
Thank you
Mary

Cellphone Tower

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Jenna Nielsen [REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 1:39 PM

Hello Jenna,

Thank you for sharing your input. We will save this in the consultation file for the City of Nanaimo, ISED and Rogers to take into account. If you have any further questions or comments please feel free to reach out at any time.

Please also kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 11:37AM Jenna Nielsen [REDACTED] wrote:
We would love to have another tower added so that we can get signal!

We are located at [REDACTED].

Feel free to reach out if you have any questions or need any other information.

Thank you,

Jenna Nielsen

Sent from my Bell Samsung device over Canada's largest network.

Rocky Point Coverage?

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Dave Rowe [REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 8, 2024 at 1:47 PM

Hello Dave,

I will ask Rogers about your coverage question regarding Hammond Bay Road. It is my understanding that there may have recently been a separate tower proposal for the Hammond Bay area as well. I will look into that for you.

For any tower, any third party carrier is welcome to apply to co-locate on or share the tower. The federal protocols from ISED require that the carriers cooperate with tower sharing. At this time, the proposal is for Rogers, however TELUS and other third parties are welcome to apply to share the facility if desired.

Finally, please kindly note that as per ISED protocols I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further,

Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Wed, Feb 7, 2024 at 4:21 PM Dave Rowe [REDACTED] wrote:
Woodgrove

On Feb 7, 2024, at 14:09, Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com> wrote:

Hello Dave,

Thanks for reaching out. Which tower are you referring to? My apologies, I have a number of proposals at any given time.

Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Wed, Feb 7, 2024 at 1:17 PM Dave Rowe [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Brian
Will this tower improve coverage on Hammond Bay Road, specifically Rocky Point neighbourhoods? We're lucky to get one bar here through Telus which brings me to the next question, will you share your tower with Telus?
Dave Rowe

SUPPORT for Project W5729 - Woodgrove Centre

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Leilani Taylor [REDACTED]

Mon, Feb 12, 2024 at 1:15 PM

Good Morning Leilani:

Thank you for sharing your email. As you noted, existing connectivity via the wireless network is very limited. This is not only an inconvenience for many but can also pose some public safety concerns given that greater than 80% of calls to emergency responders are now placed via cell phones. The comments you made resonated with us and we've heard similar feedback from many people who share your thoughts.

For clarity, the subject proposal is primarily intended to provide better connectivity in the commercial and expanding residential areas near Woodgrove Centre and along the highway. We've asked Rogers' engineering team to confirm the extent to which coverage will extend into Hammond Bay from this tower. There is actually another tower proposal that has been in the works for some time, I believe, for Hammond Bay however that is still in progress I believe. I am not working on that Hammond Bay project but will ask for a status update. Suffice it to say, we are well aware of the service issues and are actively working to resolve them.

Thanks and please kindly note that you will have 21 days to comment further as per ISED (federal) protocols if you desire to do so. In the interim, we've saved your comments in the consultation file for the City of Nanaimo, Rogers and ISED to take into account.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Mon, Feb 12, 2024 at 10:22AM Leilani Taylor [REDACTED] wrote:
Re: Rogers Communications Tower Installation Project Number W5728 - Woodgrove Centre

Mr. Gregg,

I am writing on behalf of my family to express our support for the proposed Rogers Communications Tower Installation Project W5729 at Woodgrove Centre, Nanaimo, BC.

We live approximately 5.5 km away from Woodgrove Centre. We moved to the area last spring, from Ottawa, Ontario. We were shocked that the cellular coverage at our home and in the surrounding neighbourhood is weak to non-existent. We do not know if the installation of this tower will improve the cellular reception in our particular neighbourhood, but it will improve the quality of coverage along Hammond Bay Road and in neighbourhoods closer to Woodgrove.

As a member of a First Responder family, I have witnessed the unreliability of communications and data services on hand-held radios and in-car systems in North Nanaimo. The quality of communications services in the area is unacceptable; it puts both First Responders and the public at risk. When First Responders require urgent assistance, or when a small or large-scale response requires multi-agency coordination, such as an evacuation, our community will face incredible, unnecessary challenges. As a city of 100000+ people, it is unacceptable to have large gaps in coverage in communication systems.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,
LT

Leilani Taylor
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

tower in Nanaimo

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: MARGARET LITCH [REDACTED]

Thu, Feb 15, 2024 at 5:47 PM

Hello Margaret,

We are very pleased to receive your comments and are thankful that you took the time to do so.

Thank you and please feel free to reach out at any time.

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 15, 2024 at 9:52AM MARGARET LITCH [REDACTED] wrote:

Thank you very much. I appreciate this information. I'm sure you are busy and it means a lot that you answered me so quickly. MArgaret

From: "Brian Gregg" <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: "MARGARET LITCH" [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2024 8:00:26 AM
Subject: Re: tower in Nanaimo

Good Morning Margaret,

Thanks for sharing your email. This proposed installation is primarily intended to deliver service into the commercial and residential areas near Woodgrove Centre and along the highway; however there may be additional spillover coverage extending along Hammond Bay Road. As you may be aware, there is a separate tower proposal in the Hammond Bay area that I believe is being handled by others. I will seek an update on that however ultimately we think that more than one facility will be needed to service these areas that you reference. We understand that this is critical for many people for safety reasons.

Thanks and please kindly note that as per ISED protocols you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Wed, Feb 14, 2024 at 6:05 PM MARGARET LITCH [REDACTED] wrote:

I was very pleased to read in the Nanaimo News Bulletin (Feb, 14/24) that there is a proposed tower in north Nanaimo for wireless.
Will this be of help for cell phone reception along the Hammond Bay Road corridor? This is a very big issue in Nanaimo and I'm hoping this is part of the plan. Thank you for your reply.
Margaret Litch

[REDACTED]---
[REDACTED]

Re: Cell Tower in North Nanaimo

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Kathy GILLIES [REDACTED]

Tue, Feb 20, 2024 at 3:53 PM

Thank you, Kathy. I have passed your contact information along the the appropriate contacts and am hopeful that you will garner a response. I do believe that there are people actively working to resolve the coverage gaps in your area.

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Sat, Feb 17, 2024 at 2:34 PM Kathy GILLIES [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello Mr. Gregg,
Thank you for replying to my inquiry about the Mary Ellen Drive cell Tower. It is very disappointing that your proposal does not include Hammond Bay Road.

You may share my comments with Rogers and I will await your response to which consulting company is dealing with a cell tower for Hammond Bay and the status.

I appreciated your prompt reply.
Sincerely,
Kathy Gillies
Sent from my iPad

On Feb 15, 2024, at 6:44 PM, Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com> wrote:

Hello Kathy,
I sincerely appreciate your comments and the story that you have provided underscores why having cell service is important for many in emergency situations. I am very sorry to hear about your husband, Thank you for sharing that.

I will share your comments with Rogers if that is okay for you and will ask about the status as to the proposal in Hammond Bay. I do believe that another consulting company may be working on that proposal; however I do not know the details and will ask for you.

If you have any further comments or questions, please kindly note that I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days to do so via this process as per SED protocols.

I will report back once I garner more information re: Hammond Bay.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Thu, Feb 15, 2024 at 11:05AM Kathy GILLIES [REDACTED] wrote:

Mr. Gregg,
I am emailing you in response to a public notice in the Nanaimo bulletin regarding an installation of a 31.0 m cell tower being installed at 6675 Mary Ellen Dr. My friend Margaret Litch, sent you an email in which you responded to this morning and she sent it along to me. Reading your response it seems there maybe spillover coverage, extending along Hammond Bay Road. Is there anyway to know how far it would extend along Hammond Bay Road?

On July 12, 2023 my husband had a massive heart attack at the bottom of Vistaview street, approximately 4 feet from the entrance to Hammond Bay Road. I could not get through to the dispatcher of 911 on my cell phone. I had to flag down a car and that person did get the dispatcher, but kept cutting out and was told they had to go further up the road. A number of minutes past before an ambulance arrived on the scene and by that point it was too late for my husband. He could not be resuscitated. My husband had not had any previous issues with his heart. Various media outlets came to me to tell the story of what had happened to my husband due to the lack of cell service. My family did not want anyone else to have to suffer this kind of a shock and loss. Needless to say I was hoping that when I saw this proposal it was the one that people had been signing petitions on to get cell service along Hammond Bay Road. There are two schools and three parks along Hammond Bay Road where cell service is not accessible.

When you say there is another cell tower proposal along Hammond Bay, and it is being handled by others, what do you mean by others? Your company or another cell tower provider?

I look forward to a reply to my questions.
Regards,
Kathy Gillies
Sent from my iPad

W5729 Woodgrove Centre

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Linda marquard [REDACTED]

Tue, Feb 20, 2024 at 4:11 PM

Thank you, Linda, I've shared your feedback with both Rogers and TELUS and will advise you if I obtain any useful information, Thanks for sharing your comments.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-828-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Tue, Feb 20, 2024 at 3:35 PM Linda marquard [REDACTED] wrote:
Thank you Brian for your response.

I really hope Rogers and Telus reach out to me about the Hammond Bay Project because the last I heard Telus pulled out and was going alone leaving Rogers to figure out a plan. That said the grapevine is not always accurate

I am just not sure why establishing a cell tower is so difficult especially in this day and age and when badly needed.

Sincerely,
Linda

On Tue, Feb 20, 2024, 11:20 a.m. Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com> wrote:
Good Morning Linda,

I can certainly appreciate this feedback. It is my understanding that Rogers and TELUS are working together cooperatively on a separate proposal for the Hammond Bay area and the areas you reference.

The project I am working on near Woodgrove Centre is, as you noted, primarily intended to deliver connectivity to the commercial/residential and highway corridor areas in that vicinity.

I will certainly share your comments with the Rogers and TELUS representatives that are working on the project you referenced for Hammond Bay and perhaps they may reach out to you directly.

Thanks again and please kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols, I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further on this proposal.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-828-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Sun, Feb 18, 2024 at 11:31 AM Linda marquard [REDACTED] wrote:
Hello Brian,

While I applaud any attempts to improve cellular service in Nanaimo, I can't help believe this cell tower will have much impact for single families, but rather businesses and corporations.

So I ask what is Rogers doing to bring cellular service to the Rocky Point and Neck Point areas several kilometers south of 8675 Mary Ellen Drive? If you can assure me this new tower will reach our area, I am satisfied. But I am skeptical.

On the other hand, are Rogers and Telus still working together to choose a cellular site for the areas I mentioned above? If not, what plans does Rogers have to keep our area safe? Unless we are in our home with wifi calling, 911 calls are dropped. You may be aware a life was lost last summer on Hammond Bay road for this very reason.

This is up to Rogers (and Telus) to make a tower happen. The city is awaiting for you to tag team or go alone for a Tower.

Thank you for listening and I look forward to a response.

Linda Marquard

[REDACTED]

—
Linda Marquard

Subject: Proposed Rogers 31.0 Meter Tall Wireless Tower at Woodgrove

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
To: Ron Bolin

Mon, Feb 26, 2024 at 2:01 PM

Good Evening Ronald:

Thanks for your inquiry. Please find below our responses in green text.

Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO
Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Dear Mr. Gregg:

I write this to you to reflect more on what you have not stated in the recent Public Notice of same in the Nanaimo Daily News rather than with that which is overtly disclosed.

A tower is a danger to birds, to other forms of flight and to the area covered by its radius should it fall.
Although we are not experts on birds or biology, we have worked with a number of qualified environmental professionals and biologists over the years including quite recently. Under their advisement, we have often been informed that it is towers with guy wires (typically much taller structures) that some research has indicated a minor number of bird collisions with (specifically, ultra tall towers with guy wires). We've also been advised that in urban areas with substantial backlight where birds are already attracted in some cases, towers that have flashing beacons on them may attract certain but not all bird species. The proposed tower has neither guy wires nor is it likely to require any marking or lighting as it is only proposed to be approximately 31 meters tall and is a relatively compact self supporting monopole. Below is a sample photo rendering. This is not the type of structure that the research we are aware of shows impacts to birds or wildlife.



However there is a great deal of evidence concerning the dangers to health which are represented by the wavelengths of the electro-magnetic spectrum which are generated and broadcast from the transmitters which may be mounted on that tower.

What is needed for a clear public understanding of the dangers that can be ascribed to that tower, i.e. the nature of the electromagnetic waves which are to be broadcast from it especially as they interact with the variety of reflections, absorption, diffraction and scatter of the physical environment as well as those of other transmitters in the area and can thus seriously impact the health of life, human or otherwise.
Rogers attests that its proposed facility with comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is the applicable regulatory requirement. More information on the safety code can be found at the following link.
<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/health-risks-safety/radiation/occupational-exposure-regulations/safety-code-6-radiofrequency-exposure-guidelines.html>

Please advise at your earliest convenience on the number and nature of the transmitters which will be attached to this tower and those which may be susceptible to other towers and thus can have effect far beyond its danger of simple mechanical failure?


At this time, the following equipment is proposed; however, as with every tower the technology is subject to change over time.

Model	Number of antennas	Approximate Dimensions in mm (Length x Width x Depth)	Height in Tower (m)	Technology (4G or 5G)
FFV4S4-65C-R7-V2	8	2000 X 498 X 197	29.9 and 26.35	4G
GPSGLONASS-36-N-S	1	685 mm diameter	5.0	GPS

We are not clear as to what you mean by "...those which may be susceptible to other towers and thus can have effect far beyond its danger of simple mechanical failure". If you are referring to microwave dish technology in terms a facility connecting to an adjacent tower, this facility is currently intended to be tied into the network via fibre optic cabling rather than a dish.

Ronald Bolin
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Thanks for sharing your address and contact information. Your stated address appears to be just under 7 kilometers away from the proposed facility and likely is not within the coverage or service area.



While there is a great deal of literature about the dangers of electromagnetic radiation to health, I have chosen to use as the basis for this query: Tour of the Electromagnetic Spectrum

<https://gpm.nasa.gov/education/websites/tour-electromagnetic-spectrum>

<https://www.inspiritvr.com/electromagnetic-spectrum-study-guide/>

With regards to your concerns about health and safety, as stated above Rogers attests that its proposed installation will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is the applicable safety standard and a regulatory requirement.

Additionally, the subject of debating the validity of Health Canada's Safety Code 6 is outside the scope of this consultation as per ISED (federal) protocols. Please kindly see the excerpt below from the ISED CPC-2-0-03 — Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems, section 4.2:

<https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/spectrum-management-telecommunications/en/learn-more/key-documents/procedures/client-procedures-circulars-cpc-2-0-03-radiocommunication-and-broadcasting-antenna-systems>

Concerns that are not relevant include:

- *disputes with members of the public relating to the proponent's service, but unrelated to antenna installations*
- *potential effects that a proposed antenna system will have on property values or municipal taxes*
- *questions whether the Radiocommunication Act, this document, **Safety Code 6**, locally established by-laws, other legislation, procedures or processes are valid or should be reformed in some manner*

While your concerns have been noted, you may consider that having limited connectivity as is currently the case can pose some challenges in terms of public safety given that greater than 80% of calls to emergency responders such as 911 are now placed via cell phones. You may find the following article from your local area to be of interest in this regard, for example.

<https://www.nanaimobulletin.com/local-news/nanaimo-mans-death-underlines-need-for-better-cell-coverage-in-hammond-bay-4993709>

We hope that our thorough response has been helpful. Please kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols, we are required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Sun, Feb 25, 2024 at 8:37 PM Ron Bolin [REDACTED] wrote:

Brian Gregg, SitePath Consulting Ltd.
PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO
Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Dear Mr. Gregg:

I write this to you to reflect more on what you have not stated in the recent Public Notice of same in the Nanaimo Daily News rather than with that which is overtly disclosed.

A tower is a danger to birds, to other forms of flight and to the area covered by its radius should it fall.

However there is a great deal of evidence concerning the dangers to health which are represented by the wavelengths of the electro-magnetic spectrum which are generated and broadcast from the transmitters which may be mounted on that tower.

What is needed for a clear public understanding of the dangers that can be ascribed to that tower, i.e. the nature of the electromagnetic waves which are to be broadcast from it especially as they interact with the variety of reflections, absorption, diffraction and scatter of the physical environment as well as those of other transmitters in the area and can thus seriously impact the health of life, human or otherwise.

Please advise at your earliest convenience on the number and nature of the transmitters which will be attached to this tower and those which may be susceptible to other towers and thus can have effect far beyond its danger of simple mechanical failure?

Ronald Bolin
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

While there is a great deal of literature about the dangers of electromagnetic radiation to health, I have chosen to use as the basis for this query: Tour of the Electromagnetic Spectrum

<https://gpm.nasa.gov/education/websites/tour-electromagnetic-spectrum>

<https://www.inspiritvr.com/electromagnetic-spectrum-study-guide/>



Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>

Tower Installation Project. Reference W5729 - Woodgrove Centre

Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>

Mon, Feb 26, 2024 at 4:16 PM

To: Wally Roth [REDACTED]

Dear Wally:

Thanks very much. CIP is the Canadian Institute of Planners. I am a registered professional planner (urban planning is my background).

With regards to your concerns about health and safety, as stated previously Rogers attests that its proposed installation will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is the applicable safety standard and a regulatory requirement.

Additionally, the subject of debating the validity of Health Canada's Safety Code 6 is outside the scope of this consultation as per ISED (federal) protocols. Please kindly see the excerpt below from the ISED CPC-2-0-03 — Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems, section 4.2:

<https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/spectrum-management-telecommunications/en/learn-more/key-documents/procedures/client-procedures-circulars-cpc/cpc-2-0-03-radiocommunication-and-broadcasting-antenna-systems>

Concerns that are not relevant include:

- *disputes with members of the public relating to the proponent's service, but unrelated to antenna installations*
- *potential effects that a proposed antenna system will have on property values or municipal taxes*
- *questions whether the Radiocommunication Act, this document, **Safety Code 6**, locally established by-laws, other legislation, procedures or processes are valid or should be reformed in some manner*

While your concerns have been noted, you may consider that having limited connectivity as is currently the case can pose some challenges in terms of public safety given that greater than 80% of calls to emergency responders such as 911 are now placed via cell phones. You may find the following article from your local area to be of interest in this regard, for example.

<https://www.nanaimobulletin.com/local-news/nanaimo-mans-death-underlines-need-for-better-cell-coverage-in-hammond-bay-4993709>

We hope that our thorough response has been helpful. Please kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols, we are required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP**SitePath Consulting Ltd.**

PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.comFax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com

On Mon, Feb 26, 2024 at 3:09 PM Wally Roth [REDACTED] wrote:

Thank you for your reply.

Countering my objections with "science" that's been vetted by Health Canada doesn't impress me, I'm afraid. Since I'm not a scientist trained to determine whether the "studies" vetted are legitimate, I would however like to know who, if any, of the persons, including yourself, are in any way connected to any corporations, businesses, or companies that

develop, sell or promote cell towers. If so, the decisions are biased. Are the members of Health Canada who make these decisions knowledgeable enough to make such decisions and do they benefit in any way from the decisions they make? If Health Canada is considered to have the final say, I'm afraid their past record of approving products, medicines, drugs, etc., are highly questionable. Thalidomide is just one example of many. Standards are often set to too high a threshold and the testing can be too limited in scope, especially time-wise, to be accurate. As far as I'm concerned, we're playing with fire and will eventually regret it.

I see that you are a member of the CIP-ICU. From what I can gather it is an NGO, funded in part by the government, with the goal of advising the governments of Canada with regard to planning communities. I may not be completely accurate with my cursory exploration, but it does give the impression that the government and corporations are working hand-in-hand. It's hard to speak truth to power when such relationships exist. I could go on, but considering who's making these decisions, I'm now even more convinced that cell towers are of questionable safety to all living creatures.

On Mon, Feb 26, 2024 at 2:12 PM Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com> wrote:

Dear Wally:

Thanks for sharing your comments. Please find our responses below in green text.

Please add my name to the list of objectors to the installation of another cell tower, this one in the Woodgrove Centre location. I'm guessing this will also use 5G transmission. From what I've learned this is potentially dangerous for all living creatures.

The proposed tower has neither guy wires nor is it likely to require any marking or lighting as it is only proposed to be approximately 31 meters tall and is a relatively compact self supporting monopole. Below is a sample photo rendering. This is not the type of structure that the research we are aware of shows impacts to wildlife.



I refer you to an interview with a well-known scientist, Arthur Firstenberg, who has researched the dangers of electromagnetic transmissions for many years.

<https://5g-emf.com/arthur-firstenberg-the-hidden-dangers-of-wireless-cell-phone-radiation/>


It's not worth the risks!

Rogers attests that its proposed facility will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is the applicable regulatory requirement. More information on the safety code can be found at the following link.

<https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/health-risks-safety/radiation/occupational-exposure-regulations/safety-code-6-radiofrequency-exposure-guidelines.html>

Wally Roth

Thank you for providing your address. It appears that you are located just under 3 kms away from the proposed facility. Below is a distance map for your reference measuring the approximate distance to your stated address. As per the below map, it has been Rogers' intent to site the facility in a commercial area setback a reasonable distance from residential areas to the extent feasible.



We hope that our thorough response has been helpful. Please kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols, we are required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP

SitePath Consulting Ltd.

PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Fri, Feb 23, 2024 at 7:55 PM Wally Roth [REDACTED] wrote:

Please add my name to the list of objectors to the installation of another cell tower, this one in the Woodgrove Centre location.

I'm guessing this will also use 5G transmission. From what I've learned this is potentially dangerous for all living creatures. I refer you to an interview with a well-known scientist, Arthur Firstenberg, who has researched the dangers of electromagnetic transmissions for many years.

<https://5g-emf.com/arthur-firstenberg-the-hidden-dangers-of-wireless-cell-phone-radiation/>

It's not worth the risks!

Wally Roth
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Brian Gregg** <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
Date: Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 4:32 PM
Subject: Re: Skyline / Rogers: Public Consultation - Proposed Rogers Communications Facility at WoodGrove Crossing (Rogers File: W5729) - 6971, 6973 & 6975 Island Highway North, Nanaimo
To: Mark Sekenda [REDACTED]
Cc: heatheroliver@sitepathconsulting.com <heatheroliver@sitepathconsulting.com>

Good Evening Mark:

Please find our initial responses below in green text. I have attempted to copy and paste your letter below for ease of responding via email.

W5729 - Woodgrove Centre
Dear Mr. Gregg:
RE: Rogers Communications Inc. ("Rogers") public consultation regarding the potential installation of a monopole telecommunications tower, antenna, and related telecommunications equipment (the "Equipment") at 6675 Mary Ellen Drive, Nanaimo, British Colombia ("Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre") pursuant to a public consultation proposal dated February 2, 2024, (the "Proposal")

0911872 B.C. LTD (BSkyline) is the registered owner of the property directly abutting the western boundary of the Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre, municipally known as 6971, 6973 & 6975 Island Highway North, Nanaimo, British Columbia ("Skyline's Property"). Skyline has received the Proposal and would request Rogers address questions and concerns Skyline has with the Proposal, as follows:

1. There are a number of commercial and retail properties within a 1 kilometer radius from the Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre that do not directly abut residential homes / buildings. Has Rogers considered alternative properties for the installation of the Equipment and/or approached other landowners regarding same? Is an alternative property other than the Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre available for the installation of the Equipment? If so, why are alternative options not being pursued?

The objective of this proposed facility is to deliver reliable wireless connectivity to the commercial premises and residences within the area that you have described, as well as long the adjacent highway. Rogers has explored numerous properties within the surrounding area and indeed completed a site visit to the rooftop of your company's apartment building to explore whether it would be viable to install rooftop antennas.

Unfortunately, the rooftop of your building (and other adjacent buildings) was deemed not tall enough to deliver reliable connectivity to the surrounding area.


Rogers also explored other adjacent commercial properties, however there was no space available for the proposed facility and as of this time the subject location is the only tower location that we are aware of with a willing landlord that meets Rogers' coverage objectives within the target service area.

2. The proposed installation of the Equipment as described in the Proposal is only meters away from the residential building on Skyline's Property. The Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre property is quite large and expansive, with its northern, eastern, and southern borders abutting roadways. Is it possible to install the Equipment in an alternative area on the Woodgrove Crossing Shopping Centre property which is further away from the residential buildings on Skyline's Property? If so, why is that option not being pursued?

Rogers does not have land rights in other locations on the subject property. Other locations on the subject property were preliminarily explored however one challenge that arose is that we were advised that the parking stalls were largely assigned or allocated to tenants so there is little or no viable surplus common property space for a tower compound. Rogers also desires to have its facility located on the side of the property near the highway to be central to the intended coverage area rather than on the rear side of the lot.

3. Currently, a number of trees and bushes occupy the proposed location for the Equipment as described in the Proposal. What steps will Rogers take to try to integrate the Equipment into local surroundings? Will Rogers update landscaping to hide the base of the Equipment?

Rogers' footprint has been shrunk down to the minimum required to enable the proposed installation. At this time, the design is conceptual and we will discuss with the municipality to ensure that the proposed facility is permitted. We understand that there is a desire to retain landscaping to the extent possible and this can be explored however the space is tight. Often we can do much with design in terms of considering a small landscape buffer, cedar fencing rather than chainlink fencing, and by designing the tower to be a slim monopole (as is currently proposed) rather than a larger lattice tower or guyed tower. Indeed, the tower as proposed is one of the slimmest and shortest tower types available to us. It is known as a "flush mount monopole" with the antennas mounted flush to the pole as you can see below.



4. With the residential buildings on Skyline's Property being in close proximity to the proposed location of the Equipment as described in the Proposal, what are the detailed steps Rogers is taking to make the construction, maintenance, and long-term presence of the Equipment safe? Additionally, what steps is Rogers taking to ensure it is compliant with all safety legislation during construction, maintenance, and operation of the Equipment, including compliance with Safety Code 6 (2015)?

Rogers will adhere to professional engineering standards to ensure structural adequacy and compliance with the electrical code. Rogers attests that its proposed facility will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 – the applicable regulatory requirement from a safety code perspective.

5. Will the Equipment emit any sort of audible sound / noise after it is installed and operating? If so, what decibel level can be expected from the Equipment and will such sound / noise be constant or periodic?

In our experiences, the tower installations are very quiet. In fact, the same antennas and equipment are often installed onto the rooftops of residential buildings similar to the subject apartment that your business owns directly adjacent.

With that said, below are some detailed comments hat we put together for another commenter on another file that may help.

- Generator - Many of our sites have a generator as a form of back-up power in the event that the grid goes down; however the generators *only run if there is a power outage (i.e. in rare emergency scenarios)*. The generator operates at an estimated 65dBa at a 10m distance. We assume it would drop off substantially over distance and with tree coverage. We also have back up battery power so the generator will only turn on in the rare case of a longer power outage. This is important since people will want their phones to work during an emergency scenario.
- HVAC - These units will only run whenever environmental control is required (i.e. extreme temperature situations). The specifications I was given previously state that the noise level is 62,3dBa at 10ft. Again, we assume the sound levels would drop off substantially over distance.

For reference, we understand that a common dishwasher operates at around 60dBA at a 10 ft distance. Depending on what is around to absorb sound and what the air pressure is at the location, we think that the sound will be significantly reduced. For instance, the background noise of the commercial activities in the area, the highway, etc. likely will generate more noise than the aforementioned equipment.

For reference:

20dBa – leaves rustling (considered faint)

30dBa – whisper (considered soft)

40dBa – quiet library (considered soft)

50dBa – moderate rainfall (considered moderate)

60dBa – normal conversation or a dishwasher at 10 ft. (considered moderate)

70dBa – vacuum (considered moderate - loud)

80dBa – alarm clock (considered loud)

90dBa – lawn mowers, blenders, hair dryers, power tools (considered very loud)

100dBa – snowmobiles (considered very loud)

110dBa – concerts, horns, sporting events (considered uncomfortable and potentially dangerous)

120dBa – jet plane taking off (considered uncomfortable and dangerous)

I should note that I am not an expert on decibel levels however I have provided you with as much information as I have available. I hope this helps.

6. In the event the installation of the Equipment proceeds as detailed in the Proposal, will Rogers agree to cover the costs of updating the landscaping on Skyline's Property to better hide / obstruct the base of the Equipment from residents on Skyline's

Property? That is an interesting question beyond the scope of my authority as a consultant to Rogers. I do not think we've seen this done before on other files however we do appreciate the idea and will share it with Rogers' management.

As noted above, we do think that there may be ways for us to explore

retaining some of the existing landscape buffer and understand that this likely may be desired. As the design is currently conceptual this level of detail with landscaping is to be determined however we will review with your input in mind and understand that some landscape cover between your apartment and the proposed facility would likely be a desirable outcome for your business. If there is space available to retain or add landscaping, this seems like a reasonable concept in principle that ought to be discussed and explored.

7. In the event the installation of the Equipment proceeds as detailed in the Proposal,

Skyline would like to enter into an agreement with Rogers confirming that Rogers will not enter onto Skyline's Property during construction or maintenance of the Equipment and that Rogers will indemnify Skyline against any damages or injuries sustained from Rogers' installation, maintenance, and operation of the Equipment. Please confirm Rogers is agreeable to entering into such an agreement. A draft of Skyline's proposed indemnity language, which is subject to amendment by Skyline and Rogers, is as follows:

Rogers Communications Inc. ("Rogers") shall exercise due care to avoid any action that may cause damage to any part of 0511872 B.C. LTD.'s ("Skyline") property, municipality known as 6971, 6973 & 6975 Island Highway No. Nanaimo, BC ("Skyline's Property"), any part of any building on Skyline's Property, or injury to Skyline's tenants or other occupants, invitees, or users of Skyline's Property. Rogers shall indemnify, exonerate and hold Skyline's principals, officers, directors, shareholders, agents, employees, contractors and servants harmless from and against any action, cause of action, suit, claim, demand, damages, costs, expenses, losses, and liabilities, including personal injury, death, or property damage, and arising indirectly from the construction, installation, maintenance, and operation of Rogers' telecommunications equipment on the property municipality known as 6675 Island Highway No. Nanaimo, BC ("Rogers' Property"). This shall not be limited to, reasonable attorney's fees and disbursements and court costs.

As we have no authority to discuss legal matters such as indemnity for Rogers, we will share this input with Rogers' management.

Please kindly note that as per ISED (federal) protocols, I am required to advise you that you will have 21 days if you wish to comment further.

Thank you for your thoughtful submission. We will share this correspondence with Rogers management including your letter as attached in its original format.

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCJP
 SitePath Consulting Ltd.
 PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1
 Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
 Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com



On Fri, Mar 15, 2024 at 1:50 PM Mark Sekenda [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi Brian,

I represent 0911872 B.C. LTD, the owner of the property municipally known as 6971, 6973 & 6975 Island Highway North, Nanaimo, British Columbia. My client and I are in receipt of Roger's Public Consultation Proposal dated February 2, 2024 (Ref. W5729 – Woodgrove Centre). We have reviewed the aforementioned proposal and would like Rogers to address a few questions and concerns we have with the proposed installation of the telecommunications equipment pursuant to the public consultation process described in the proposal. I have attached our correspondence to this email for your review and response. We look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you,

Mark Sekenda
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]



Platinum member



Skyline Private Investment Capital Inc.
 [REDACTED]

Visit us at www.SkylineGroupOfCompanies.ca

Recognizing our responsibility to our communities, to our people, and to the environment.

Click here to read our latest Sustainability Report.

Skyline Correspondence re Antenna v1.1 (Mar 15, 2024).pdf
 534K