

AGENDA **GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE MEETING**

Monday, July 26, 2021, 2:00 P.M. SHAW AUDITORIUM, VANCOUVER ISLAND CONFERENCE CENTRE 80 COMMERCIAL STREET, NANAIMO, BC

SCHEDULED RECESS 3:00 P.M.

		Pages
CALL -	THE MEETING TO ORDER:	
[Note:	This meeting will be live streamed and video recorded for the public.]	
INTRO	DUCTION OF LATE ITEMS:	
APPRO	OVAL OF THE AGENDA:	
ADOP [.]	TION OF THE MINUTES:	
a.	Minutes	3 - 14
	Minutes from the Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting held in the Shaw Auditorium, Vancouver Island Conference Centre, 80 Commercial Street, Nanaimo, BC, on Monday, 2021-JUL-12, at 1:00 p.m.	
AGEN	DA PLANNING:	
a.	Governance and Priorities Committee Agenda Planning	15 - 21
	To be introduced by Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services.	
REPO	RTS:	
a.	COMMUNITY WELLNESS/LIVABILITY:	
	1. Waterfront Walkway - Departure Bay Update	22 - 42
	To be introduced by Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services.	
	[Note: INTRO APPRO ADOP a. AGENI a. REPOI	 Minutes from the Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting held in the Shaw Auditorium, Vancouver Island Conference Centre, 80 Commercial Street, Nanaimo, BC, on Monday, 2021-JUL-12, at 1:00 p.m. AGENDA PLANNING: a. <u>Governance and Priorities Committee Agenda Planning</u> To be introduced by Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services. REPORTS: a. <u>COMMUNITY WELLNESS/LIVABILITY:</u> 1. Waterfront Walkway - Departure Bay Update To be introduced by Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development

Purpose: To provide Council with background information on the Departure Bay Waterfront Walkway project and to present a

summary of the online engagement completed in June 2021.

Presentation:

- 1. Bill Corsan, Director, Community Development.
- 7. QUESTION PERIOD:
- 8. ADJOURNMENT:

MINUTES

GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE MEETING SHAW AUDITORIUM, VANCOUVER ISLAND CONFERENCE CENTRE, 80 COMMERCIAL STREET, NANAIMO, BC MONDAY, 2021-JUL-12, AT 1:00 P.M.

Present:	Councillor I. W. Thorpe, Chair Mayor L. Krog Councillor S. D. Armstrong (vacated 4:40 p.m.) Councillor D. Bonner Councillor T. Brown Councillor B. Geselbracht Councillor E. Hemmens Councillor Z. Maartman Councillor I. W. Thorpe Councillor J. Turley
Staff:	 J. Rudolph, Chief Administrative Officer R. Harding, General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture S. Legin, General Manager, Corporate Services D. Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services B. Sims, General Manager, Engineering and Public Works B. Corsan, Director, Community Development J. Holm, Director, Development Approvals J. Van Horne, Director, Human Resources L. Bhopalsingh, Manager, Community Planning H. Davidson, Manager, Permit Centre and Business Licensing W. Fulla, Manager, Business, Asset & Financial Planning (joined electronically) J. Rose, Manager, Transportation L. Brinkman, Planner, Community Planning K. Robertson, Deputy City Clerk S. Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services K. Gerard, Recording Secretary

1. CALL THE GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE MEETING TO ORDER:

The Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m.

2. INTRODUCTION OF LATE ITEMS:

- (a) Agenda Item 6(d)(1) Add report British Columbia Active Transportation Infrastructure Grant.
- (b) Agenda Item 6(e)(1) REIMAGINE Nanaimo Replace attached PowerPoint presentation.

3. <u>APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:</u>

It was moved and seconded that the Agenda, as amended, be adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

4. <u>ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES:</u>

It was moved and seconded that the Minutes of the Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting held in the Shaw Auditorium, Vancouver Island Conference Centre, 80 Commercial Street, Nanaimo, BC, on Monday, 2021-JUN-28, at 1:00 p.m. be adopted as circulated. The motion carried unanimously.

5. <u>AGENDA PLANNING:</u>

1. <u>Governance and Priorities Committee Agenda Planning</u>

Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services, spoke regarding the agenda planning documents. Highlights included:

- Items listed on the Governance and Priorities (GPC) matrix are listed in order of priority chosen by the Governance and Priorities Committee (the Committee)
- The Committee can change, add, or remove items as well as view the status of priorities listed
- Current items align with the strategic plan and these may change due to the budget planning session coming up in the fall of 2021
- Status of priority items include:
 - In the fall of 2021 the Committee will meet with various neighbourhood associations
 - Crosswalk safety ongoing
 - 1 Port Drive fall of 2021
 - Capital Planning process complete
 - Safety and security ongoing
 - Election signage will be brought forward in the fall of 2021
 - Street Entertainers not yet scheduled but will come forward in fall/winter of 2021
 - Vancouver Island Conference Centre fall of 2021
 - Sports venues complete
 - Westwood Lake amenities fall of 2021

Committee discussion took place. Highlights included:

- Add Chase River Extension to the list of priorities
- Items on the GPC Agenda that could have been brought forward to a Regular Council Meeting and intention of GPC meetings
- Impact of COVID-19 on public attendance at GPC meetings

Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services, advised the Committee that COVID-19 has had an impact on public participation at meetings. Staff bring forward items to GPC meetings that require more discussion before being placed on a Regular Council Meeting agenda for decision.

Committee discussion continued. Highlights included:

- GPC meeting times being in the day when people are at work
- Ensuring the Committee regulates the time spent on each item at GPC meetings and encourages more community participation and feedback
- Improvements to GPC meetings as well as setting time aside for REIMAGINE Nanaimo at each meeting

Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services, advised the Committee that REIMAGINE Nanaimo is an important initiative and a future GPC meeting could be set aside strictly for the REIMAGINE Nanaimo topic.

Jake Rudolph, Chief Administrative Officer, advised the Committee that changes to the GPC format and discussion regarding agenda items for GPC meetings could be brought forward to a future GPC meeting.

By unanimous consent the Governance and Priorities Committee moved Agenda Item 6(a)(2) – Community Amenity Contribution Policy to Agenda Item 6(a)(1) and reordered items accordingly.

6. <u>REPORTS:</u>

a. <u>GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT EXCELLENCE:</u>

1. <u>Community Amenity Contribution Policy</u>

Introduced by Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services.

Presentation:

- 1. Lisa Brinkman, Planner, Current Planning, provided the Committee with a PowerPoint presentation. Highlights included:
 - The City of Nanaimo (the City) has been collecting Community Amenity Contributions (CAC) since 2010
 - Current rates are low compared to other municipalities in BC
 - In 2019 Staff retained Rollo and Associates Ltd., to conduct market analysis of the City's current rates and recommend changes
 - Rollo and Associates recommended increasing the CAC rates from \$1000 per unit to \$8000 per unit
 - A phased increase approach was recommended to ensure the development community was given time to adjust to the new rates

- Staff met with the development community in the fall of 2020 and the phased increase approach received positive feedback
- In April of 2021 Staff met with active neighbourhood associations and the development community to acquire more feedback on the rates
- Amendments were made based on the feedback from neighbourhood associations and the development community which included:
 - 1. Special consideration was revised to no longer contain a vacancy rate
 - 2. Private developers can receive a CAC waiver for secured non-market rental dwellings when the unit is operated by a non-profit or public institution

Delegation:

- 1. Darren Moss, Tectonica Management, spoke regarding the Community Amenity Contribution Policy. Highlights included:
 - Nanaimo Development Group (NDG) agrees that CAC's are a complex issue and if not considered carefully can deter creative development in Nanaimo
 - Policies, code requirements and bylaw all add other cost of construction to the developer
 - In the short term CAC's allow for increase in land value that gives back to the community and contributes to creative rezoning encouraging all means of development
 - Recommended adjustments to the current policy include:
 - Calculation for some building types be based on floor area not unit count
 - Additional flexibility added to encourage affordable housing options
 - Calculations based on net increase instead of gross building size
 - The NDG supports option 2 stated in the report and would like more consultation with the development community

Committee discussion took place. Highlights included:

- Calculation based on net versus gross floor area
- The four criteria listed in Attachment A and ensuring the developers have room to be innovative when developing affordable housing
- The need for more two and three bedroom developments
- Incentives for creating smaller, affordable housing units and larger family size units
- The "Off Street Parking Regulations Bylaw 2018 No. 7266" and whether the bylaw is making it difficult to build larger units
- Encouraging the building of commercial and residential mixed units

Darren Moss, Tectonica Management, continued his presentation. Highlights included:

- Value of rent or sale of a unit should also be considered when calculating CAC's
- CAC's can encourage affordable housing developments through incentives and waivers
- CAC policy needs to align with the many different zoning categories and have flexibility for different types of developments

Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services, spoke regarding the CAC's. Highlights included:

- CAC's only apply when rezoning land and most land can be developed using existing zoning
- The City has always calculated CAC's based on gross as it is a much easier and better understood process
- Our current zoning categories allow for a wide range of uses and opportunities
- Multifamily and commercial zoned CAC's depend on the proposed development and density
- Staff would have to re-engage the consultant if the Committee requested more information regarding calculations based on net area versus gross

Committee discussion continued. Highlights included:

- Feedback from neighbourhood associations and developers
- Amenities chosen for contributions by the neighbourhood associations in that area
- Exploring CAC options from other communities and including real estate analysis when setting CAC rates
- Looking at alternative ways to calculate CAC's and if this information would be worthwhile to make a final decision
- Different rates for different building types and multi-family rezoning
- Ensuring a fair rate for developers and the community which encourages all types of development opportunities

Lainya Rowett, Manager, Current Planning, advised the Committee that community engagement on developments is completed and neighbourhood associations are encouraged to provide feedback.

Jeremy Holm, Director, Development Approvals, advised the Committee that the existing policy allows for CAC's to be negotiated on a gross or net calculation and there is flexibility based on the type of development proposed. It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee deny endorsement of the Community Amenity Contribution Policy and refer the policy back to Staff for further consultation with all interested parties.

The motion was <u>defeated.</u>

<u>Opposed:</u> Mayor Krog, Councillors Armstrong, Bonner, Brown, Geselbracht, Hemmens, Maartman, Thorpe and Turley.

Committee discussion continued. Highlights included:

- Calculating CAC's based on square footage
- The deadline for CAC's to be paid by private owners of land that could be subdivided and developed

Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services, advised the Committee that:

- CAC's are due at the building permit stage and are a small cost compared to the full cost of development
- Staff have included the recommendation to calculate CAC's on a gross square meter floor area versus cost per door
- CAC's are negotiated on a case by case basis and the policy has flexibility in it to negotiate based on the type and size of development
- The phased increase approach allows time for the development community to adjust to the changes in the policy

It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee deny approval of the Community Amenity Contribution Policy included in the report titled "Community Amenity Contribution Policy" subject to further consultation regarding:

- The current proposed Community Amenity Contribution rate starting 2022-JAN-01 contained in Column 3 of the PowerPoint presentation attached to the Governance and Priorities Committee agenda, dated 2021-JUL-12, titled Community Amenity Contribution Rate Discussion
- Calculations based on gross floor area versus net floor area

The motion was defeated.

<u>Opposed:</u> Mayor Krog, Councillors Armstrong, Bonner, Brown, Geselbracht, Hemmens, Maartman, Thorpe and Turley

It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council direct Staff to work with the Nanaimo Development Group and other appropriate stakeholders, to look at options and provide more information regarding:

- 1. Calculation for townhouse residential dwellings based on a per area calculation
- 2. Amenity contribution values based on net floor area

The motion carried unanimously.

The Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting recessed at 3:02 p.m. The Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting reconvened at 3:15 p.m.

2. Policy and Bylaw Renewal Project Update

Introduced by Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services.

Presentation:

- 1. Karen Robertson, Deputy City Clerk, provided the Committee with a PowerPoint presentation. Highlights included:
 - 2020-OCT-19 Staff presented the timeline and deliverables for undertaking both the bylaw and policy review projects
 - 2021 Bylaw Renewal deliverables included:
 - Development of a new Animal Responsibility Bylaw with Ministry for approval
 - Create a Master Bylaw Registry ongoing
 - Streamline the City's ticketing system ongoing
 - Develop a comprehensive Fees and Charges bylaw ongoing
 - Scan all signed bylaws complete

Committee discussion took place regarding the Fees and Charges Bylaw and altering this to be a policy instead of a bylaw which would make it easier to amend when required.

Karen Robertson, Deputy City Clerk, continued her presentation. Highlights included:

- Fees and charges should be included in a bylaw
- Original target for completion was quarter four of 2021
- Focus was shifted from the Bylaw project to the Policy project to ensure this is completed by the end of 2021
- Staff continue to work on the Bylaw Registry in quarter three and four of 2021
- Conducted full inventory of Council Policies and transferred into one template
- Part of the audit process included reviewing Council minutes to ensure policies were endorsed properly and were in the proper format
- 70 policies were identified as directives, not official policies
- Staff in each department were tasked with reviewing their department policies to see if the policies marked historic were actually repealed or could be repealed
- Feedback from the departments showed that:
 - 39 policies were deemed "ok as is"
 - 46 policies needed to be amended
 - 100 policies could be repealed as they are redundant, no longer legal, and were outdated

Committee discussion took place. Highlights included:

- Development Cost Charges (DCC's) for non-profit rental housing policy and if the 50% reduction in DCC's origintes from the general DCC Fund or the Housing Legacy fund
- Frontage Works and Services Requirements Policy regarding collection of funds for sidewalk development
- If the Bicycle Traffic Lanes Policy has been adhered to since it's implementation
- Sidewalk/Asphalt Walking Shoulder Policy
- Council Remuneration Policy

Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services, spoke regarding the above noted policies. Highlights included:

- The DCC Policy was developed before bylaws allowed for a reduction in DCC's for affordable housing and before the Housing Legacy Fund was created
- The Frontage Works and Services Requirement Policy needs to be amended but the policy was first developed so that if the neighbouring properties were not going to be developed then the frontage works and services were not obligated to be built on a new development
- Land use policies should exist in the Official Community Plan (OCP) and not in separate policies outside of the OCP

Bill Sims, General Manager, Engineering and Public Works, advised the Committee that the Bicycle Traffic Lanes Policy was created in the 1990's when it was thought that all roads 4.3 meters wide could accommodate vehicles and bicycles and the Sidewalk/Asphalt Walking Shoulder Policy has been replaced by the Manual of Engineering Standards.

Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services, advised the Committee that Council wages are adjusted annually based on the Council Remuneration policy.

Committee discussion took place regarding school zone signage.

It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council repeal the 100 outdated or redundant Council policies highlighted in red within the Table of Contents linked to the July 12, 2021 report by the Deputy City Clerk. The motion carried unanimously.

3. <u>Proposed Amendments to the Business Licence Bylaw</u>

Introduced Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services.

Presentation:

- 1. Heidi Davidson, Manager, Permit Centre and Business Licensing, provided the Committee with a PowerPoint presentation. Highlights included:
 - Current "Business Licence Bylaw 1998 No. 5351" was adopted in 1998
 - This bylaws was based on a tiered fee model but was changed to a flat fee model and has not had any changes since
 - Research included looking at five other comparable size municipalities and their business licence fee model
 - Of the five, the District of Saanich is the only other municipality that uses a flat fee model, all others were tiered
 - The flat fee model is easy to administer and easy for the public and business community to understand
 - Current fee schedule will be removed from the Business Licence Bylaw and inserted into the "Fees and Charges Bylaw 2007 No. 7041"
 - Changes to the Business Licence Bylaw include:
 - Amendments and removals of definitions
 - Additions of non-profit and commercial leased property
 - Additions of any enactments that apply to the business licence must be stated on the application
 - Addition of language to clearly state that prorating of business licence fees are for the first year of operation only
 - Language to ensure clarity regarding payment of the annual licence fee and provides staff the ability to close unpaid businesses licences
 - Removal and addition of regulations and adding these as separate schedule for clarity
 - Home based businesses increased in COVID-19
 - Currently there are 6,770 open businesses licences in the City of Nanaimo

Committee discussion took place. Highlights included:

• Tiered system versus the flat fee model

0

- Business licence fees for small businesses compared to large businesses with more clientele
- Casino, massage parlors and escort services fee amounts compared to other businesses annual fees
- Paycheck loan and cheque cashing business annual fees
- Update regulation for adult stores to read "age of majority" instead of 18 years of age
- Liquor primary establishments and bringing those fees in line with annual licence fee amount of \$165.00 per year

It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council change the liquor primary business licence fee from \$1100 to \$165 per year. The motion carried unanimously.

It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council direct Staff to proceed with finalizing the "Business Licence Bylaw 2021 No. 7318", and that once finalized, return to a future Regular Council meeting for first, second and third readings of the "Business Licence Bylaw 2021 No. 7318", along with amendments to the "Fees and Charges Bylaw 2007 No. 7041" and the "Bylaw Notice Enforcement Bylaw 2012 No. 7159". The motion carried unanimously.

b. <u>COMMUNITY WELLNESS/LIVABILITY:</u>

1. <u>Active Transportation Infrastructure Grant</u>

Shelley Legin, General Manager, Corporate Services, spoke regarding the Active Transportation Infrastructure Grant. Highlights included:

- Staff are proposing two projects be put forward to the Province for the grant including the Metral Drive Complete Streets and the Albert Street and Fourth Street Complete Streets
- The grant could cover 50% of the cost of each project

Committee discussion took place. Highlights included:

- Likelihood of the projects being awarded the grant money
- Albert Street and Fourth Street tender process and will the tender be completed before applying for the grant

It was moved and seconded that the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council direct staff to submit two applications under the Active Transportation Infrastructure Grant Program and provide a Council resolution for each submission:

- That Council direct staff to submit an application for Metral Complete Street Corridor Phase 2, confirm the local share of \$2.54 million is available and supported, the project is a municipal priority, and the project is "shovel ready" and intended to be complete within the required timeline.
- That Council direct staff to submit an application for Fourth and Albert Complete Street Phase 4, confirm the local share of \$700,000 is available and supported, the project is a municipal priority, and the project is "shovel ready" and intended to be complete within the required timeline.

The motion carried unanimously.

- c. <u>REIMAGINE NANAIMO</u>
 - 1. REIMAGINE Nanaimo Update

Introduced by Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services.

Presentation:

- 1. Lisa Bhopalsingh, Manager, Community Planning, provided the Committee with a PowerPoint presentation. Highlights included:
 - A soft launch of Phase 2 was completed on 2021-JUN-28
 - Official launch is 2021-JUL-13
 - 125 surveys have already been received through the soft launch
 - Online and hard copy surveys are available
 - Phase 2 will ask for community feedback on different aspects of each pathway and options for the future
 - A video will be made available for those who find it easier to understand through a visual platform
 - In Phase 2 there will be eight staff lead workshops
 - The Committee is welcome to request a separate workshop and Staff can schedule that workshop if needed
 - Self guided booklets are available and can be mailed to community members as requested
 - Metro Quest Platform is a interactive tool that allows users to choose their priorities and choose different scenarios

Committee discussion took place regarding the Metro Quest Platform and if the scenarios and priorities in the interactive tool are preselected for the public and if community/public safety a choice.

Lisa Bhopalsingh, Manager, Community Planning, continued her presentation. Highlights included:

• Priorities in the Metro Quest Platform are preselected based on community feedback in Phase 1 but the public can add comments

Councillor Armstrong vacated the Shaw Auditorium at 4:40 p.m.

Lisa Bhopalsingh, Manager, Community Planning, continued her presentation. Highlights included:

- Staff are working with School District 68 to ensure youth are involved in the REIMAGINE Nanaimo process
- Staff have been meeting with the Environment Committee and the Advisory Committee on Accessibility and Inclusiveness Committee to review the REIMAGINE Nanaimo process and receive feedback
- Outreach to service providers is ongoing to ensure that barriers to participation are being reduced
- Two blind focus groups have been scheduled to guide participants through the process and acquire unbiased responses

• REIMAGINE Nanaimo has it's own Tik Tok channel as a way to better engage youth

7. <u>QUESTION PERIOD:</u>

- Bill Manners re: Community Amenity Contribution Policy re: Annual CAC increase and when CAC's are due.
- Bill Manners re: Proposed Amendments to the Business Licence Bylaw re: Business licence fees for non-profits and neighbourhood associations.

8. <u>ADJOURNMENT:</u>

It was moved and seconded at 4:51 p.m. that the meeting terminate. The motion carried unanimously.

CHAIR

CERTIFIED CORRECT:

CORPORATE OFFICER



September 27

1. Election Signage



FY OF NANAIMO

October 25

1. Topics to be determined

Timeline		Overall Pauling	Reckersund			Desired Outcomes	
April	Topic Neighbourhood Associations (Session 2 of 2)	Overall Ranking	Background Identified as a priority topic at the GPC meeting held 2020-Jan-20 (Session 1 of 2)	Format Invite chairs of some associations to attend and be available for the discussion. Identify what resources are available. Presentation on how neighbourhood associations work in the City and what expectations they have of Council (i.e.: how do they want to be engaged?)	Neighbourhood Association	Desired Outcomes Formalized process for recognizing neighbourhood associations and the City's role in this process. Create a new policy and criteria for neighbourhood associations moving forward including how they can be officially recognized. Defer any financial implications to Finance and Audit Committee	Status In progress
Мау	Crosswalk Safety	3	Identified as a priority topic at the GPC meeting held 2020-FEB-10	Crosswalks: report about flashing lights at crosswalks (are they beneficial, etc.). Education and information around increasing pedestrian safety at crosswalks. Costs around the lighting at crosswalks. Information Report re: Raised crosswalks at high accident intersections, Crosswalk design modelling on the new 3 D style being introduced, email had been sent to Mr. Rose Reflective tape such as is used in Ladysmith, Costs associated with all	At one of the multiple meetings (could be a multi- step approach): -RCMP traffic reconstructionist who can provide information. -ICBC Safety Coordinator.	Could come as a next step: Professional best practice on what should be at crosswalks and what works best and why, etc. Outcome: a report that outlines all of the pros and cons of crosswalk lighting and pedestrian safety. Options/costs All crosswalks will have the latest safety features available.	In progress
13	1 Port Drive	7		Update from Staff on this project and next steps.		Next steps identified.	
				Included in the next budget cycle. List of projects of a strategic nature.		Workshop format with projects of a strategic nature identified. During budget process 5 to 10 year capital plan projects	
Мау	Capital Planning Process	2		Broad list of anticipated projects. Discussion on safety as a whole, resources available and	Business owners and residents that are impacted by the homelessness crisis.	reviewed.	Complete
April	Safety/Security	4		streamlining or finding solutions to help all.		Solutions, education, and streamline resources.	In progress
uly	Waterfront Walkway	5		Update from staff on this project and the next steps.		Next steps identified - borrowing and method.	
September	Election Signage	10		Staff report with background, updates required, policy, etc.		Election signage clarity - bylaw, policy, location, limits, time-frame, etc.	
14	Street Entertainers Bylaw			Review of current bylaw and other related bylaws (e.g. Noise Bylaw) to ensure consistencies. Update if necessary. Information session on history; state of the union.		Consistent bylaws. Improvements if necessary.	
03	Vancouver Island Conference Centre	6		Discussion around all uses identified and utilization of space.	Conference Centre staff	Best uses/practices determined. Utilization of space and uses identified.	
June	Sports Venues and Tourism Strategies	8		Multi-step process - venues and projects around Sport Venues will be grouped together when possible for a discussion and decision on advancing. Tourism - update from staff and next steps.		Sports tourism strategy and sports venues	Complete

Timeline	Торіс	Overall Ranking	Background	Format	Invitees	Desired Outcomes	Status
				Discussion re: suggested changes: Does Council want to re-establish committees such as Arts and Culture Parks Recreation Community Safety Would it be a forum for interested residents to learn the			
	Committee Structure		Examining the current Committee Structure	basics of good governance and procedures - training ground for future candidates		Council decision on moving forward with more committees, or a different committee structure.	
Q3	Westwood Lake Amenities Overview	9	Review of the park amenities (Park/Trail/Parking/Use)	Staff report and review of the park amenities and use plan		Outcome desired to gain an understanding of the park use plans currently in place and improvements/plans for future use	
March	Leaders Table		The Mayor's Leaders' Table is one of the key recommendations for recovery coming from the Mayor's Task Force on Recovery and Resillence.	Establishing terms of reference		To establish the Mayor's Leaders' Table as recommended by the Mayor's Task Force.	Complete
			Nanaimo BUILDS is one of the key recommendations for recovery coming from the Mayor's Task Force on Recovery			To begin developing the 100,000 Voices Campaign Concept including marketing and communications plan,	
March	Build Nanaimo - 100,000 Voices	والمراجع والمتحاد والم	and Resilience.	Review proposed logo concept.	farmed the she had be a real	and a budget.	Complete
March	Art in Public Spaces - Deaccession 2021		The Community Plan for Public Art, identifies the process to ensure the ongoing care of the City's Public Art Collection, including periodic evaluation of artworks for de-accession. Three works are identified as having reached the end of their lifespan and are recommended for de-accession. Funding is available through UBCM on	Staff report with background and recommendations.		Deaccession of three artworks from the City of Nanaimo's Public Art Collection.	Complete
	Strengthening Communities' Service		behalf of the Province and Government of Canada to assist local governments and Treaty First Nations to improve health and safety of unsheltered homeless people, and reduce community concerns about public health and safety in neighbourhoods with unsheltered				
March	Grant Opportunity		homeless people seeking shelter. During the 2020-OCT-05 Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting, Council endorsed the creation of an Art in Public Spaces Working Group with the purpose of providing strategic and technical			Apply for grant through UBCM.	Complete
	Art in Public Spaces Working Group -			Discussion re: Establishing an Art in Public Spaces Working		Working Group and proceeding with a call for	Complete
March	Draft Guidelines and Process		the City's public art programs.	Group and Guidelines for their work.		applications.	Complete

Timeline		Overall Paralitate	Real and a second s			Desired Outcomes	51-1-1-1-
heline	Торіс	Overall Ranking	Background Nielson Strategies Inc. was engaged in	Format	Invitees	Referring the proposed additional Staff positions to the	Status
			October 2020 to assist in an independent Building Permit Function Review. The consultant has provided seven		Allan Neilson, Neilson	Finance and Audit Committee for consideration in the 2021-2025 Financial Plan; 'Implement remaining six recommended changes outlined	
larch	Building Permit Review		- A second s	Review and discuss draft report from Neilson Strategies Inc.		in report.	Complete
pril &							
lay	Council Realignment						In progress
						Committee wishes to have a further look at the bylaw	
pril	Schedule D - Affordable Housing	and Mathematica			and the second	when housekeeping amendments come forward.	In progress
	Affordable Housing Strategy - Annual						
pril	Implementation Update						Complete
lay	Transit Redevelopment Strategy	Lange and the second					In progress
	Safer Systems - Pedestrian		Council ranked #1 GPC topic - 2nd report				
lay	Safety/Crosswalk - Vision Zero		on crosswalk safety				In progress
ine	Sports Tourism Strategy						Complete
ine	Tourism Governance Model						Complete
une/July	Events Planning				a construction of the second		den en e
ıly	Community Contributions Part II						In progress
ly	Policy and Bylaw Project update	man she was seen and she	- Consider a substances in a substances in the	a de la seconda de la secon	an ann an sain san san san an	and another contraction of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the	Complete
ly	Business Licence Bylaw						Complete
ıly	Mid Year Transportation Update		and the second secon	and the second			A Street Street
ıly	Active School Travel						
dy	Waterfront Walkway			and the second			alone monthly
eptember	Election Signage	and the second			S. She & South Sec.	and the state of the second	And a second second
li di secono							
				Charrette			
larch	REIMAGINE NANAIMO			GPC Council options on Charrette			Complete
pril	REIMAGINE NANAIMO	and a star star and a star star star star star star star st		Approval of Plan Framework	and the state of the	the second s	Complete
			Reimagine - Transportation Policy				
				Updates on Engagement and Activities			
lay	REIMAGINE NANAIMO			Committee Feedback			Complete
				Committees Feedback and Continued Engagement Updates			
une	REIMAGINE NANAIMO			DRAFTING PLANS	A second second second second		Complete
				Phase 2 engagement numbers			
				Phase 2 Engagement Summary Presented			
uly	REIMAGINE NANAIMO	1		Draft Plans - internal staff review			In progress
				No meetings - Preparation of key plan directions and			
August	REIMAGINE NANAIMO			rationale			Aller Indexingunation
				Committees Feedback			
Salast	A CONTRACTOR OF			External Agency Referrals			
eptember	REIMAGINE NANAIMO			Refining Plans			

Governance and Priorities Committee Agenda Planning

2021	2021 GPC Dates										
Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
11	8	8	12	10	14	12	-	27	25	8	13
	22	22	26	31	28	26	-	-	-	22	-

JANUARY



*January 25 – Meeting Cancelled

MAY



*May	10 _	Snacial	Council
iviciy	10 -	opeciai	Council

SEPTEMBER



FEBRUARY s m t w t f s 1 2 3 4 5 6



JUNE s m t w t f s 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27 28 29 30



26 27 28 29 30

Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting Statutory Holiday FCM Annual Conference (Toronto) Council Meeting

24

31





JULY s m t w t f s 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

AUGUST s m t w t f s 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

29 30 31

*July 15 Public Hearing changed to July 22





UBCM Convention (Vancouver) AVICC Convention (Nanaimo) Public Hearing (Special Council Meeting)



Updated: 2021-JUL-13 Page 1

Deferred to Finance and Audit Committee

• Fees and Charges

Previous Topics Covered 2021

- Active Transportation
- Public Engagement report for the Animal Responsibility Bylaw
- SFN and SD68 Truth and Reconciliation -Joan Brown and Scott Saywell Presentation
- Health and Housing Task Force Final Report
- Community Amenity Contribution Policy
- Building Permit Review
- Mayor's Task Force on Recovery and Resilience
- Safety and Security
- Neighbourhood Associations
- Council Realignment
- Parks, Recreation and Culture Master Plan Update
- Sports Tourism
- Policy and Bylaw Renewal Project Update
- Business Licence Bylaw
- Fees and Charges Amendment Bylaw
- British Columbia Active Transportation Infrastructure Grant

Previous Topics Covered 2019 - 2020

- Review of "Council Procedure Bylaw 2018 No. 7272"
- Neighbourhood Associations Part 1
- Effective Advocacy Strategies
- Coordinated Strategic Policy Review 2020-2021
- Single Use Checkout Bags
- Civic Facilities conditions, issues, plans and objectives
- Energy and Emissions Management Program
- Advocacy Part 2
- Coordinated Strategic Policy Review 2020-2021 Public Engagement Strategy
- Manual of Engineering Standards and Specifications Revision Update
- REIMAGINE NANAIMO Demographics and Land Inventory/Capacity Analysis Summary
- Climate Change Resilience Strategy
- Reallocation of Street Space
- Governance: Question Period/Correspondence/Proclamations/Other
- Council Resolution Update

- Reopening Strategy/Plan
- Roadway Reallocation Options
- Social Procurement
- Sustainable Procurement
- Capital Projects
- Sports Venues
- Proposed Amendments to the MoESS
- Arts & Culture
- Short Term Rental/AirBnB regulations
- REIMAGINE NANAIMO "Water"
- Sanitation Review
- Animal Responsibility Bylaw
- Councillor Brown and Councillor Geselbracht re: Doughnut Economic Framework Model
- Health and Housing Task Force Update
- Environment Committee Recommendations
- Emergency Food and Nutrition Security Strategy



Information Report

File Number: LD003257

SUBJECT	WATERFRONT WALKWAY – DEPARTURE BAY UPDATE
AUTHORED BY	BILL CORSAN, DIRECTOR, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DATE OF MEETING	July 26, 2021

OVERVIEW

Purpose of Report:

To provide Council with background information on the Departure Bay Waterfront Walkway project and to present a summary of the online engagement completed in June 2021.

BACKGROUND

The Waterfront Walkway Project is a Council priority and has been identified in each of the last three Strategic Plans. The 2019-2022 Strategic Plan identifies the feasibility work and conceptual plan for an on-beach option for the Departure Bay waterfront walkway as a priority under the Economic Health Pillar. The November 2020 report from the Mayor's Task Force on Recovery and Resilience noted the project as a priority for Strategic Investment.

In 2017, the City completed an overall Waterfront Walkway Implementation Plan that built on the goal of creating a continuous waterfront walkway from Departure Bay to the Nanaimo River Estuary. In that plan, the Departure Bay and Newcastle Channel sections of the waterfront walkway were identified as priorities. In 2018, a functional design was completed for an elevated walkway along a portion of Departure Bay. After a Value Engineering Assessment of the project, it was recommended Council consider an on-beach option to reduce project costs.

At the 2019-APR-08 Council meeting, a Staff report was presented to Council outlining the steps required to examine the viability of an on-beach walkway for a section of the waterfront walkway from Battersea Road to the BC Ferries Departure Bay Terminal.

Council, at their 2019-MAR-18 meeting, allocated \$400,000 from the 2018 general surplus to fund the Departure Bay waterfront walkway feasibility study and functional design. The goal of the assignment is to provide Council with the associated costs, impacts, and benefits of the project, which will aid Council in making a decision to advance the project.

Staff issued a Request for Statement of Qualifications on 2019-APR-24 for a consultant team to undertake the assignment. The work was awarded to a team led by McElhanney Ltd. The respective roles included:

- *McElhanney Ltd.* project management, civil engineering, geotechnical engineering, and land surveying.
- Lanarc landscape architecture, waterfront walkway and trail design, environmental design, 3D modelling, and visualization.



- *Northwest Hydraulics Consultants Ltd.* coastal engineering, geomorphology, and computational modelling.
- Aquaparian Environmental Consulting Ltd. terrestrial and marine water assessment.
- Drdul Community Transportation Planning active transportation planning.
- *RB Engineering Ltd.* electrical engineering.
- Golder Associates Ltd. archaeological assessment.

DISCUSSION

The project was separated into two phases. The first phase tasked the consultant team with determining if the construction of an on-beach waterfront walkway in Departure Bay was technically feasible. The second phase involved developing a functional design for the project to aid in future permitting and tendering.

The feasibility study included extensive data collection and modelling to better understand the technical challenges and potential design opportunities that could be employed to address those challenges. The study area included both the foreshore and adjacent lands within Departure Bay.

Technical Challenge	Issue	Design
		Opportunity/Consideration
Wind, Current, and Wave Modeling	The project needs to account for storm surge, storm wave run up, and freeboard. Modelling of Departure Bay shows impacts during various weather events.	Raise the walkway to account for wave action. Use a gradual slope to reduce wave action. Use headlands/reefs to break wave action
Sea Level Rise	Local sea level rise is predicted to be 0.60m in 50 years. Sea level rise was incorporated into the wave modelling.	Incorporate sea level rise into project for 50-year horizon. Design with ability to adjust the height when trail needs to be resurfaced.
Geotechnical Concern with Cilaire Bluff	There is slope instability in certain areas along the Cilaire Bluff that could result in slumping and vegetation falling.	Mitigate the erosion of the toe of slope and adjust the alignment to minimize the risk of debris from slope failure in select locations.
Environmental Values of Shoreline	Work on the foreshore will impact existing habitat. Most of foreshore is considered low-value habitat.	Must design the walkway to ensure no net loss of habitat. The project should be designed to ensure a net gain of habitat.
Archaeological	A known archaeological site (low significance as defined by the project archeologist) could be impacted by the project.	A site alteration permit will be required. Ensure early involvement from Snuneymuxw First Nation.

The table below summarizes the key findings from the data collection:



Permitting	Construction of the walkway	Permitting requires detailed
	on the foreshore will require	design work to be completed.
	permits from Department of	Both DFO and the Province
	Fisheries and Oceans (DFO)	will require consultation with
	and a lease/licence/right-of-	local first nations and
	way from the Province of BC.	preferable consent from
	Approvals also required from	Snuneymuxw First Nation.
	BC Ferries, Transport	
	Canada, and the Regional	
	District of Nanaimo.	
Riparian Rights	There are 30 private property	The City should undertake an
	owners along the Cilaire Bluff	acquisition program to
	that have riparian rights.	acquire the riparian rights
	Construction of the on-beach	from the property owners, in
	option requires each	advance of permitting.
	homeowner to provide	
	consent for the project.	

Upon completion of the data collection, the consultant team prepared a functional design that incorporated pedestrian and cycling facilities within the walkway, as prescribed in the Waterfront Walkway Implementation Plan. This includes, at a minimum, a separate 3m-wide path for pedestrians and a 3m-wide path for cyclists, with beach restoration following green shores principles.

Other critical design direction included:

- Set the walkway elevation to provide flood protection for the 50-year event, based on historic conditions, with allowance for future sea level rise;
- Design the walkway to be resilient under flooding conditions;
- Make allowance for future upgrades, as warranted, to accommodate sea level rise;
- Design a structure to protect the public from minor slide events and allow for clean up after minor slide events;
- Risks associated with extreme storm events that flood the walkway will be addressed through operational procedures that may include temporary closures until the storm subsides (this event could occur once every five years); and
- Design the works on Crown Land, where possible, to avoid impact on adjacent private property.

Cost Estimate and Funding

The total value of the project is estimated in the order of \$25,000,000 to \$30,000,000, including property acquisition and bonding requirements with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

The 2021-2026 Financial Plan identifies the project as being funded through borrowing. Staff would also apply for grants to help reduce the overall cost to Nanaimo taxpayers. Borrowing for the project would require approval of the electorate.



Online Engagement Summary

Council directed Staff to provide the public with an update on the project using the City's online engagement platform. A project website was created using www.getinvolvednanaimo.ca, which provided background information on the project, videos, and a survey to capture feedback on the project.

The survey was open from 2021-JUN-11 to 2021-JUN-30. A total of 2,541 responses were received during the period.

The findings of the survey are contained in Attachment A.

The key messages from the survey were as follows:

Survey Distribution

- Good response to the survey from across the city, with 1039 responses from the broader Departure Bay area.
- 123 immediate neighbours to the project responded to the survey.
- Relatively even age distribution to the survey from age 30 to 80.

Previous Involvement in Waterfront Walkway Project

• The majority of the participants (1,756) had not been involved with the previous Waterfront Walkway Implementation Plan engagement.

Use of Proposed Walkway

 Respondents noted a range of reasons they would use the Departure Bay waterfront walkway, including for exercise, access to the beach, wildlife viewing, to walk/cycle to shops/restaurants outside Departure Bay, and to socialize.

Important Design Elements

• The following design elements solicited the most support from the public: greenery, including trees and plantings; access to the waterfront; separation of cycling and walking users; design for all ages/abilities; lighting that avoids light spill; and seating/picnic areas.

Elements of Concern

• The top elements of concern include: protection of existing riparian and shoreline areas, operations and maintenance, active transportation enhancements, considerations for climate change (sea level rise, high tides, storm water), and waterfront access.

Project Support

• 79.9% of respondents strongly support or support the project; 15% of respondents do not support the project or strongly do not support the project.

Funding Options

• Survey participants were asked which funding options they agree with most. Exploring opportunities for grants showed strong support. Around half of participants supported the use of reserve funds and borrowing.



Future Council Decision Points

The following next steps are anticipated in advancing the project:

- Fall of 2021: The project will be presented with other key capital projects in the community. Council will then be in a position to evaluate the major capital investments envisioned for the community in the coming years and explore funding opportunities and prioritization.
- Fall of 2022/Spring of 2023: If Council wishes to proceed with the project, Council will be asked to hold a referendum to secure public support to fund the project via a borrowing bylaw. The cost of the referendum is approximately \$150,000. Council may wish to hold the referendum during the election in October 2022 to not incur the costs of the referendum.
- Spring 2023: The project would proceed if approved by the electors. Next steps would include:
 - property acquisition program;
 - o completion of detailed design; and
 - submission of permit, land tenure, and grant applications, which may take upwards of 18 months for approval.
- Spring/Fall 2024: Tendering and construction of project (18 24 months to complete construction).

If Council wishes to proceed with the project, Staff recommend that additional consultation be held with the community on specific design elements, and property owners adjacent to the project are engaged by the project team in advance of the approval of the electorate. The use of an E-Town Hall might be another option for Council to consider for broader engagement.

CONCLUSION

The feasibility study and the draft functional design for the on-beach waterfront walkway in Departure Bay has shown the concept is viable and can be constructed in a manner that will have a net-positive benefit to the marine habitat and environment.

Online engagement completed in June 2021 for the project has shown strong support from the general public, with concerns raised by immediate property owners to the project.

Staff will return to a future Council meeting in the fall of 2021 to present this project with other major capital projects for Council's consideration and direction on next steps.



SUMMARY POINTS

- The Waterfront Walkway Project is a Council priority and has been identified in each of the last three Strategic Plans.
- The 2019-2022 Strategic Plan identifies the feasibility work and conceptual plan for an on-beach option for the Departure Bay waterfront walkway as a priority under the Economic Health Pillar.
- A functional design for the Departure Bay Waterfront Walkway project would include both pedestrian and cycling facilities and new access points to Departure Bay beach.
- The project is estimated to cost \$25,000,000 to \$30,000,000.
- The City's public engagement platform was used to solicit feedback and interest in the project in June of 2021. A total of 2,541 responses were received to the survey.
- There is still strong support for the project by the general public, but concerns have been raised by the immediate property owners.
- The Departure Bay Waterfront Walkway project will be presented with other key capital projects in the fall of 2021 for Council's consideration.
- If Council wishes to proceed with this project, it will require the approval of the electorate through either a referendum or alternative approval process.

ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A: 2021 Online Engagement Summary

Submitted by:

Concurrence by:

Bill Corsan Director, Community Development Dale Lindsay General Manager, Development Services



A Little Bit Of History

- The Waterfront Walkway project has been identified as a Council priority initiative in each of the last three Strategic Plans.
- In 2017, the City completed an overall Implementation Plan for the creation of a continuous walkway from Departure Bay to the Nanaimo River Estuary.
- The plan was completed with significant input from the community and revealed strong public support for the development of the waterfront walkway.
- The Waterfront Walkway Implementation Plan was endorsed by Council in December 2017.



WATERFRONT WALK, CYCLE, BEACH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS

2012 - 2015 **Strategic Plan**

Nanaimo values its waterfront from four perspectives: the iconic distinctiveness it brings to the City, public enjoyment, maritime employment, and habitat protection. The collective commitment can be found in planNAMAMO (7 waterfront objectives), the Nanaimo Harbour Master Pian, the Downtown Pian, the Parks Recreation and Culture Pian, and the Nanaimo Estuary Management Pian. These plans include the long term goal of building an uninterrupted waterfront trail from Departure Buy Beach to the Nanaimo River Estuary. Over the past decades, successive Councils have all made their contribution to waterfront enhancement; the current Counci is committed to doing its share with this priority initiative.

Potential Strategies and/or Initiatives:

Outcomes Desired:

Enhanced public access and use A continuous, uninterrupted and accessible waterfront trail/connection from Departure Bay Beach to Nanaimo River Estuary A working waterfront that

supports business, marine industries, transportation connectivity, entertainment and tourism Protected view corridors to the waterfront

Protected and enhanced marine habitat

"Waterfront is crucial to Nanaimo's future" "Nanaimo's amazing waterfront is world-renowned. The area is, bar none, the best geographical feature of this beautiful city. As such, its importance cannot be

underestimated."

Instant overspie generation is managers and statistics out it coupling to the tool pillars of outside and the pillar of the importance of public pillar partnerships in the new economic caship. Control for the pillar of control for the pillar of control for the pillar of control for the pillar of Assess and abores concerns Nations to aggin immatuscule at verying First. Work with Shomymawn First Nations and BC Parks to explore options for improving access to Newcastle Island with priority consideration to be given to marine access to the Island at the norther end of Newcastle Channel to encourage a vallway loog from Downtown, along the length of the Island, with Ferry return from the south end.

Potential strategies and/or initiatives: Create a "Waterfond Interdepartmental Staff Team" (WIST) mandated to build partnerships, identify and act on opportunities to build the uninterrupted waterfond trail and other priving volctomes. Recogning the complex ownership and jurisdictional challenges along the waterfront, the Team would work closely with the Naaime Port Authority, Province, PIGS Sumeymoux First Nations, DNBIA, BC Ferries, Canadian Pacific Railways, fee-simple waterfortor property owners and neighbourhood associations. The Team will initially develop 'go forward' principles and strategies that recognize the foor pillar of strationalibility and the importance of public private partnerships in the new economic reality.

Identify options (interim and long term) to better connect the Waterfront Trail with the Millstone River Trail. Initial emphasis to be on wayfinding and signage. with the ministone nover train, include emphasis to be on waymoning and signal Uggrade and improve existing Departure Bay section of the Waterfront Trail from Kin Hut to Hammond Bay Road. Continue to increase seasonal recreational and tourist appeal of the waterfront through events, entertainment, food services, vendors, public art. .







Previous Design Work

- In 2018, a Functional Design was completed for an elevated walkway near the Ferry Terminal.
- Revealed construction costs far exceeded original estimates, in part due to increased costs of structural steel and other specialized construction requirements.
- A Value Engineering Assessment was completed and it was recommended that an on-beach option be considered to reduce projects costs.



WATERFRONT WALK, CYCLE, BEACH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS

Project Scope

- On 2019-MAR-18, Council allocated \$400,000 from the 2018 general surplus to fund the Waterfront Walkway Feasibility Study and Functional Design
- Staff issued a Request for Statement of Qualifications to identify a multidisciplinary team to deliver the project
- A team led by McElhanney Consultants was selected to undertake the project
- The project had two distinct phases:
 Phase 1: Feasibility Study
 - Phase 2: Functional Design



WATERFRONT WALK, CYCLE, BEACH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS

Phase 1: Feasibility Study

- Data collection and modelling to understand the challenges and design opportunities.
- The study area included both the foreshore and adjacent lands.
- 3 conceptual designs along the foreshore.
- Other Critical Design Direction included:
 - Flood Protection and Resiliency
 - Future Upgrade Allowance for Sea Level Rise
 - Structure Design for Minor Slide Events
 - Extreme Storm Event Risks
 - Works on Crown Land to avoid Impact on Private Property

WATERFRONT WALK, CYCLE, BEACH AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS













Previous Council Recommendation

At the 2020-DEC-21 In Camera Council Meeting, Council directed Staff to:

- 1. Update the Snuneymuxw First Nation on the project status at an upcoming Protocol Agreement Working Group meeting;
- 2. Present the functional design work to the community through the City's online engagement platform;
- 3. Present the project to the Advisory Committee on Accessibility and Inclusiveness for feedback; and
- 4. Return to Council with a summary of costs, challenges, and benefits of the project based on the final functional design, Snuneymuxw First Nation engagement, land acquisition strategy and public feedback on the project.







Question 2:

Do you own property along the proposed Departure Bay Walkway – either beachfront or street frontage?





Question 4:

Did you participate in the engagement process for the Nanaimo Waterfront Walkway Implementation Plan development which was completed in 2017?



Question 5: When the Departure Bay segment is complete, I will likely use the waterfront walkway to:



Question 6:

What elements are most important to you when developing a more detailed design for the Departure Bay waterfront walkway?



Question 7: What elements are you most concerned about with potential design development of the project?



Question 8/9:

In general, and complementary to your replies in the funding section to follow, do you support the development of the Departure Bay Waterfront Walkway and related improvements from the existing trail behind BC Ferries Terminal to the existing walkway near the Kin Hut at Kinsmen Park as proposed?



Question 10: Potential Funding Models

Exploring grant opportunities through senior levels of government to fund a portion of the cost with a focus on both walk/cycle assistance programs and disaster mitigation (e.g., sea level rise adjustments)





Question 10: Potential Funding Models

Supporting City Council to go to a borrowing referendum or alternative approval process on the basis that improvements will benefit existing and future residents and to share in the capital and debt servicing costs.



Next Steps

- Fall 2021: Project to be presented with other key capital projects, Council will evaluate the major capital investments envisioned for the community and explore funding opportunities and prioritization
- Fall 2022 / Spring 2023: If proceeding with project, Council will be asked obtain assent of electors to secure public support to fund the project via a borrowing bylaw
- Spring 2023: Project would proceed if approved by electors. Next steps would include:
 - Property acquisition program
 - o Detailed design completion
 - Submission of documents to Province
- Spring/Fall 2024: Tendering and construction of project

