

AGENDA GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE MEETING

Monday, July 29, 2019, 1:00 P.M. - 4:00 P.M. Board Room, Service and Resource Centre, 411 Dunsmuir Street, Nanaimo, BC

Pages

- 1. CALL TO ORDER:
- 2. INTRODUCTION OF LATE ITEMS:
- 3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:
- 4. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES:

a. Minutes 3 - 11

Minutes of the Governance and Priorities Committee held in the Boardroom, Service and Resource Centre, Nanaimo, BC on Monday, 2019-JUL-15 at 1:00 p.m.

5. REPORTS:

- a. COMMUNITY WELLNESS/LIVABILITY:
 - Downtown Nanaimo Key Opportunities

12 - 17

To be introduced by Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services.

Purpose: To provide the Governance and Priorities Committee with a list of 'quick wins' for the downtown core following Council's recent Downtown Priorities session.

Recommendation: That the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council approve the 'quick wins' as presented in the Staff Report titled "Downtown Nanaimo Key Opportunities", dated 2019-JUL-29, and direct Staff to move forward with their implementation.

b. ECONOMIC HEALTH:

1. Economic Development Function Service Model Review

To be introduced by Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services.

Purpose: To provide the Governance and Priorities Committee with the interim results of the Economic Development Function Service Model Review.

Presentation:

- 1. Allan Neilson, Principal, Neilson Strategies.
- c. GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT EXCELLENCE:
- d. ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY:
- e. AGENDA PLANNING:
 - 1. Governance and Priorities Committee Agenda Planning

81 - 82

- 6. QUESTION PERIOD:
- 7. PROCEDURAL MOTION:
- 8. ADJOURNMENT:

MINUTES

GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE MEETING BOARDROOM, SERVICE AND RESOURCE CENTRE, 411 DUNSMUIR STREET, NANAIMO, BC MONDAY, 2019-JUL-15, AT 1:00 P.M. – 4:00 P.M.

Present: Councillor E. Hemmens, Chair

Mayor L. Krog

Councillor S. D. Armstrong (arrived 1:01 p.m.)

Councillor D. Bonner Councillor T. Brown Councillor B. Geselbracht Councillor Z. Maartman Councillor I. W. Thorpe

Absent: Councillor J. Turley

Staff: J. Rudolph, Chief Administrative Officer (arrived 1:03 p.m.)

R. J. Harding, General Manger, Parks Recreation and Culture

D. Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services B. Sims, General Manager, Engineering and Public Works

B. Corsan, Director, Community Development (vacated 3:33 p.m., returned

3:56 p.m.)

L. Mercer, Director, Finance (vacated 2:29 p.m., returned 2:45 p.m., vacated 3:33 p.m.)

P. Rosen, Director, Engineering (vacated 2:29 p.m., returned 2:44 p.m., vacated 3:32 p.m.)

J. Holm, Director, Development Approvals (vacated 2:29 p.m.)

L. Bhopalsingh, Manager, Community and Cultural Planning (vacated 3:32 p.m.)

D. LaBerge, Manager, Community Safety (vacated 2:29 p.m., returned 2:55 p.m.)

J. Rose, Manager, Transportation (vacated 2:29 p.m.)

F. Farrokhi, Manager, Communications (vacated 2:29 p.m., returned 2:45 p.m.)

M. Koch, Parks and Open Space Planner

A. Bandurka, Real Estate Clerk (vacated 3:32 p.m., returned 3:56 p.m.)

D. Blackwood, Client Support Specialist (vacated 1:15 p.m.)

S. Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services

J. Vanderhoef, Recording Secretary

1. CALL THE GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE MEETING TO ORDER:

The Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m.

Councillor Armstrong entered the Boardroom at 1:01 p.m.

2. <u>APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA:</u>

It was moved and seconded that the Agenda be adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

J. Rudolph entered the Boardroom at 1:03 p.m.

3. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES:

It was moved and seconded that the Minutes of the Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting held in the Boardroom, Service and Resource Centre, 411 Dunsmuir Street, Nanaimo, BC on Monday, 2019-JUN-10 at 3:00 p.m. be adopted as circulated. The motion carried unanimously.

4. REPORTS:

a. COMMUNITY WELLNESS/LIVABILITY:

1. <u>Downtown Nanaimo Information Session</u>

Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services, introduced the Downtown Nanaimo Information Session and outlined the schedule of topics for discussion. Mr. Lindsay asked Councillors to write down their top three priorities for the downtown area and provided these notes to Alyssa Bandurka, Real Estate Clerk, to compile Council's top five priorities for discussion later during the meeting.

Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services, noted that Madeline Koch, Parks and Open Space Planner, would be taking notes regarding discussion topics on a large drawing board throughout the information session.

D. Blackwood vacated the Boardroom at 1:15 p.m.

1. 1 Port Drive (Short/Long Term Plans)

Bill Corsan, Director, Community Development, spoke regarding the following:

- 1 Port Drive was purchased from Canadian Pacific Railway in 2013
- Some of the previous goals were to stimulate waterfront redevelopment, provide accessibility and a transit exchange location
- Originally thought this would be a long term hold but Sea Span has moved
- A substantial amount of environmental and archeological work has been done on the site

- Lots of demolishing has been done on the site to clear for development
- Update on status of Port Drive Master Plan is scheduled to come before Council in coming weeks
- Interim uses for the site: markets, basket ball courts, parking etc.

Committee discussion took place regarding:

- Use of the site and environmental limitations
- Allowing public access to waterfront through the property
- An interim walkway which will provide access to the cruise ship terminal

2. Maffeo Sutton Park Master Plan Update

Richard Harding, General Manger, Parks Recreation and Culture, spoke regarding the following:

- Previous Council received the Draft Maffeo Sutton Park Master Plan in late 2017
- Described the review process done thus far
- Previous direction eliminated zoning for condos and created parkland within Maffeo Sutton Park
- Snuneymuxw First Nation owns a piece of property on the site
- Playground update has begun this year
- Public engagement highlighted desire for: amenity improvements, improved access to transit and parking, improvements to waterfront walkway access, improved performance space, water fountains, more food options, more public art programs, pre-ecological improvements in the Millstone area, and naturalizing the lagoon area

Committee discussion took place regarding:

- Reason behind condos not moving forward and site remaining as parking spots
- Parking challenges for large events
- Howard Johnson site being an alternate access site for parking
- Water quality for swimming
- Events promoting recycling and providing bins
- Working with transit for large scale events

3. Social Issues

Dave LaBerge, Manager, Community Safety, spoke regarding the following:

Crime rates in Chicago and Baltimore and urban congestion

- History of collapsed downtown core 15 years ago, 50% vacant store fronts, lease rates in downtown core were 1/3 of other areas in the City, prominent organized crime presence and 16 liquor establishments in the area
- Types of businesses and social services that were focused in the downtown core tipped the activity towards poverty, crime and drug use
- Downtown has improved by comparison to 15 years ago, but is starting to tip back
- Homelessness on the west coast is driven by moderate climate and the high cost of living
- The City of Nanaimo had the largest tent city in British Columbia
- Estimates Nanaimo's homeless population to be approximately 300 to 500 people
- Concentration of homeless in the downtown core is due to proximity to public spaces, food, shelter and harm reduction services
- Addictions and mental illness are prevalent in homelessness resulting in open drug use, weapons, petty theft, soliciting, discarded needles and bizarre behaviour
- Fire hazards increased due to homelessness
- Stress on daytime public services during cold weather
- Social services need to be organized in a thoughtful way to ensure they do not create more issues by focusing services in one area
- Urban dead zones: areas that lack program uses or robust street activity
- Need for interactive facilities to reduce urban dead zones through positive balanced usage
- Keeping areas clean and well cared for is a deterrent to neglect

Committee discussion took place regarding:

- Gangs arising from grouping of homeless people in Toronto and Vancouver and the potential for similar issues in Nanaimo
- Safe consumption sites and additional stress on resources
- Number of dead zones and tipping points around the City

Mayor Krog vacated the Boardroom at 1:58 p.m.

- Being notified of problem areas/dead zones and receiving recommendations on how to mitigate or remove them
- Cleaning up of streets to improve appearance
- Terminology of "homelessness" being used to describe issues downtown when addiction problem is the bigger issue
- Anti-loitering bylaws in other municipalities

Mayor Krog returned to the Boardroom at 2:03 p.m.

4. Active Transportation

Jamie Rose, Manager, Transportation, spoke regarding the following:

- Transportation Master Plan focuses land use on mobility hubs
- Promoting active transportation through better conductivity, better support of transit and cycling routes and making public spaces inviting to draw people to the downtown core
- Downtown night market
- Ideas for engaging downtown: Cycling loop around downtown, improving intersections, transit focal point downtown

S. Gurrie vacated the Boardroom at 2:06 p.m.

- Downtown mobility project is aimed at supporting various projects downtown
- Types of engagement used to gather information and feedback on projects
- Report regarding downtown mobility project planned for Fall 2019

Committee discussion took place regarding:

- Timeline for transit exchange moving downtown
- Will Council be able to prioritize projects when the report is provided in the fall
- Engaging with transit regarding bus stop locations

S. Gurrie returned to the Boardroom at 2:13 p.m.

5. Downtown Opportunities

Dale Lindsay, General Manager, Development Services, spoke regarding:

- Community Policing Station topic will be coming before Council as a report in the near future
- Report done in 2002 focused on moving people back into the downtown core
- Investment has been increasing in the downtown core
- A high density city requires a high amenity city
- Sidewalk and street usage downtown
- Thinking about public realm and improvements
- Redevelopment of key properties in the downtown to improve the overall impression of the City
- Council's top five priorities:
 - 1. Public realm improvements/beautification
 - 1 Port Drive
 - 3. Redevelopment of key sites

- 4. Social issues
- 5. Mobility Front Street/Waterfront Walkway

Committee discussion took place regarding:

- Safety concerns within the downtown
- Diana Krall Plaza being more people friendly
- Quick fixes and temporary fixes
- Discussion related to property acquisitions being a topic for In Camera

The Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting recessed at 2:29 p.m. The Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting reconvened at 2:41 p.m.

Staff in attendance: A. Bandurka, L. Bhopalsingh, B. Corsan, S. Gurrie, R. Harding, M. Koch, D. Lindsay, J. Rudolph, B. Sims and J. Vanderhoef

5. Downtown Opportunities (continued)

Committee discussion continued regarding:

- Dealing with graffiti and "broken window syndrome"
- Requested examples of public realm improvements: sidewalks, public plazas, parks, areas where people congregate
- P. Rosen returned to the Boardroom at 2:44 p.m.
- F. Farrokhi and L. Mercer returned to the Boardroom at 2:45 p.m.
 - Operating within an opioid crisis and creating a new strategy for development and beautification
 - Redevelopment of key sites
 - Integrated mobility strategy to improve connectivity
 - Seeking public input in trial projects
 - Developing a sanction tactical urbanism policy with associated grant stream for implementation in the downtown
 - Timeframe for Council to provide direction on topics
- D. LaBerge returned to the Boardroom at 2:55 p.m.
 - Efficient/economical methods of implementing improvements
 - Diana Krall Plaza beautification
 - Maintenance of store fronts and incentives for businesses to beautify their sites
 - Creating a water feature to provide swimming in the summer and skating in the winter
 - Improving the appearance of 1 Port Drive with grass
 - Previous issues with Diana Krall Plaza which resulted in removal of benches, flowers, etc.

- Plans are in place for major projects Council needs to implement them
- Requested that Staff return at next opportunity with suggestions on quick wins, temporary projects and next steps that could be completed on smaller budgets
- Strategy around social services and addressing concerns of opioid crisis and homelessness
- Proposed a coffee shop or food truck in Diana Krall Plaza
- Business investment incentives
- Potential for increased loitering in Diana Krall Plaza through beautification and benches
- Hamilton, Ontario, using a campaign called "Just Cool Little Things" to approach small changes
- Requested that Staff return with a report outlining suggestions for some quick fixes for the top five priorities outlined today
- A. Bandurka, L. Bhopalsingh and P. Rosen vacated the Boardroom at 3:32 p.m.
- B. Corsan and L. Mercer vacated the Boardroom at 3:33 p.m.

b. <u>AGENDA PLANNING:</u>

1. Draft Governance and Priorities Committee Agenda Planning Document

Introduced by Jake Rudolph, Chief Administrative Officer.

Sheila Gurrie, Director, Legislative Services, spoke regarding round two of the Governance and Priorities Committee (GPC) meetings. Council has been provided with the bulk of the information/background on topics. Ms. Gurrie provided a list of potential topics as suggested by Staff for future GPC agendas, with the intent that Council add items to the GPC meetings and schedule/prioritize when items come forward. Ms. Gurrie noted that there are three meetings scheduled as Committee of the Whole meetings for 2019 and suggested potential additional GPC meeting dates.

Committee discussion took place regarding:

- Clarification on what is being requested
- Large topics will need more time to prepare
- Dealing with financial issues at the Finance and Audit Committee
- Content for GPC meetings: Official Community Plan, Active Transportation Plan, Overall Addiction Strategy
- Improved communication on dates and topics on GPC agendas
- Meetings being scheduled only when necessary to avoid Staff and Council burn out

By unanimous consent the Governance and Priorities Committee added the following Governance and Priorities Committee meeting dates to their schedule:

- July 15, 29
- August 26
- September 9
- October 7, 28
- November 4, 25
- December 9

Committee discussion continued regarding:

- Staff selecting topics for meetings versus Council selecting topics
- Topics suggested being overly operational
- Public engagement and communications with the public

A. Bandurka and B. Corsan returned to the Boardroom at 3:56 p.m.

- Committee of the Whole topics going to Council meetings
- Drafting Terms of Reference for an Agenda Review Committee

Sheila Gurrie, City Clerk, noted that there are limitations on what items can go on a Council meeting agenda and some items are legislated under the *Community Charter*.

Committee discussion continued regarding:

- Agendas Committee could be comprised of the City Clerk, Chief Administrative Officer, Mayor, Acting Mayor and the next Council member in rotation for the Acting Mayor
- Topics could be submitted to the Agendas Committee for review on GPC Agendas and Regular Council Meeting Agendas

Sheila Gurrie, City Clerk, spoke regarding logistics of preparing Council meeting agendas and report deadlines.

It was moved and seconded that Staff prepare Terms of Reference for an Agenda Committee for discussion during the August or September Governance and Priorities Committee meeting; the Agenda Committee would review and prioritize agenda items for the Governance and Priorities Committee, Finance and Audit Committee and Regular Council meetings. The motion carried unanimously.

5. QUESTION PERIOD:

No one in attendance wished to ask questions.

MINUTES – GOVERNANCE AND PRIORITIES COMMITTEE
2019-JUL-15
PAGE 9

CORPORATE OFFICER

6.	<u>ADJOL</u>	URNMENT:	
	carried	It was moved and seconded at 4:07 p.m. that the meeting terminate. dunanimously.	The motion
СНА	IR		
CERT	IFIED C	CORRECT:	



Staff Report for Decision

DATE OF MEETING JULY 29, 2019

AUTHORED BY BILL CORSAN, DIRECTOR, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT DOWNTOWN NANAIMO KEY OPPORTUNITIES

OVERVIEW

Purpose of Report

To provide the Governance and Priorities Committee with a list of 'quick wins' for the downtown core following Council's recent Downtown Priorities session.

Recommendation

That the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council approve the 'quick wins' as presented in the Staff Report titled "Downtown Nanaimo Key Opportunities", dated 2019-JUL-29, and direct Staff to move forward with their implementation.

BACKGROUND

Downtown Nanaimo is the heart of the city. The downtown provides a variety of retail shops, a range of housing opportunities, professional offices and services, and the majority of cultural attractions in the city. The downtown is a transportation hub providing vital connections to Vancouver and neighbouring communities as well as being the focal point of the city's transit and road network. Along with a spectacular waterfront walkway and large civic park, the downtown serves as the community's gathering space for events and festivals. The downtown is the historical, cultural, professional, and business centre of Nanaimo.

The 2019-2022 Strategic Plan recognizes the importance of downtown by prioritizing a number of initiatives, such as the Downtown Mobility Hub, investments at 1 Port Drive, and advocacy for the passenger ferry service to downtown Vancouver.

At the 2019-JUL-15 Governance and Priorities Committee (GPC) meeting, Council was taken through a visioning process to identify key issues and opportunities for enhancing the downtown core. Council's vision for downtown is captured in Attachment A.

In addition, Council identified five priority areas for the downtown. These, in no particular order, are:

- Public Realm Improvements/Beautification
- 1 Port Drive
- Redevelopment of Key Sites
- Social Issues/Order
- Mobility/Front Street/Waterfront Walkway



At the conclusion of the meeting, Staff were directed to return to Council with a list of 'quick wins' (projects that can be completed in 2019/2020), as well as longer-term actions that Council can consider.

This Staff Report outlines the 'quick wins' for the downtown core. A separate report on long-term actions will be brought forward to Council in the fall of 2019.

DISCUSSION

The following list of 'quick wins' was developed by Staff from across the organization. A number of these items can be completed by reallocating existing operating budgets. Some items may require additional funding, in which case, Staff will endeavour to identify other projects that can be adjusted.

Public Realm Improvements Beautification

- Up-lighting installed in sidewalk at Great National Land building
- Hoard and put a wrap around the excavation at 10 Front Street (potential for private investment)
- Animate decorative lighting or lasers to back wall of Port Theatre
- Improve or refresh way-finding signage
- Complete detailed design for Tideline Park
- Paint or powder coat street lights on the Bastion Bridge black, or possible replacement
- Clean out and update the public walkway from the end of Robson Street to Fitzwilliam Street
- Refresh crosswalk paint lines on Commercial Street and Front Street
- Install or update water fountains
- Reinstate gas line to eternal street lights adjacent to Cenotaph
- Increase frequency of street sweeping, sidewalk sweeping, and power washing
- Expand temporary art program to include Commercial and Fitzwilliam Streets
- Create a mural program for owners with blank walls
- Small-scale whimsical art interventions
- Renew playground equipment in Maffeo Sutton

1 Port Drive

- Complete and open Waterfront Walkway for public use (August)
- Return to Council with a separate report on interim issues and long-term strategy for redevelopment.

Redevelopment of Key Sites

• Work with BC Assessment to ensure that vacant and underutilized land in the downtown core is being assessed at its highest and best use value.

Social Issues/Order

- Continue to support the Health and Housing Task Force
- Program positive uses of dead spaces in the downtown core
- Intensify Graffiti Task Force activity



Mobility/Front Street/Waterfront Walkway

- Review implementation of a scramble corner at Bastion Street/Commercial Street
- Cut off slip lane at Front Street and the Cenotaph, possibility using planters
- Short-term trials of road diet on Front Street (e.g., nodes at Port Theatre crosswalk)
- Reconfigure pedestrian crossings at Commercial Street, Wallace Street, Albert Street, and Victoria Crescent

As Council is aware, Staff is currently undertaking the Downtown Mobility Hub Project. Staff recently received the Draft Community Engagement Summary (Attachment B). While its focus is on mobility, many of the themes that emerged are already well known. Many of the quick wins listed above are coherent with public input.

OPTIONS

- 1. That the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council approve the 'quick wins' as presented in the Staff Report and direct Staff to move forward with their implementation.
 - The advantages of this option: implementing these short-term actions should have a noticeable impact on the appearance of the downtown core. The general public and local businesses will see Council's commitment to the downtown core.
 - The disadvantages of this option: the long-term success of the downtown core will require increased levels of public and private sector investment. Staff time and resources will be temporarily redirected to focus on the 'quick wins' rather than the long-term objectives.
- 2. That the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council approve the 'quick wins' as amended by the Governance and Priorities Committee and direct Staff to move forward with their implementation.
 - The advantages of this option: if there are additional ideas that Council wish Staff to implement in the short term, they can be added to the existing list.
 - The disadvantages of this option: additional ideas have not been vetted by Staff and may have cost considerations.

SUMMARY POINTS

- The downtown core is critical to the economic health of the City of Nanaimo.
- At the 2019-JUL-15 GPC meeting, five key priorities were identified by Council: Public Realm Improvements, 1 Port Drive, Redevelopment of Key Sites, Social Issues/Order, and Mobility/Front Street Waterfront Walkway.
- Council directed Staff to return with a list of 'quick win' projects to improve the downtown core.
- The GPC is being asked to endorse the list of 'quick wins'.
- A separate report on medium- and long-term actions for the downtown core will be brought to Council in the fall of 2019.



ATTACHMENTS:

ATTACHMENT A: Graphic Recording from 2019-JUL-15 Governance and Priorities Committee ATTACHMENT B: Downtown Mobility Hub Draft Community Engagement – Summary Page


Submitted by:

Bill Corsan Acting General Manager, Development Services

Concurrence by:


Bill Sims General Manager, Engineering and Public Works

Richard Harding General Manager, Parks, Recreation and Culture



ATTACHMENT B

AT A GLANCE...





COMMONTHEMES



COMMERCIAL / WALLACE / ALBERT INTERSECTION

CONSISTENTLY IDENTIFIED AS A TOP ISSUE LOCATION FOR DOWNTOWN MOBILITY



TERMINAL / NICOL AND FRONT STREET

ARE WIDE, VEHICLE-DOMINATED STREETS
THAT FORM BARRIERS TO WALKABILITY

WALLACE, GORDON, FRONT & ALBERT



ARE CYCLE ROUTE PRIORITIES, ALONG WITH A FEW OTHER SUGGESTED IDEAS. SEPARATED ROUTES THAT ACCOMMODATE ALL AGES AND ABILITIES ARE GENERALLY PREFERRED



FRONT STREET

IS GENERALLY SUPPORTED AS A TRANSIT EXCHANGE, PROVIDED THAT SAFETY, AMENITIES, FUTURE DEVELOPMENT, AND CONNECTIONS ARE CAREFULLY PLANNED

FINDING PARKING IS RELATIVELY EASY

EXCEPT IN A FEW LOCATIONS LIKE COMMERCIAL ST AND THE OLD CITY QUARTER. BETTER SIGNS COULD DIRECT PEOPLE TO AVAILABLE PARKING

KEY CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROJECTS:

- PEDESTRIAN ACCESSIBILITY & COMFORT IS A HIGH PRIORITY
- 2 KEY DESTINATIONS SHOULD
 BE LINKED BY HIGH-QUALITY
 WALKWAYS AND CYCLE ROUTES
- AMENITIES AND
 BEAUTIFICATION WILL SUPPORT
 WALKING AND TRANSIT
- 4 SAFETY AND SECURITY SHOULD BE ENHANCED
- WAYFINDING & SIGNAGE SHOULD BE IMPROVED



Information Report

File Number: LD003453

DATE OF MEETING JULY 29, 2019

AUTHORED BY BILL CORSAN, DIRECTOR, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUNCTION SERVICE MODEL

REVIEW

OVERVIEW

Purpose of Report:

To provide the Governance and Priorities Committee with the interim results of the Economic Development Function Service Model Review.

BACKGROUND

The City's Economic Development Department was established in the 1980s. Over time, the department grew to meet the demands of the changing demographics and economic conditions of Nanaimo. In 2010, Council made a decision to establish an arms-length economic development corporation to deliver tourism and economic development services. In response, the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation (NEDC) was established in 2011.

In the fall of 2016, Nanaimo City Council gave direction to cease the operations of NEDC. Following the dissolution of NEDC, Council approved a tourism service agreement with Tourism Vancouver Island (TVI), which expired in March 2019. That contract has been extended until 2024-MAR-31. TVI provides destination marketing, visitor servicing, and tourism development services. The five-year term commenced 2019-APR-01.

The Economic Development function was brought back "in house" within the City of Nanaimo's Development Services Division and is currently modestly resourced compared to other communities. Economic Development-related services are also being delivered by a number of non-profit partners in the community, such as the Mid-Island Business Initiative, the Chamber of Commerce, Community Futures, Innovation Island, etc.

Council has expressed a desire to increase its level of support for economic development in the community. The goal is to work collaboratively with existing partners, leverage investment, and grow local businesses. A new Economic Development function is targeted to be operational in 2020. Ideally, the model that is implemented should be supported by the community and be designed to align with Council's priorities.

The Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) is also undertaking a review of the regional Economic Development function. The study has been postponed until the City of Nanaimo completes its review and receives direction from Council on how the City will move forward delivering economic development.

At the 2019-APR-29 Council meeting, Council endorsed a Terms of Reference for a consultant to undertake a review of the economic development service model.



Neilson Strategies (the Consultant) was hired to undertake the assignment. The work included background research, stakeholder interviews, and community engagement. In June, broader public engagement took place with an open house and public survey (Attachment A).

The Consultant has prepared a report with their interim findings (Attachment B).

DISCUSSION

The interim report will be presented to the Governance and Priorities Committee (GPC) by Neilson Strategies during the 2019-JUL-29 GPC meeting. The Consultant will be available to provide additional information as requested by Council.

Individuals from the community with an interest in the function will also be invited to present their comments/opinions to the GPC during the meeting and provide submissions by 2019-AUG-09.

CONCLUSION

Following the GPC meeting, the Consultant will prepare a final report with recommended options for the City of Nanaimo. Staff will present this report at the 2019-AUG-26 GPC meeting. The committee will be asked to provide direction on a preferred model at that time.

A subsequent report on the funding of the preferred economic development model will be presented at a September 2019 budget discussion.

SUMMARY POINTS

- The City of Nanaimo is currently reviewing options for the delivery of the economic development function.
- Council approved a Terms of Reference for the service model review in April 2019 and Neilson Strategies was hired by the City to undertake the assignment.
- The work included background research, interviews, and community engagement.
- The Consultant is presenting their interim findings to the committee at the 2019-JUL-29 GPC meeting.
- The recommended Economic Development Function Service Model will be presented to the committee at the 2019-AUG-26 GPC meeting at which time the committee will be asked to provide direction.



ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A: Survey Results
ATTACHMENT B: Neilson Strategies Interim Report

Submitted by:

Bill Corsan Acting General Manager, Development Services

ATTACHMENT A



Economic Development Service Models

In June 2019, the City of Nanaimo engaged Neilson Strategies Inc. to undertake the process of determining the future model for delivering Economic Development services. The process involved one-on-one interviews with key stakeholders, a plenary group meeting to discuss various models and gather input, a community open house, and a survey to determine the community's preferred model.

The survey is attached as Appendix A. This survey was made available on the City of Nanaimo's website between June 26 to July 10^{th} , 2019. Survey results are attached as Appendix B. In total 11 surveys were completed.

Survey Findings

It is worth noting the survey sample is too small to draw any conclusive results. Survey findings are summarized below.

In response to what, does Economic development mean to you? Over 80% of the respondents stated "measures taken to increase prosperity and quality of life for all people in Nanaimo" and "service aimed at brining new businesses and investment to Nanaimo". "Downtown redevelopment" and "investments aimed at developing new sectors or clusters" was the second highest category at 72%.

55% of the respondents agreed, "It is important for the City to have its own Economic Development service, funded by taxpayers".

56% agreed, "The City should play the lead role in Economic development efforts".

Over 90% of respondents agreed, "Manufacturing should be the highest priority" followed by 80% agreeing "High Technology, Health Sciences and Tourism & Culture" to be the priority sectors for Nanaimo.

When asked what do people like most about the in-house model, the highest response 33% was "other leaders from private, public, and non-profit sectors, as well as First Nations, being included as advisors". The next highest response at 22% was "strong accountability to taxpayers and strong connection to Council and its priorities".

When asked what do you like most about the City-owned Corporation model, the highest response 33% was the fact that "it is owned by City (City retains ultimate decision-making authority as sole shareholder). The next highest response at 22% was "separate board of directors with leaders in place of council to guide make decisions for, and over function" and "strong sense of ownership by businesses and others involved in Ecdev".

50% of the respondents stated "relationship with City (funding, reporting, mandate) specific in legal contract" is what they like most about a Service Contract model.

60% agreed "support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups and others" was the most important factor in selecting the preferred model. This was closely followed 50% by "Scope of function, cost to city, accountability to taxpayers and level of inclusiveness for leaders from other sectors and governments".

Overall, 50% of the respondents favor the In-House service model, 30% City owned Corporation and 20% service contract model.



Economic Development Models and Themes

Economic Development

Thank you for taking the time to learn about the service models available to the City of Nanaimo for its economic development function, and providing your input to the selection of a preferred model for the community.

If you would like more information, or would like to review the open house boards prior to completing the survey, please visit www.nanaimo.ca/goto/economicdevelopment.

Personal information you provide on this survey is collected pursuant to the *Community Charter*, and will only be used for the purposes of evaluating this project. Your personal information will not be released except in accordance with the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. Questions about the collection of your personal information may be referred to the Legislative Services Department at (250) 755-4405, or via email at foi@nanaimo.ca.

1. What does Economic Development mean to you? (Check all that apply)				
Measures taken to increase prosperity and quality of life for all people in Nanaimo				
Service aimed at bringing new businesses and investment to Nanaimo				
Efforts taken to facilitate and support start-ups				
Efforts taken to help expand existing businesses				
Job creation				
The provision of infrastructure (e.g. transportation, technology) aimed at making the community work better				
Downtown redevelopment				
Investments aimed at developing new sectors or clusters				
Economic research and analysis				
Social programs				
Skills development				
Other (please specify)				
2. Is it important for the City to have its own Economic Development service, funded by the taxpayers?				
Yes				
○ No				
O Not Sure				

Lead Role	
Supporting Role	
Not Sure	
4. What Economic Development priorities a apply)	are most important for Nanaimo? (Check all that
Manufacturing	Tourism & Culture
High Tech	Film Production
Natural Resource Development	Alternative Energies
Transportation (e.g. passenger ferry, airport)	Health Sciences
Logistics	
Other (please specify)	



Economic Development Models and Themes

The Models

5. What do you like most about the In-House Model?				
embedded within City Hall, run by City Staff				
secure funding				
strong connection to Council and its priorities				
strong connection to other civic departments ('at the table')				
other leaders from private, public, and non-profit sectors, as well as First Nations, included as advisors				
strong accountability to taxpayers				
ability to rely on existing City administration for office space, IT, HR, etc.				
Other (please specify)				
6. What do you like most about the City-Owned Corporation Model?				
operates outside of City Hall (not constrained by City processes, administration, systems, etc.)				
separate Board of Directors with leaders, in place of Council, to guide, make decisions for, and over function				
separate, dedicated staff to operate function				
owned by City (City retains ultimate decision-making authority as sole shareholder)				
mix of funding sources, in addition to City				
strong sense of ownership by businesses and others involved in Economic Development				
Other (please specify)				

25

7. What do you like most about the Service Contract Model?	
completely independent of City Hall	
relationship with City (e.g. funding, reporting, mandate) specific in legal contract	
mix of funding sources, in addition to City funding	
potential to contract existing agency (e.g. Chamber, MIBI, other) to operate function	
potential for leaders from all sectors to create new, independent entity to run function	
no obligation for City to renew contract at end of term	
Other (please specify)	
26	4


Economic Development Models and Themes

Selecting the Preferred Model

Level of independence from City Hall Cost to City Level of inclusiveness for leaders from other sectors and governments (decision-making vs. advisory) Accountability to taxpayers Support of business community Support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others Other (please specify) 9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model Service Contract Model	Scope of function	- that is, the specific services assigned to function
Level of inclusiveness for leaders from other sectors and governments (decision-making vs. advisory) Accountability to taxpayers Support of business community Support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others Other (please specify) 9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model	Level of independ	ence from City Hall
Accountability to taxpayers Support of business community Support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others Other (please specify) 9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model	Cost to City	
Support of business community Support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others Other (please specify) 9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model	Level of inclusiver	ness for leaders from other sectors and governments (decision-making vs. advisory)
Support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others Other (please specify) 9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model	Accountability to t	axpayers
Other (please specify) 9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model	Support of busine	ss community
9. Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model	Support of leaders	s representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others
In-House Model City-Owned Corporation Model		
		ervice model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function
Service Contract Model	City-Owned Corpo	oration Model
O service community model	Service Contract I	Model



Q1 What does Economic Development mean to you? (Check all that apply)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSI	ES
Measures taken to increase prosperity and quality of life for all people in Nanaimo	81.82%	9
Service aimed at bringing new businesses and investment to Nanaimo	81.82%	9
Efforts taken to facilitate and support start-ups	63.64%	7
Efforts taken to help expand existing businesses	63.64%	7
Job creation	63.64%	7
The provision of infrastructure (e.g. transportation, technology) aimed at making the community work better	45.45%	5
Downtown redevelopment	72.73%	8

Economic Development Models and Themes		SurveyMonkey	
Investments aimed at developing new sectors or clusters	72.73%	8	
Economic research and analysis	63.64%	7	
Social programs	0.00%	0	
Skills development	27.27%	3	
Other (please specify)	27.27%	3	
Total Respondents: 11			


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	All of the above But the crux of the matter is whether these are natural social processes, and if not, then who is to drive and fund the process	6/27/2019 1:44 PM
2	All of these options represent economic development but the economic development the city needs to completely engage is the first one. All the other efforts need some sort of partnerships in order for the city to be involved in.	6/26/2019 7:56 PM
3	Talent Attraction (workforce for local businesses)	6/26/2019 4:47 PM

Q2 Is it important for the City to have its own Economic Development service, funded by the taxpayers?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	54.55%	6
No	27.27%	3
Not Sure	18.18%	2
TOTAL		11

Q3 If you answered 'Yes' to Question 2, should the City play the lead role in Economic Development efforts? Or, should the City support the actions of others in the community?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lead Role	55.56%	5
Supporting Role	22.22%	2
Not Sure	22.22%	2
TOTAL		9

Q4 What Economic Development priorities are most important for Nanaimo? (Check all that apply)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Manufacturing	90.00%	9
High Tech	80.00%	8
Natural Resource Development	50.00%	5
Transportation (e.g. passenger ferry, airport)	70.00%	7
Logistics	60.00%	6
Tourism & Culture	80.00%	8
Film Production	50.00%	5
Alternative Energies	50.00%	5
Health Sciences	80.00%	8
Total Respondents: 10		


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	All are important. But the question is the extent to which these can be politically imposed without damage to the social process.	6/27/2019 1:44 PM

Economic Development Models and Themes

SurveyMonkey

2	anything that will create jobs	6/27/2019 1:15 PM
3	education	6/27/2019 11:32 AM
4	The Creative Sector	6/26/2019 7:56 PM


Q5 What do you like most about the In-House Model?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	•
embedded within City Hall, run by City Staff	11.11%	1
secure funding	11.11%	1
strong connection to Council and its priorities	22.22%	2
strong connection to other civic departments ('at the table')	0.00%	0
other leaders from private, public, and non-profit sectors, as well as First Nations, included as advisors	33.33%	3
strong accountability to taxpayers	22.22%	2
ability to rely on existing City administration for office space, IT, HR, etc.	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Nothing beyond the City's ability to provide data and information regarding it's composition as needed to provide all with the data and information needed to make informed decisions about economic development opportunities.	6/27/2019 1:55 PM
2	As usual, City of Nanaimo staff are putting the cart before the horse and trying to determine a type of organization before figuring out what needs to be done. PLEASE DO AN ECONOMIC STRATEGIC PLAN FIRST and it will inform the type of organization required	6/27/2019 1:17 PM
3	strong connection to Council and ability to rely on existing City admin	6/27/2019 11:33 AM


Q6 What do you like most about the City-Owned Corporation Model?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
operates outside of City Hall (not constrained by City processes, administration, systems, etc.)	11.11%	1
separate Board of Directors with leaders, in place of Council, to guide, make decisions for, and over function	22.22%	2
separate, dedicated staff to operate function	0.00%	0
owned by City (City retains ultimate decision-making authority as sole shareholder)	33.33%	3
mix of funding sources, in addition to City	11.11%	1
strong sense of ownership by businesses and others involved in Economic Development	22.22%	2
TOTAL		9

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Nothing See above	6/27/2019 1:55 PM
2	Please see previous comments: IT IS PREMATURE TO TALK ABOUT ORGANIZATION WHEN YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ECONOMIC STRATEGIC PLAN IS	6/27/2019 1:17 PM
3	and mix of funding sources, in addition to city	6/27/2019 11:31 AM


Q7 What do you like most about the Service Contract Model?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
completely independent of City Hall	0.00%	0
relationship with City (e.g. funding, reporting, mandate) specific in legal contract	50.00%	4
mix of funding sources, in addition to City funding	0.00%	0
potential to contract existing agency (e.g. Chamber, MIBI, other) to operate function	0.00%	0
potential for leaders from all sectors to create new, independent entity to run function	25.00%	2
no obligation for City to renew contract at end of term	25.00%	2
TOTAL		8

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I do not feel this is the right model	6/28/2019 11:07 AM
2	The role of the City in providing the data and information needed to allow all others to determine economic development opportunities and to suggest them for implementation at the City's discretion.	6/27/2019 1:55 PM
3	DO THE ECONOMIC STRATEGIC PLAN FIRST BEFORE DECIDING ON A DELIVERY MODEL	6/27/2019 1:17 PM
4	no obligation for City to renew contract	6/27/2019 11:33 AM
5	like answer 2, 3, 4 & 6 - disliked 1	6/27/2019 11:31 AM


Q8 What factors do you think should be given most importance when selecting the preferred model? (Check all that apply)



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	;
Scope of function - that is, the specific services assigned to function	50.00%	5
Level of independence from City Hall	20.00%	2
Cost to City	40.00%	4
Level of inclusiveness for leaders from other sectors and governments (decision-making vs. advisory)	50.00%	5
Accountability to taxpayers	50.00%	5
Support of business community	30.00%	3
Support of leaders representing First Nations, major economic generators, non-profit groups, and others	60.00%	6
Total Respondents: 10		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Cost to taxpayers including Asset Management Costs and a model for measuring effectiveness on a routine schedule.	6/27/2019 1:59 PM
2	Do the economic strategy first	6/27/2019 1:18 PM
3	The strategy adopted	6/26/2019 8:00 PM

Q9 Overall, what service model do you prefer for the City's Economic Development function



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In-House Model	50.00%	5
City-Owned Corporation Model	30.00%	3
Service Contract Model	20.00%	2
TOTAL		10

Attachment B



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUNCTION SERVICE MODEL REVIEW

INTERIM REPORT

This *Interim Report* has been prepared by Neilson Strategies Inc. for the City of Nanaimo. The document is presented for discussion with, and for the sole use of, the City. No representations of any kind are made by the consultants to any party with whom the consultant does not have a contract.



Neilson Strategies Inc. 1-600 Sherwood Road, Kelowna, BC, V1W 5K1 neilsonstrategies.ca

July, 2019



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	City of Nanaimo	1
	Service Review	1
	Consultant's Approach	2
	Interim Report	2
	Regional District Study	3
2.	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NANAIMO	4
	City of Nanaimo Function	4
	Economic Development and Tourism Department	4
	Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation	
	Nanaimo Economic Development	6
	Other Agencies	6
	Summary of Services Provided	12
3.	CITY OF NANAIMO SERVICE SCOPE	14
	Gaps and Opportunities	14
4.	CITY OF NANAIMO SERVICE MODEL	18
	Service Model Options	18
	City of Nanaimo Service Model Scenarios	
	Scenario 1: Economic Development Department	
	Scenario 2: Nanaimo Prosperity Corporation Model	
	Scenario 3: Economic Development Contract Model	
	Scenario 4: Hybrid Model (Corporation)Scenario 5: Hybrid Model (Contractor)	
	Assessing the Service Model	25
	Assessment Matrix	27
	Review with Council	28

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT



JULY 2019 PAGE i

APPENDICES

Appendix I Open House Poster Boards **Appendix II** Models Used in Other Centres



CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

In broad terms, economic development can be described as the services provided, investments made, activities performed, and efforts taken to increase the level of prosperity enjoyed by people in the community. Economic development is about generating wealth; it is also about providing opportunities for people of all backgrounds and socio-economic groups to participate in the economy and achieve a high quality of life. Prosperity and quality of life for all are necessary components of a healthy community.

In many centres, different agencies in the public, private, and non-profit sectors undertake important activities, and provide key services, designed to advance the community's level of economic development. Local governments in these centres often play important economic development roles, both in the delivery of basic services and infrastructure, but also in leading the creation of economic development strategies, and in establishing formal economic development functions to provide specific economic development services.

CITY OF NANAIMO

The situation in Nanaimo is similar to that of other places. Numerous agencies provide services that contribute towards the community's economic development, and that comprise parts of the broader economic development service landscape. The City of Nanaimo is active through its important local government services, but also directly through the services provided under its economic development function.

The City has had its own economic development function in place since the mid-1980s. For several years, the function was provided in-house by City staff through a separate Economic Development Department. In 2010, however, the City took a different approach. In that year, Council decided to combine economic development with tourism and deliver the service through a separate, municipal corporation with its own Board of Directors. This corporation, called the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation (NEDC), was established in 2011.

In the fall of 2016, Council gave direction to cease operations at the NEDC and dissolve the corporation. Tourism development and promotion services were contracted to Tourism Vancouver Island (Tourism VI); economic development was streamlined and moved back in-house under the City's Community Planning Department, where it exists today.

SERVICE REVIEW

City Council wishes to increase the level of support for the City's economic development function, effective 2020. Council wishes, as well, however, to examine

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





new funding levels in the context of a broader review that determines:

- the specific scope of services that should be included in an enhanced economic development function
- the preferred service model through which the function should be delivered

Neilson Strategies Inc. (the consultant) was retained in May, 2019, to conduct a review of the City's economic development function focused on these points.

Consultant's Approach

The consultant is conducting the review using a five-stage approach:

- Stage One: Background Research The consultant undertook background research to identify the various agencies involved in different facets of economic development in Nanaimo. Research was also conducted to identify and fully understand the local government economic development service models in place in Canada today.
- Stage Two: Interviews Over a three-week period in June, 2019, approximately twenty individual interviews were held with representatives from all sectors, as well as the Snuneymuxw First Nation, to discuss existing economic development efforts in the community, and to understand the views of community leaders on the preferred scope of, and service delivery model for, the City's economic development function. Interviewees were brought together at the end of June for a two-hour workshop discussion on these points.
- Stage Three: Community Engagement A public open house was held to introduce economic development and to highlight service delivery models to consider. An online public survey was issued on the City's website at the same time.
- Stage Four: Interim Report The findings from the research, interviews, group discussion and public feedback have been used to produce an Interim Report. The Report, which sets out options for consideration, will be presented to Council for discussion in a workshop setting on July 29, 2019.
- Stage Five: Final Report Based on the July 29 discussion with Council, the consultant will produce a Final Report for submission to the City in early August, 2019. The Final Report, it is expected, will inform the development of the City's 2020-2024 Financial Plan.

Interim Report

This document constitutes the *Interim Report* that will be discussed with City Council on July 29. The report begins with an overview of the various economic development services, and the agencies involved, in Nanaimo today. The City's own

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





economic development function is included in this overview. The report then identifies a set of economic development services in which the City may wish to consider playing a role. This suggested scope of services emerged from the various interviews and group discussion with community leaders. Alternative service models through which an enhanced City function could be delivered are outlined and assessed.

The *Interim Report* is presented as a discussion piece. Alternatives are presented and assessed; however, the report does not provide recommendations to Council. Recommendations will be presented in the review's *Final Report* following the July 29 session with Council.

REGIONAL DISTRICT STUDY

Before turning to the body of the report, it should be noted that the Regional District of Nanaimo (RDN) recently undertook a study to explore the level of interest throughout the RDN — including in the City of Nanaimo — in establishing some type of regional economic development function. In order to minimize any confusion on the part of economic development stakeholders and others in Nanaimo, the Regional District has postponed completion of its study until after the City's *Service Model Review*.

During the consultation for the City's *Service Model Review*, interviewees were asked to comment on the possibility of adopting a regional approach to economic development, through the establishment of a regional district service, in place of an expanded City function. Interviewees responded, almost unanimously, that:

- coordination of local efforts under a broad regional (or mid-Island) economic development strategy is important
- before considering any development of a regional (or super-regional) approach, the City should deal with the need for a new City-based economic development function
- the City's function that emerges from the City's *Service Model Review* can be incorporated into a broader regional framework

Given these views, and the RDN's decision to postpone the completion of its own study, this *Interim Report* focuses on the City of Nanaimo, and does not comment on the potential for a regional approach.

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





CHAPTER 2 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NANAIMO

In Nanaimo, various agencies and organizations in the public, private, and non-profit sectors are active in economic development. Over the course of the assignment, the consultant interviewed leaders in a number of these agencies to:

- fully understand the economic development services provided, or roles played, by agencies
- identify how agencies complement and/or overlap with one another
- identify economic development service gaps that may exist
- understand individuals' perspectives regarding the possible roles for the City in economic development, and the preferred service model

The possible roles and preferred service model for the City are explored later in the report (see Chapters 3 and 4). This chapter profiles the various agencies and organizations that are active in the community today, and identifies their economic development activities. The chapter begins, however, by profiling the City's own economic function as it has evolved over the past three decades.

CITY OF NANAIMO FUNCTION

As noted earlier, the City of Nanaimo first established a formal economic development function in the mid-1980s. The scope of the function and the model through which it has been delivered have changed since that time.

Economic Development & Tourism Department

For several years beginning in the 1980s, the function was provided in-house by City staff in an Economic Development and Tourism Department. The department provided a range of economic development services, including:

- research and analysis on economic factors, trends, and opportunities to support and grow the City's business sector
- development of relationships and partnerships with other local organizations involved in building the economy
- business retention and expansion programs
- support for entrepreneurs and start-ups seeking to build businesses in Nanaimo
- business attraction activities, including marketing and promotion
- development of tax and other local government incentives to promote economic growth

Staff undertook, as well, various destination development activities to promote Nanaimo as a tourism destination; however, other tourism-related activities were contracted by the City to the Tourism Nanaimo Society. Through a separate fee-for-

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





service contract with Film Nanaimo, the department also supported efforts to promote Nanaimo to the film industry.

At its height, the department had an annual budget of \$1.1 million, was headed by a senior manager (Director), and was staffed by a total of five (5) full-time employees, (including the Director). Three (3) of the employees were identified and funded as economic development staff; two (2) were dedicated to tourism destination development.

In the late 1990s and early 2000s, the Economic Development Department developed and provided support to an external economic development stakeholder forum, known as the Economic Development Group, or "EDGe". EDGe brought together leaders from all sectors in the community to identify economic development priorities intended to guide the City's efforts. It provided strategic advice to the City, and also served as a sounding board for the Economic Development Department. The City's Director of Economic Development participated in EDGe discussions.

Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation

In 2010, the City moved its economic development function from the in-house department to a separate City-owned corporation. The Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation (NEDC) was incorporated in June, 2011, as a not-for-profit corporation, wholly-owned and largely-funded by the City. It was governed by its own Board of Directors that consisted of seventeen members to represent key businesses, the post-secondary sector, First Nations and other groups. All members were appointed by Council; Council, itself, was not represented on the Board.

NEDC was developed as a multi-service economic development and tourism corporation. Under economic development, the corporation provided a diverse set of services that included:

- research and analysis on economic issues to support and grow the City's business sector
- marketing and promotion efforts designed to attract new businesses and investment to Nanaimo
- support for new arrivals to Nanaimo
- programs, workshops and other efforts aimed at helping existing businesses succeed and expand
- support for entrepreneurs and start-ups (sector specific and general)
- efforts to develop and foster partnerships / relationships with other service providers

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT



¹ The NEDC received \$164,000 each year from the Regional District of Nanaimo to provide economic development and tourism services to Electoral Areas A, B, and C.



Tourism services were equally diverse, and included marketing campaigns, media relations and familiarization ("fam") trips, the operation of the visitor centre, the development of publications and brochures, and support for cruise ships, events, and conferences.

NEDC operated from office space outside of City Hall in Downtown Nanaimo. The corporation had four (4) full-time economic development staff, five (5) full-time tourism staff, and additional part-time and seasonal tourism employees. City funding for NEDC was \$1.385 million in 2016.

Nanaimo Economic Development

In late 2016, Council decided to dissolve the NEDC. Council contracted the delivery of key tourism-related services to Tourism Vancouver Island (Tourism VI) under a fee-for-service agreement that was recently extended until 2024. The City's economic development function was brought back in-house for delivery by City staff. The earlier Economic Development Department was not re-established as the delivery vehicle; instead, a smaller, streamlined economic development office — presented under the brand "Nanaimo Economic Development" — was developed within the City's Community Planning Department.

Nanaimo Economic Development has limited resources available to provide economic development services — the office is staffed by a single Economic Development Officer. Within its reduced scope of services, the office works to:

- collect and assess various economic, demographic, labour force and related
- produce a range of reports and materials using key data to highlight
 Nanaimo's competitive advantages as a centre for business and investment
- provide referrals to existing businesses, start-ups and prospective businesses to address information requests, obtain assistance (e.g., in developing business plans), or access funding
- assist existing and prospective businesses in accessing City services
- initiate and assist with the development of incentives and other City polices aimed at strengthening the business environment

The 2019 budget for Nanaimo Economic Development is a modest \$0.13 million.

OTHER AGENCIES

Key agencies and organizations that are involved in economic development in Nanaimo today are profiled in Figure 2.1 (agencies are listed in alphabetical order). The roles played and services provided by each agency are explained. It is important to note that the agencies in the figure do not represent the full set of organizations involved in some way in the community's economic development. Figure 2.1 does, however, capture most of the key agencies that are active today.

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





Figure 2.1 Economic Development Agencies in Nanaimo

Agency	Role in Economic Development
Central Vancouver Island Multicultural Society	The Central Vancouver Island Multicultural Society (CVIMS) works to help newcomers join and succeed in the local economy. CVIMS provides language, employment, and other services to newcomers who wish to settle in Nanaimo (or in another Central Vancouver Island community), including newcomers who wish to start businesses. The Society also offers programs and supports to help local employers connect with skilled and qualified newcomers.
	One group of newcomers that works with CVIMS is international students who have completed their training at local post-secondary institutions (in particular, Vancouver Island University), and who wish to remain in the community, start businesses, and enter the workforce.
	CVIMS belongs to the Local Immigrant Partnership — a consortium of organizations committed to making Nanaimo welcoming to newcomers. Advice and programs are offered to address newcomers' training and skill development needs, health care needs, and others.
Coast Community Credit Union	Coastal Community Credit Union (CCCU), headquartered in Downtown Nanaimo, is the largest Vancouver Island-based financial services organization, and the largest private-sector employer in the city. It provides various types of financing to new and established businesses in Nanaimo and throughout the Credit Union's service area.
	CCCU is an active supporter of various local economic development organizations and their efforts. It is a sponsor, for example, of the Local Entrepreneur Accelerator Program (LEAP) provided by Community Futures Central Island (see below). It was active in the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation, and participates today in the Mid-Island Business Initiative.
Community Futures Central Island	Community Futures helps local entrepreneurs start businesses (i.e., start-ups), and works with existing business owners to strengthen and expand established small businesses. Business succession plans for existing businesses are an additional focus of Community Futures.
	Community Futures' efforts are aimed at "business drivers" — that is, businesses that produce goods and services for sale to markets outside of Central Vancouver Island. One program offered by Community Futures is called "Entrepreneur in

INTERIM REPORT





Agency	Role in Economic Development
	Residence". This program gives entrepreneurs and existing business owners the opportunity to get advice from a successful entrepreneur. Another program is the Local Entrepreneur Accelerator Program (LEAP). LEAP is an eight-week program aimed at helping small groups of entrepreneurs launch new businesses. It is described as "boot camp for early-stage ventures".
	As part of its business development services, Community Futures helps start-ups and existing businesses secure financing. Community Futures works with lenders (e.g., Coast Community Credit Union), but is also a business lender itself in some cases (i.e., lender of "last resort").
	Community Futures' programs are, to some degree, targeted to reflect priorities identified by the job creation and diversification goals of Western Economic Diversification Canada (WEDC), which provides 60% of Community Futures' funding. The programs are primarily targeted, however, to meet local economic development goals and community needs.
	Community Futures' service area includes all of Central Vancouver Island.
Innovation Island Technology Association	Innovation Island exists to "support entrepreneurs to start and grow technology companies by providing them with programs and resources they need to succeed." Innovation Island bills itself as a "Startup Accelerator". It delivers Innovate BC's <i>Venture Acceleration Program</i> , which gives tech entrepreneurs who wish to commercialize their technology access to business and technology experts known as "Executives in Residence". Innovation Island's service area includes the whole of Vancouver
	Island north of Greater Victoria.
Mid-Island Business Initiative	The Mid-Island Business Initiative (MIBI) is a member-funded, not-for-profit organization that works to attract businesses to the Mid-Island region, help interested businesses to learn about the region and its advantages, and help new businesses that choose to relocate to the area get connected to the region's business community.
	MIBI was formed following the dissolution of the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation to fill the resulting gap in business attraction efforts. MIBI also serves as a forum for its members from the private sector, post-secondary sector, First Nations, and others (e.g., Nanaimo Port Authority, Nanaimo Airport) to exchange information and identify economic development needs, opportunities, and priorities.

INTERIM REPORT





Agency	Role in Economic Development
	In the spring of 2019, MIBI developed the Manufacturers Technology Entrepreneurship Council (MTEC) — also known as Tech Nanaimo — to promote the development of the technology sector in Nanaimo. In response to a request from MIBI, the City provided \$48,000 in funds to support the work of MTEC for a six month term.
Nanaimo Chamber of Commerce	The Nanaimo Chamber of Commerce provides various business retention and expansion services to its members — that is, services aimed at helping existing business to succeed and grow. Networking events and educational programs for Chamber members (and prospective members) are key examples. The Chamber also:
	 advocates on behalf of its members for public policy changes to improve the business environment organizes, in partnership with the City, the Commercial Street Night Market to promote Downtown Nanaimo and its businesses publishes a business resource and relocation guide titled Nanaimo Business Resource assists new businesses (i.e., new arrivals) access City Hall departments and other resources
Nanaimo Hospitality Association	The Nanaimo Hospitality Association (NHA) is a not-for-profit industry group that works with other tourism stakeholders to promote Nanaimo as a tourism destination. The organization is the designated recipient through Destination BC of Municipal and Regional District Tax (MRDT) revenues that are generated from the sale of overnight accommodation in Nanaimo. Spending priorities for the funds are identified by the NHA in collaboration with Tourism VI and others.
Nanaimo Airport YCD	Nanaimo Airport YCD promotes and facilitates the movement of people and goods to and from Nanaimo. It both generates and contributes to economic growth through its operations and its investments in airport capacity. YCD participates in the Mid-Island Business Initiative.
Nanaimo Port Authority	The Nanaimo Port Authority (NPA) is the federal agency responsible for growing and operating the Port of Nanaimo. The NPA is a major economic generator in the community, both through its efforts to facilitate the movement of goods and people, and through its strategic investments in new economic initiatives.
	The NPA recently opened a vehicle processing centre as a facility to prepare imported vehicles for the Canadian market. The

INTERIM REPORT





Agency	Role in Economic Development
	agency is pursuing short-sea shipping opportunities, as well as the expansion of its Duke Point facility, the further development of logistics hubs, and growth in the export of value-added products. The NPA is a member of the Mid-Island Business Initiative.
Petroglyph Development Group	The Petroglyph Development Group (PDG) is the economic development arm of the Snuneymuxw First Nation (SFN). PDG is a separate corporation, owned by the SFN, that establishes and oversees the management of SFN companies, including: - Petroglyph Forestry - Saysutshun / Newcastle Island - Saysutshun Ferry Service - Petroglyph Properties - Snuneymuxw Market Gas Bar - First Nations Canna Corporation PDG works in collaboration with other business and economic development groups to identify and pursue initiatives aimed at building and sustaining wealth for the Snuneymuxw, creating jobs, and developing skills — all within a broader context that emphasizes the importance of sustainability for future generations of Snuneymuxw Mustiyuwx. One of PDG's five objectives focuses on building relationships.
Regional District of Nanaimo	In the southern half of the Regional District, RDN provides an economic development service in Area B (Gabriola Island) through the Southern Communities Economic Development service. The Gabriola Chamber of Commerce delivers the service, which focuses on tourism attraction. As noted earlier, RDN is exploring the potential of a new regional service.
Tourism Vancouver Island	Tourism Vancouver Island (Tourism VI) is contracted by the City to promote Nanaimo as a tourist destination, and to provide professional development, digital readiness, networking, and other programs aimed at strengthening the businesses that comprise Nanaimo's tourism sector. All of Tourism VI's work for the City is performed under the Tourism Nanaimo brand. Tourism VI hosts a monthly tourism roundtable to discuss tourism trends, challenges, and opportunities. The roundtable includes key stakeholders such as the Nanaimo Port Authority, Snuneymuxw First Nation, Vancouver Island Conference Centre, Nanaimo Airport, and the Nanaimo Hospitality Association. The group was formed to assist in developing Tourism VI's response to the City's tourism contract request for proposals — a response that, once endorsed by the City, became the <i>de facto</i> tourism strategy to guide efforts.

INTERIM REPORT





Agency	Role in Economic Development
	Tourism VI works closely with the Nanaimo Hospitality Association on digital readiness, marketing, and other services for the accommodation providers that belong to the NHA. The NHA contracts Tourism VI, as well, to write the business plan required by Destination BC to receive annual MRDT revenues for use in tourism marketing and related programs.
Vancouver Island Conference Centre	The Vancouver Island Conference Centre (VICC) is a City-owned convention centre and event venue in Downtown Nanaimo. The VICC notes that it "strives to create a positive economic impact" and "stimulate growth for the City" by attracting convention delegates and event participants to Nanaimo from across North America. The VICC undertakes its marketing and promotion in collaboration with other tourism industry stakeholders.
Vancouver Island Economic Alliance ²	The Vancouver Island Economic Alliance (VIEA) promotes collaboration among communities, First Nations, businesses and other parties in the development of broad economic development programs that benefit the Vancouver Island region. The Alliance presents an annual "State of the Island Economic Summit", and produces the "State of the Island Economic Report". VIEA also undertakes a variety of other initiatives related to its priority areas. One initiative, called "Capturing Talent" brings together post-secondary institutions on the Island with the business community to develop opportunities for students who wish to stay in the region post-graduation. In 2018, VIEA succeeded in obtaining a Foreign Trade Zone Point designation for Vancouver Island (FTZ-VI). VIEA is the designated FTZ-VI champion, engaged in marketing the Island's export goods, attracting foreign trade and investment, and providing a streamlined, single-point of access to relevant federal government international trade programs. The FTZ-VI designation is expected to help expand and diversify the Island's advanced manufacturing sector, agri-food and
Vancouver Island North Film Commission	seafood industries, and the level of international trade. The Vancouver Island North Film Commission (INFilm) works to attract new business to Vancouver Island (north of Victoria) — specifically, businesses in the domestic and international film industries that are seeking locations for film, television and commercial productions. INFilm provides information on, and connects producers with, local crews, equipment, and services.

INTERIM REPORT



² Efforts to interview VIEA staff were not successful. The information provided here comes from materials posted on the VIEA website.



Agency	Role in Economic Development
	The organization also provides supports to production companies once in the region, including assistance in navigating permitting processes, and liaising with the public and media.
Vancouver Island University	Vancouver Island University (VIU) is a major Canadian post- secondary institution with close to 15,000 students. The University plays significant economic development roles in:
	 attracting students to the region from around the world creating a skilled workforce developing entrepreneurs through its MBA program helping to match students and employers through the Centre for Experiential Learning, which hosts the University's Co-operative Education, Internship, and Indigenous Internship Programs
	In 2018, VIU established the VIU Initiatives Trust, a for-profit enterprise that invests in and develops income-generating initiatives to benefit the University and the VIU Foundation. The Trust expects to be active as an investor and partner in property development, entrepreneurial ventures, First Nation economic partnerships, social innovation enterprises, and other business opportunities. Through its investment activities, the Trust will allow VIU to play an even larger role than at present in Nanaimo's economic development.
Young Professionals of Nanaimo	The Young Professionals of Nanaimo (YPN) is an organization, run by and for professionals under the age of 40, focused on helping its members develop professionally, and connect to one another and the broader community. One of the stated goals of the organization is to attract young professionals to the Nanaimo region; another is to help them stay in the region. Professional development programs, networking events, community involvement, and social networking are the group's four pillars.

INTERIM REPORT



JULY 2019 PAGE 12

SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVIDED

It is clear from the information presented in Figure 2.1, and the profile of the City's own function, that there are many agencies in Nanaimo performing different economic development services aimed at building prosperity in the community. Figure 2.2 provides a simple matrix to summarize "who does what", as well as the range of functions performed.

An effort has been made in Figure 2.2 to highlight the main services provided by each the different agencies. Several of the agencies' efforts may expand beyond the services identified here into other service areas from time to time — lines between services, and definitions of services, are not always clear or fixed. It is worth noting,



as well, that some agencies' efforts are targeted to businesses in specific industries (e.g., tech, tourism, etc.) rather than to businesses in the broader economy.

Figure 2.2 Summary Matrix

	Services								
Agency	Economic Reporting	Labour Force Development	Navigate City Hall Processes	Business Start- Up Support	Financing	Bus. Retention & Expansion	Business Attraction	Strategic Investments	Forum for Priority-Setting
City of Nanaimo	✓		✓			✓			
Multicultural Soc.		✓							
Coast Community					✓				
Community Fut.				✓	✓				
Innovation Island				✓					
MIBI							✓		✓
Nanaimo Chamber			✓			✓			
INFilm			✓				✓		
NHA							✓		
Nanaimo Airport	-						✓	✓	
Nanaimo Port							✓	✓	
Petroglyph (SFN)		✓		✓		✓		✓	
Regional District ³							✓		
Tourism VI				✓		✓	✓		
VICC							✓		
VIEA	✓	✓				✓	✓		✓
VIU / VIU Trust		✓						✓	
YPN		✓							

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT



³ In the southern part of the Regional District, the RDN focuses on Gabriola Island.



SECTION 3 CITY OF NANAIMO SERVICE SCOPE

The City of Nanaimo has expressed a desire to increase its level of support for economic development. The City wishes, specifically, to invest resources into a new economic development function, which would begin operations in 2020. A key purpose of the *Service Model Review* is to advise the City on the preferred service model, or structure, for the new function.

The structure for the function will be informed, to some degree, by the function's proposed scope of services. This chapter of the report speaks to the issue of scope.

GAPS AND OPPORTUNITIES

The City does not seek to duplicate or displace the efforts of the existing economic development agencies in Nanaimo. The City recognizes that, in many cases, existing agencies have the expertise, and have made the investments needed, to fulfill their respective mandates.

The City also recognizes, however, that there are certain service gaps in the economic development landscape, as well as opportunities for enhanced service provision. Not all of these gaps and opportunities are understood at this time; however, enough can be identified to suggest a starting scope for the City to consider.

Figure 3.1 identifies the gaps and opportunities that emerged from discussions with economic development agencies and community leaders, from the review of agencies presented in the previous section of the report, and from research conducted for the assignment on economic development services in other cities. Included in the figure are services already provided under the City's current (modest) function, Nanaimo Economic Development. These gaps, opportunities, and existing City services help to define a possible scope of service for the City's new function moving forward.

Figure 3.1 Possible Scope of Services

Gap/Opportunity	Detail
Economic Development Strategy	The lack of a current economic development strategy for Nanaimo is the most serious gap to address; similarly, the development of such a strategy represents the most important need going forward. The City has a significant role to play in addressing this need. The role will include:

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





Gap/Opportunity	Detail
	 leadership on the part of Council to initiate, guide, participate in, and ultimately endorse the strategy the provision of adequate funding for the process the engagement of community champions and economic development leaders from a broad range of interests (private sector, post-secondary sector, First Nations, public agencies, non-profit agencies, others) in the endeavour
Economic Reporting	The City's existing function is well-regarded in the economic development field for its economic reporting capacity. The City has access to many sources of data, and a sophisticated geographic information system database. The data and this tool enable the City to produce a range of Nanaimo-specific reports on economic activity, performance, trends, opportunities and needs.
Navigating City Hall	At present, the City and Chamber of Commerce provide advice and assistance to existing and prospective business that need to work with City departments to obtain permits, licenses, and other permissions or information. INFilm also provides assistance, specifically for film production companies. The City should be the primary agency responsible for providing this service; added capacity would enable the City to meet existing and future needs.
Contract Management	The City currently assigns management of the Tourism VI contract to the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department. Given the importance of tourism to the City's economic development, it may make more sense to transfer contract management of the existing contract, as well as any future service contracts, to the City's economic development function.
Policy Development	As the local government with regulatory authority for land use planning and development, and with responsibility for a broad range of municipal services, the City has an important role to play in formulating policies aimed at facilitating economic activity and investment. Input by Economic Development staff into policy discussions is needed to ensure that business and economic considerations are taken into account in all key decisions.
Coordination of Efforts	The various agencies and organizations profiled in Section 2 of the report all have important roles to play in the community's ongoing economic development, and all make positive contributions to the overall economic development effort. To some degree, however, the organizations function in isolation of one another, without a full appreciation of what each other does, or of how different agencies could collaborate. There is a clear sense, expressed by many of the leaders interviewed, that

INTERIM REPORT





Gap/Opportunity	Detail
	coordination of the organizations' priorities and efforts would benefit both the organizations themselves and the community.
	The development of a new economic development strategy would help to achieve a high degree of coordination by setting out agreed-upon priorities, and clearly identifying "who does what". Also important for coordination would be the creation of ongoing forums to bring together leaders for strategic discussions on opportunities and needs as part of the strategy implementation.
	The Mid-Island Business Initiative, created upon the dissolution of the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation, has helped in part to fill the need for an ongoing economic development forum. Other groups, such as the Vancouver Island Economic Alliance, have also brought groups together for strategic discussions. What is needed, however, is an all-inclusive venue, funded and supported by the broader community, to identify opportunities to pursue and needs to address within the context of an established strategy.
Business Attraction	The City's previous function, both under the NEDC and before the NEDC, focused on business retention and business expansion efforts. The service also, however, promoted and marketed Nanaimo to prospective businesses, and provided a single portal for these businesses to learn about the community and its opportunities. When the City dissolved the NEDC and reestablished a streamlined function in the Community Planning Department, business attraction efforts were largely discontinued, and the single portal disappeared. MIBI was established by a consortium of business and community leaders in an attempt to fill the gap.
	Business attraction programs are widely viewed as being less important than strong business retention and expansion efforts. Indeed, efforts aimed at retention and expansion actually help to create the environment needed to attract new business and investment to an area. This point notwithstanding, focused attraction programs do need to be developed as part of a full economic development approach.
	Business attraction efforts may fit well into the City's new function. Businesses that are interested in learning about opportunities in a place, obtaining trusted economic and market analyses, seeking information about local requirements, and looking to identify connection points with local resources, tend to turn first to the city government. The official economic development function of the local government, regardless of its

INTERIM REPORT





Gap/Opportunity	Detail
	structure, carries a certain degree of authority that an organization without ties to the local government may not be able to command.
Investment Fund	A number of agencies interviewed for the study identified the potential to invest in strategic initiatives and opportunities aimed at facilitating economic development in Nanaimo. Such initiatives would have broad community benefit, and would be linked to priorities identified in a new economic development strategy (e.g., transportation infrastructure, manufacturing capacity, technology incubators, tourism infrastructure, etc.). Economic investment funds in other centres are funded in large part by public resources, and are developed as special programs (often with their own governing body) within local government economic development functions.

INTERIM REPORT





SECTION 4 CITY OF NANAIMO SERVICE MODEL

The previous chapter of the report highlighted, in Figure 3.1, some specific services that the City may wish to include in any new economic development function. These services may not represent the full scope; they do, however, build on the elements and strengths of the City's existing function, while also addressing important gaps, needs, and opportunities in the economic development landscape. Based on discussions with existing agencies and leaders, there is support in the community for the City to include these services in its new function.

This chapter of the report focuses on the issue of structure, also referred to as service model. Four options are presented and assessed for the City's consideration.

SERVICE MODEL OPTIONS

A review of local government economic development functions across North America highlights three basic service models for the City to consider:

- In-House Model Under this model the City's new economic development function would be based in, and provided by, the City administration. This model is used by the City for its existing modest service; it was also used prior to 2011 when the City had an Economic Development and Tourism Department in place.
- City-Owned Corporation Model This model features the use of a separate, not-for-profit corporation, established and owned by the City, but with its own Board of Directors and staff.
- Service Contract Model In this model, the City would contract the
 operation of its economic development function to an independent agency.
 The City uses this model to deliver tourism services through Tourism VI
 under the terms of a five-year fee-for-service agreement.

Elements from two or more of the basic models may be combined to create a hybrid approach. Certain services in such a hybrid could be provided in-house by City staff in an economic development office or department. Other services could be provided outside of City Hall by a City-owned corporation, or by an independent organization contracted by the City. Hybrid approaches, featuring a combination of the in-house/corporation models, and a combination of the in-house/contract models, are presented as additional models for the City to consider.

CITY OF NANAIMO SERVICE MODEL SCENARIOS

This section presents five scenarios to illustrate how the different service models could be used to deliver the City of Nanaimo's new economic development function.

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





All scenarios envision the same service scope, which would include the specific services identified earlier in Chapter 3, Figure 3.1.

Scenario 1:

Economic Development Department

In this first scenario, the City would deliver the service through a new Economic Development Department. Figure 4.1 provides additional detail.

Figure 4.1 Economic Development Department Model

Key Elements	Description
Scope of Service	The service scope would consist of the items identified in Figure 3.1: - the Department would take the lead on developing, and would oversee the development of, a new Nanaimo economic development strategy; all key agencies and the broader community would be involved - staff would continue to undertake research and data analysis, as they do today, to produce economic reports - the Department would be the primary point of contact for new and prospective businesses on City policies, processes and requirements - the Department would manage all service contracts with external economic development and tourism agencies - staff in the Department would initiate and liaise with other departments and Council on the development of policies and programs to promote business and economic development - the Department would establish a broad-based economic development committee as a forum for priority setting and agency coordination, within the context of the strategy - the Department would oversee targeted marketing and promotion efforts to attract businesses; the Department would serve as the first point of contact for prospective businesses - the Department would oversee an investment fund
Service Funding	City Council would provide funding sufficient for the Department to provide its full scope of services, including starting capital for an investment fund. City funds would be leveraged, where possible, to obtain grants from other governments and foundations for specific initiatives.
Service Governance	City Council would govern the function, as it does all other City functions. Council would be assisted by an advisory committee (e.g., economic development committee noted earlier).
Staff	The Department would be headed by a senior manager (e.g., Director), who would have access to senior management and Council (through the City Manager). All other staff in the service would be City staff, attached

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





Key Elements	Description
	to the Department. A complement of three (3) to four (4) FTEs is envisioned.
Location	The Department would be located within City Hall.

Scenario 2:

Nanaimo Prosperity Corporation

In this scenario, the City would establish a separate, single-purpose corporation to deliver the City's economic development service. Figure 4.2 provides additional detail.

Figure 4.2
Nanaimo Prosperity Corporation Model

Key Elements	Description
Scope of Service	The service scope would consist of the Figure 3.1 items: - Council would assign to the Corporation responsibility for leading the development, through an inclusive process, of a new Nanaimo economic development strategy - staff in the Corporation would undertake research and data analysis to produce economic reports - the Corporation would be the primary point of contact for new and prospective businesses on City policies, processes and requirements - staff would liaise with City departments and Council to advocate for the development of policies and programs to promote business and economic development - the Corporation's Board would serve as a forum for collaboration and priority-setting; additional committees and forums would be established by the Board as warranted - the Corporation would undertake targeted marketing and promotion efforts to attract businesses; the Corporation would be the economic development "brand" for Nanaimo - the Corporation would oversee an investment fund established by the City Note that management of contracts between the City and external agencies (e.g., Tourism VI) would remain in-house with the City in the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department.
Service Funding	City Council would guarantee core funding for the Corporation to provide its full scope of services, including starting capital for an investment fund. The Corporation would be expected, however, to leverage City funding to raise additional funds from government, foundations, and the private sector. Economic development agencies

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





Key Elements	Description
	and other organizations in the community would be expected to contribute funds in the form of membership payments. These payments would be made in accordance with a schedule that differentiated among organizations based on size, ability-to-pay, and other factors.
Service Governance	The Corporation's Board of Directors would be the governing body. City Council would appoint all Directors, and would have the authority to remove Directors. The Board, however, would act with considerable autonomy from Council once appointed. Quarterly reports to Council would provide for accountability. Included on the Board of Directors would be one member of Council (e.g., Mayor) and one senior staff member (e.g., City Manager). Success factors in this model would include: — a strong governance structure that clearly set out roles and responsibilities — a skilled Board of Directors that understands its job — a Council and administration that respect the Board's autonomy ⁴
Staff	The Corporation would be headed by a Chief Executive Officer or Executive Director. Initially, four (4) FTEs, including the head, would comprise the full staffing complement (this number would be adjusted based on need). All staff would be employees of the Corporation.
Location	The Corporation would be located outside of City Hall.

Scenario 3:

Economic Development Service Contract

In this scenario, the City would contract the delivery of economic development to an independent, existing organization in Nanaimo. This scenario would be similar in approach to that which the City has taken with its tourism service, which is contracted to Tourism VI. Figure 4.3 provides additional detail.

Figure 4.3 Economic Development Service Contract Model

Key Elements	Description
Scope of Service	Through a fee-for-service contract, the City would assign responsibility to its contractor for the scope of services identified in Figure 3.1:

INTERIM REPORT



ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

⁴ These three features would be particularly important to put in place, given the difficulties experienced under the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation.



Key Elements	Description
	 Council would assign to the contractor responsibility for leading the development, through an inclusive process, of a new Nanaimo economic development strategy the contractor would commission and/or undertake research and data analysis to produce economic reports the contractor would be the primary point of contact for new and prospective businesses on City processes and requirements the contractor would liaise with City departments and Council to advocate for the development of policies and programs to promote business and economic development the contractor would convene forums, as necessary, for collaboration and priority-setting the contractor would undertake targeted marketing and promotion efforts to attract business; work would be conducted under a Nanaimo economic development brand the contractor would oversee an investment fund Management of contracts between the City and external agencies (e.g., Tourism VI) would remain in-house with the City in the Parks, Recreation and Culture Department.
Service Funding	City Council would provide core funding through a five-year contract for the contractor to provide the full scope of services. The contractor would be expected to raise additional funds from government, foundations, and the private sector for key initiatives.
Service Governance	Council would be the governing body for the function. Accountability to Council would be provided through the terms of the contract, which would include the requirement for quarterly performance reports.
Staff	The contractor would provide all staff for the service. The fee-for-service contract from the City would provide the funds for adequate staff, in keeping with FTE numbers in the other scenarios.
Location	The service would be located at the contractor's premises.

ECONOMIC Econo

INTERIM REPORT

DEVELOPMENT

SERVICE MODEL



JULY 2019 PAGE 22

Scenario 4:

Economic Development Hybrid Model (Corporation)

The hybrid model could be designed in one of two ways:

- as a model that divides the scope of service between an in-house department and a new economic development corporation
- as a model that divides the scope of service between an in-house department and an independent, contracted organization

Figure 4.4 addresses the first of these possibilities — that is, the combination of inhouse and corporation models.



Figure 4.4 Economic Development Hybrid Model (Corporation)

Key Elements	Description
Scope of Service	The scope of services identified in Figure 3.1 would be divided between a City department and a new City-owned corporation as follows:
	City Department
	 staff in the City would undertake research and data analysis to produce economic reports the Department would be the primary point of contact for new and prospective businesses on City policies, processes and requirements staff would initiate and liaise with other departments on the development of policies and programs to promote business and economic development staff would manage all service contracts with external economic development and tourism agencies
	· ·
	New Corporation
	 Council would assign to the Corporation responsibility for leading the development, through an inclusive process, of a new Nanaimo economic development strategy the Corporation's Board would serve as a forum for collaboration and priority-setting; additional committees and forums would be established by the Board as warranted the contractor would undertake targeted marketing and promotion efforts to attract business; the Corporation would be the "brand" for Nanaimo the Corporation would oversee an investment fund established by the City
Service Funding	City Council would provide core funding for the Department to provide its limited scope of services. Council would also guarantee core funding to the Corporation for its responsibilities. The Corporation would be expected to leverage City funding to raise additional funds from government, foundations, and the private sector. Economic development agencies and others in the community would be expected to contribute funds in the form of membership payments. These payments would be made in accordance with a schedule to reflect size, ability-to-pay, and other factors.
Service Governance	The Corporation's Board of Directors would be the governing body of the Corporation and its services. City Council would appoint all Directors, and would have the authority to remove Directors. The Board, however, would act with considerable autonomy from Council once appointed. Quarterly reports to Council would provide for accountability. Included on the Board of Directors would be one member of Council (e.g., Mayor)

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





Key Elements	Description
	and one senior staff member (e.g., City Manager). Council would be the governing body for the services provided in-house.
Staff	The City would provide staff for the in-house functions. A total of two (2) FTEs could be required for these responsibilities. The Corporation would provide staff to meet the assigned responsibilities. It is expected that three (3) FTEs would be needed to begin. Staff from the two service bodies would need to liaise regularly.
Location	City staff would be located within City Hall; the Corporation would have its own location outside of City Hall.

Scenario 5:

Economic Development Hybrid Model (Contractor)

Figure 4.5 outlines the model that divides responsibilities between an in-house function and an independent contract organization.

Figure 4.5
Economic Development Hybrid Model (Contractor)

Key Elements	Description
Scope of Service	The scope of services identified in Figure 3.1 would be divided between a City department and a new City-owned corporation as follows:
	City Department
	 staff in the City would undertake research and data analysis to produce economic reports the Department would be the primary point of contact for new and prospective businesses on City policies, processes and requirements staff would initiate and liaise with other departments on the development of policies and programs to promote business and economic development staff would manage all service contracts with external economic development and tourism agencies
	Contract Organization
	 Council would assign to the contractor responsibility for leading the development, through an inclusive process, of a new Nanaimo economic development strategy the contractor would convene forums, as necessary, for collaboration and priority-setting

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





Key Elements	Description
	 the contractor would undertake targeted marketing and promotion efforts to attract business; the contractor would be the "brand" for Nanaimo the contractor would oversee an investment fund established by the City
Service Funding	City Council would provide core funding for the Department to provide its limited scope of services. Council would also provide core funding through a five-year contract for the contractor to provide the full scope of services. The contractor would be expected to raise additional funds from government, foundations, and the private sector for key initiatives.
Service Governance	Council would be the governing body for the entire function. Accountability to Council for the contacted-out services would be provided through the terms of the contract, which would include the requirement for quarterly performance reports.
Staff	The City would provide staff for the in-house functions. A total of two (2) FTEs could be required for these responsibilities. The contractor would provide staff to meet the assigned responsibilities. It is expected that three (3) FTEs would be needed to begin. Staff from the two service bodies would need to liaise regularly.
Location	City staff would be located within City Hall; the contract organization would have its own location outside of City Hall.

ASSESSING THE SERVICE MODELS

Each of the five service model scenarios represents a legitimate option for the delivery of the City's new economic development function. Arguably, any one of the models could be developed in a way to meet the needs of the City and the community. The ultimate choice of preferred model, however, will be informed by a number of factors. Key factors are presented in Figure 4.6 as assessment criteria.

Figure 4.6
Assessment Criteria

Criteria	Explanation
Use in Similar Cities	One finding from the economic development literature is that there is no best practice or preferred model for cities the size of Nanaimo. The choice of model more typically reflects local circumstances and conditions. This finding notwithstanding, there may be value in knowing the popularity of different models in midsize Canadian cities (100,000 pop). ⁵

INTERIM REPORT



ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

⁵ Appendix II provides some examples of centres that use different models.



Criteria	Explanation
Accountability	City Council is the community's governing body with responsibility for setting broad economic goals for the community, and for using local tax dollars to fund the economic development function. The level of accountability to Council under each of the models is an important consideration. Mechanisms can be developed to improve the accountability of different options — reporting requirements, contracts, shareholder agreements are examples of such mechanisms. Some models, however, may be inherently more accountable — or perceived as such — than others.
Access to Decision- Makers	City Council and senior City managers make important decisions that have the potential to significantly impact — positively or negatively — the community's business environment, as well as Nanaimo's overall level of prosperity. It is important for leaders in the City's economic development function to have a high level of access to Council and senior management in order to ensure that economic development considerations are front and centre in decision-making. Mechanisms to promote access are possible to incorporate into all models. Certain models, however, may be perceived provide better access to decision-makers than others.
Profile	A high-profile for the economic development function may help to generate interest in local opportunities for both existing and prospective businesses. A high profile may also help to engage community leaders from all sectors in the development of an economic development strategy, in collaborative forums, and in other broad-based efforts to enhance the community's prosperity.
Cost-Effective	The proposed (initial) scope of service is the same under all of the models. The cost to deliver on the scope, however, will differ by model to some degree. In general, models that can embed the functions within an existing organization will be more cost effective than models that require a new organization to be established. Embedded functions can take advantage of existing support services and administrative economies of scale.
Ability to Leverage Funds	Each of the models relies on the City to pay core costs. Additional monies will be needed from the public and private sectors, however, to fund key initiatives.
Flexibility	Staff in economic development services must interact regularly with, and act on behalf of, private sector businesses across different industries and sectors. Interactions may be more positive and useful when staff are able to work outside of processes and workplace culture constraints that may govern work within public sector organizations, including cities.
Autonomy	Autonomy refers to the degree to which the function has authority to make its own decisions, within the context of a guiding strategy.

INTERIM REPORT





Criteria	Explanation
	The opposite of autonomy is control — that is, control over service decisions by Council.
Community Ownership	Economic development is a ultimately a community endeavour that requires, in addition to strong City leadership, the active involvement of existing economic development agencies, significant economic generators, the business sector, the post-secondary sector, social agencies, First Nations and all others who are committed to building community prosperity. Key parties need to be willing to invest in economic development efforts, and need to feel a sense of shared ownership in City's service model through which strategies and priorities are developed, and investments made.
Broad Support	The consultant's terms of reference for the assignment identified the need for the preferred service model to be supported by the community agencies and leaders interviewed for the study, as well as by the responses to the public survey.

Assessment Matrix

The assessment of the four service model options against the criteria is presented in Figure 4.7 using a set of symbols. Much of the scoring in the matrix is subjective in nature; as well, scores for each model are relative to those awarded to the others.

Figure 4.7
Assessment Matrix

Criteria	In-House	Corporate	Contract	In-House/ Corporate	In-House/ Contract
Use in Similar Cities	•	•	0	0	0
Accountability	•	0	0	0	0
Access to Decision-Makers	•	0	0	0	0
Profile	0	•	0	•	0
Cost-Effectiveness	•	0	0	0	0
Ability to Leverage Funds	0	•	0	•	0
Flexibility	Ο	•	•	0	0
Autonomy	Ο	•	0	0	0
Community Ownership	Ο	•	Ο	0	Ο
Broad Support	0	•	Ο	•	Ο

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





REVIEW WITH COUNCIL

On Monday, July 29, 2019, staff and the consultant will review this *Interim Report* with Council in a workshop setting. The purpose of the review is to obtain Council's input, and address Council's questions, on the service model options before the report is finalized and recommendations provided.

Following the review, a *Final Report* will be produced for submission to the City. The *Final Report* will include costing information to assist the City in its upcoming budget discussions for the *2020-2024 Financial Plan*.

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT





APPENDICES

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT



JULY 2019



APPENDIX I OPEN HOUSE POSTER BOARDS

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT



JULY 2019



OPEN HOUSE

Welcome to the City of Nanaimo's Open House on Economic Development Service Models. The event is an opportunity for the community to learn about the service models available to the City for its economic development function, and to provide input to the selection of a preferred model for the community.

Please spend some time reviewing the information provided here. Staff are available to answer any questions you may have. Please also complete the survey, which is available online at www.nanaimo.ca/goto/economicdevelopment.



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT — WHAT IS IT?



PROSPERITY

At a fundamental level, *economic development* can be described as the services provided, investments made, activities performed and efforts taken to increase the level of prosperity enjoyed by people in the community. Economic development is about generating wealth; it is also about providing opportunities for people of all backgrounds and socio-economic groups to participate in the economy and achieve a high quality of life. Prosperity and quality of life for all are necessary components of a healthy community.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

The starting point in economic development is the creation of a strategy. To be effective, economic development and the efforts of organizations engaged in it must be guided by a comprehensive community economic development strategy. The strategy needs to:

- ▶ set goals that are informed by, and in alignment with, the community's vision and strategic directions as expressed by City Council, as well as the community's broad development objectives identified in the official community plan and other strategic documents
- ▶ identify specific economic priorities (e.g., sectors to targets, investments to undertake) towards which resources will be directed
- be developed through an inclusive process in which leaders from business, First Nations, post-secondary institutions, non-profit groups, City government, and others (e.g., airport, port) actively participate
- be endorsed by governments (including First Nations), economic drivers and economic supporters
- > set out "who does what" in order to ensure that goals are met without unnecessarily duplicating efforts
- coordinate the efforts of all organizations that are active in providing facets of economic development

One of the first tasks facing the City will be the creation of a new economic development strategy for Nanaimo. The strategy will inform the scope of the City's economic development function, irrespective of the service model that is put in place.

RANGE OF SERVICES

For some, economic development is defined narrowly to emphasize services aimed at attracting new business and new investment to the community. Most observers, however, define economic development more broadly to encompass a range of services, including some or all of those listed in the chart below.

It is important to remember that the economic development strategy will determine which specific services are most important, and which agency should be responsible for doing what.

Potential Services Economic Development Function

- > research, data analysis & planning
- > support for start-ups & entrepreneurs
- > support for existing businesses
- > business succession planning
- > lending & other financial support
- > convening of roundtables & forums
- > advocacy aimed at effecting favourable policy, regulatory & other changes
- > identification of target sectors & opportunities
- > promotion of the community & economic opportunities to external target markets
- > coordination of groups' efforts
- > labour market analysis and development
- > direct investment into the economy to stimulate or facilitate economic activity

WHO DOES WHAT



COMMUNITY EFFORT

The City of Nanaimo is an important agency in economic development. The City's current economic development function has fewer staff and a narrower scope than in previous years, but continues to make strong contributions to the community. Research, data collection, and analysis are particular strengths of the current function, as are initiatives aimed at supporting business retention and expansion. The City also contracts **Tourism Vancouver Island** to provide services focused on generating tourism and supporting businesses in the tourism sector.

Apart from the City and the work of Tourism VI, there are many organizations involved in some facet of economic development in Nanaimo. The Vancouver Island Economic Alliance (VIEA) convenes forums on economic development, produces information, and oversees the creation of broad-based programs aimed at building capacity for economic growth. Other groups provide services designed to help people create new businesses, and to help existing businesses with the support they need to stay and grow in the community. Examples of these groups include (in alphabetical order):

- ► Central Vancouver Island Multicultural Society
- ► Community Futures Central Island
- ► Innovation Island
- ► Nanaimo Chamber of Commerce
- ▶ Nanaimo Young Professionals
- ► Petroglyph Development Corporation
- ▶ Tech Nanaimo

Others are involved in promoting Nanaimo and its opportunities in order to attract new business and investment to the community. Tourism VI, noted earlier, provides these services for tourism specifically, as does the Nanaimo Hospitality Association. INFilm is active in promoting the region to the film industry. An important, relatively recent addition to the list is the Mid-Island Business Initiative (MIBI), a privately-funded group with broad community support, focused on bringing in new companies.


Any discussion of economic development efforts in Nanaimo must highlight economic drivers that produce goods and services for sale in external markets. One example is **Vancouver Island University** (VIU); another is the **Vancouver Island Conference Centre** (VICC). Companies such as **Seaspan** and many others provide examples from the private sector. Finally, it is important to account for significant economic generators that help to set the stage for, and to facilitate, economic activity. These agencies include VIU, **Nanaimo Port Authority**, **Nanaimo Airport YCD**, Petroglyph Development Corporation, **Island Health**, and the City itself.

NEED FOR COORDINATION

The services and activities undertaken by these agencies (and others) are important to the economic development of the community. For maximum impact, however, and to avoid unnecessary duplication, the services need to be coordinated. The economic development strategy to be developed for Nanaimo will speak to, and help to achieve, the coordination needed.

GEOGRAPHIC SCALE

The current service model review is focused on the City of Nanaimo's economic development function. It is anticipated, however, that the model ultimately chosen for the City will align with and support existing and future efforts aimed at strengthening the broader, mid-Island economic region. Many of the agencies noted earlier are structured to take into account the needs of the broader region.



CHRONOLGY OF CITY'S ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUNCTION



CITY DEPARTMENT

- > Economic Development and Tourism Department in City Hall
- Delivered range of economic development services; managed service contracts with Tourism Nanaimo and Film Nanaimo
- > 5 full-time staff (3 in economic development; 2 in tourism)
- > \$1.1 million annual budget
- > In late 1990's, introduced an external *Economic Development Group* comprised of business and community leaders
- > EDG created strategy, identified key initiatives and priorities

NANAIMO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (NEDC)

- > Created by City in 2011 to deliver economic development and tourism services
- > Owned by City, but separate Board of Directors and dedicated staff
- > Located outside of City Hall
- > 4 full-time economic development staff, 5 full-time tourism staff, additional parttime/seasonal tourism employees
- > \$1.38 million annual budget

COMMUNITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT (CITY HALL)

- > Economic development part of Planning
- Provides research & analysis, some business retention & expansion, policy development
- > Tourism services contracted to Tourism Vancouver Island
- > 1 full-time economic development staff
- \$0.13 million economic development budget;
 \$0.65 million tourism

SERVICE MODEL REVIEW

- > City reviewing service model possibilities with community
- > Intends to implement model in 2020, and create economic development strategy



NANAIMO.CA

SERVICE MODELS




THREE MODELS

A review of local government economic development functions across North America highlights three basic service models to consider:

- ▶ In-House Model the local government economic development function is based in, and provided directly by, the city administration
- ► City-Owned Corporation Model economic development is provided through a separate corporation, established and owned by the local government, but with its own Board of Directors and staff
- ➤ Service Contract Model the delivery of the local government economic development function is contracted by the city to an independent agency

SPECTRUM

The key feature that distinguishes the three models from one another is the level of independence from the local government. The accompanying figure charts the models on an "independence spectrum". The In-House Model, which places economic development within the civic administration, sits on the left end of the spectrum as the least independent. The Contract Model, which is the most independent from local government, sits at the opposite end.



HYBRID APPROACHES

City governments may select a single model to deliver their economic development functions; however, in many cases, cities choose to deliver economic development through a hybrid approach that involves elements of more than one model. One city, for example, may choose to create an in-house economic development department to produce economic research and analysis, conduct business site visits, assist businesses in navigating municipal processes, and undertake other related activities. The same city may find it more effective, however, to create a city-owned corporation, or enter into a contract with a separate organization, to lead the creation of an economic development strategy, identify target sectors, initiate major projects, administer an investment fund, and/or promote the community in external markets.

IN-HOUSE MODEL



THE MODEL

In this model, the City's economic development function is embedded in, and is delivered directly by, the civic administration. City staff, either in a separate economic development office, or as part of another department, are involved in different types of activities, which may include efforts aimed at:

- supporting existing businesses
- facilitating business start-ups
- helping existing businesses or sectors to expand, and/or
- attracting new investment, both targeted and general

City staff in the function report through management to the chief administrative officer (CAO, or City Manager). Staff make recommendations to City Council on strategic priorities for the function, annual budgets, and economic development policies. Council is the governing body and ultimate decision-making authority for the function.

PROS & CONS

To some degree, "pros" and "cons" are subjective terms — a "pro" for one person may be considered a "con" by another. The points in the adjacent table, however, are attributed by most observers to the model.

WHERE IT IS USED

Nanaimo's current function is delivered inhouse by the City's Community Planning Department. The City also used the model from the function's inception until 2011 when it was replaced with the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation.

PROS CONS > stable funding & staffing > perceived by some as > strong connection to civic bureaucratic (unable to respond quickly to departments > strong connection to opportunities and needs) Council, and alignment to > low sense of ownership by Council priorities business community and other leaders > strong accountability to > unable — legally or taxpayers > high credibility in eyes of politically — to make some, including other certain investments or governments decisions

Most municipalities across the province deliver the function in-house — examples include the Cities of Richmond, Surrey, Prince George, Coquitlam and Campbell River. The Regional District Central Okanagan (Greater Kelowna) uses the model to deliver economic development for its members, as does the Cowichan Valley Regional District.

SUCCESS FACTORS

Past experiences in places that use, or that have used, the In-house Model show that it works best when certain success factors are in place, including:

- ► Senior Staff the function is headed by a senior staff member who forms part of, or has direct access to, the administration's senior leadership
- ► Clear Mandate the purpose, scope and goals of the group are well-defined and realistic (no economic development group can be expected to do everything)
- ▶ Proper Resourcing the function receives adequate, stable local government funding
- ► Leveraged Support additional funds to assist with specific activities, projects or investments are leveraged from others, including other governments
- ▶ Inclusive external advisory bodies are created and used to involve the business community, leaders of other agencies, and First Nation leaders in setting priorities and policies
- ► Connected & Coordinated the internal group has strong partnerships with other agencies in the community that are involved in economic development
- ► Transparent the function's priorities and annual workplan are public
- ▶ Clear Council Role Council sets priorities (with others' input), but is not involved in running the function

CITY-OWNED CORPORATION



THE MODEL

In this model, the City's economic development function is provided through a separate corporation, owned by the City but with its own Board of Directors and dedicated staff. The economic development corporation (EDC) is a non-profit entity, incorporated under either the *Business Corporations Act* or the *Societies Act* for the purpose of performing specific economic development activities. Typically, EDC's are assigned responsibility for creating an economic development strategy, complete with priorities and goals for the community. EDC's may also be involved in marketing and other business attraction efforts, in providing support to start-ups or existing businesses, in managing an investment fund, and/or in a range of other tasks.

An EDC is designed to operate at arm's-length to City Council and administration, with considerable decision-making and operational autonomy. It has its own Boards of Directors whose members, though appointed by Council, have a fiduciary duty to the corporation. Core funding to allow the corporation to fulfill its mandate is provided by the City. The corporation's Board is accountable to Council for the use of the funds.

PROS & CONS

The points in the adjacent table speak to some of the model's pros and cons.

WHERE IT IS USED

In 2011, the City of Nanaimo created the Nanaimo Economic Development Corporation (NEDC) to deliver the City's function. The NEDC was folded in 2016.

Other places in BC that use the model include the City of Kamloops (Venture Kamloops) and the City of Vancouver (Vancouver Economic

PROS CONS > not constrained by City's > takes effort to connect with administrative processes, City departments, since not systems, timelines part of City administration > designed to be insulated > not able to take advantage from political involvement of City's administrative > able to take certain economies of scale decisions and actions that > Board members need to the City may not be able to accept considerable > can attract (leverage) responsibility, and spend broad funding considerable time & energy > strong sense of ownership > perceived by some as less accountable than in-house by business and others

Commission). There are many examples of EDC's outside of BC, including Calgary, AB (Calgary Economic Development), Edmonton, AB (Edmonton Economic Development Corporation), London, ON (London EDC), Kingston, ON (Kingston EDC, Waterloo Region, ON (Waterloo EDC), Halifax, NS (Halifax Partnership), and others.

SUCCESS FACTORS

Success factors that are important for the City-Owned Corporation Model include the following:

- ▶ Autonomous the EDC has considerable autonomy; shareholder agreement specifies matters in which Council has role (e.g., appointment of Board, approval of significant expenditures)
- ▶ Inclusive leaders from business, post-secondary institutions, First Nations, labour, non-profits, public sector, and other major economic generators (e.g., airport, port) are represented on Board; others with important skill sets and/or affiliations may be included on the Board's advisory committees
- ▶ Senior City Presence the City is represented on the Board by senior people (e.g., Mayor, City Manager)
- ▶ Clear Mandate the EDC has a lead role to play in developing the economic development strategy for the community, and a clear but manageable set of other responsibilities
- ▶ Mix of Funding Sources the City provides sufficient and stable core funding; other funds for key projects and programs come from other governments, the private sector, and (possibly) membership fees
- ► Accountable quarterly/annual reports, performance metrics, shareholder agreements, and other measures are put in place to ensure accountability to Council (and taxpayers) for public funds
- ► Connected & Coordinated the EDC has strong connections to the City administration, and to all other groups in the community whose efforts are coordinated through the economic development strategy
- ▶ Transparent the EDC's strategies, priorities and workplans are public, as are all reports
- ▶ Dedicated Staff the EDC has its own staff who are focused solely on EDC functions

SERVICE CONTRACT



THE MODEL

In this model, delivery of the City's economic development function is contracted to an independent organization. The contractor operates with its own shareholders and Board of Directors, its own members, and its own staff. The specific scope of services and activities assigned to the contractor is set out in its contract with the City. Council approves the contract, and is involved in helping to set the strategy and priorities that guide the contractor's efforts. City staff manage the contract and serve as the organization's primary source of contact with the City over the term of service.

The annual cost set out in the contract reflects the scope of services and activities, and is paid by the City to the contractor. Accountability to Council (and municipal taxpayers) is achieved through quarterly and annual reports, performance metrics, and other measures. The contractor is able — indeed, may be expected — to leverage additional funds from other sources to pay for key initiatives.

PROS & CONS

The points in the adjacent table speak to some of the model's pros and cons.

WHERE IT IS USED

The model is used in some smaller communities, such as the Town of Osoyoos (Destination Osoyoos), City of Nelson (Nelson & District Chamber), Gabriola Island (Gabriola Island Chamber) and the District of Houston (Houston Chamber), to name only a few. Some larger cities also contract economic development to other parties — the City of

PROS	CONS
 not constrained by City's administrative processes or systems level/type of interaction with City prescribed in legal contract (no interference) able to take certain decisions and actions that the City may not be able to part of community, connected to other groups able to leverage funding 	 economic development function may not be the contractor's sole focus perceived as less transparent than other models perception that contractor (e.g., Chamber, BOT) exists, primarily, to promote interests of members takes effort to connect with City departments, since contractor not "at the table"

Burnaby (Burnaby Board of Trade) is a key example. The Comox Valley Economic Development Society is an example of a contract organization at a sub-regional level.

In 2017, the City of Nanaimo contracted tourism services, which were previously provided as part of the economic development function, to Tourism Vancouver Island.

SUCCESS FACTORS

Success factors that are important for the Service Contract Model include the following:

- ▶ Hands-Off the City sets out the scope of services in the contract, and manages the contract to ensure that performance targets are met and reports are received; the City does direct the contractor in its decision-making or activities
- ▶ Inclusive leaders from business, post-secondary, First Nations, non-profits, public sector, and others are engaged to set the community's economic strategy a strategy that, in turn, informs contractor's scope
- ▶ Clear Terms the contract has clear terms of service for which the contractor can be held accountable
- ▶ Multi-Year Contracts contract terms are long enough (e.g., 5 years) to provide stable funding and certainty of service provision, and to transcend political terms of office
- ▶ Leveraged Funding the City provides sufficient and stable core funding; the contractor is expected to leverage additional funding for initiatives
- ► Accountable quarterly/annual reports, performance metrics, and other measures are put in place to ensure accountability to Council (and taxpayers) for public funds
- ► Connected & Coordinated the contractor has strong connections to other groups in the community that are involved is some type of economic development activity

SELECTING A MODEL



WHICH IS BEST?

Each of the three models represents a legitimate vehicle for the delivery of some or all parts of the City's economic development function. Arguably, any one of the models could be developed in a way to meet the needs of the community. The choice of preferred model or hybrid approach, however, will be informed by a number of factors, such as:

- ▶ Scope of Function The preferred model may depend on the ultimate scope of the City's function. A broad scope, with business attraction efforts alongside research, data analysis, and support for existing businesses, may point to the need for a hybrid approach that combines elements of more than one model. (The City's scope, it is expected, will be informed by a new economic development strategy.)
- ▶ Level of Independence The level of independence from the City may be an important consideration, particularly for those who have been involved in past economic development efforts. For some of these people, the preferred model will be that which provides strong autonomy, including freedom from City processes and systems. For others, the strong connections to civic administration and Council that are associated with the In-House Model will trump autonomy.
- ► Cost The potential cost savings associated with the In-House Model (for a defined scope of activities) may influence the choice of model.
- ▶ Inclusiveness The need to meaningfully include a broad range of leaders and representatives in setting priorities, developing initiatives and guiding activities is a success factor for all models. The nature of inclusion, and the roles that leaders and representatives are able to play, however, will vary by model.
- ▶ Accountability & Transparency Mechanisms can be developed to incorporate a high level of accountability and transparency into all of the models. Some people, however, will feel that certain models are inherently more accountable and transparent than others.
- ▶ Support of Community The preferred model (or hybrid approach) is one that will be endorsed not only by the public, but also by leaders whose active participation in economic development is critical to Nanaimo's prosperity. These leaders represent the business community, post-secondary institutions, First Nations, non-profit groups, the public sector (including Council), and others (e.g., airport, port). Their perspectives and concerns are being sought through the current service model review.

YOUR INPUT

Your thoughts on these points and others are needed to help the City select the preferred economic development service model for Nanaimo. Please take a minute at www.nanaimo.ca/goto/economicdevelopment to complete a survey. The survey findings will be reported to Council and available online for the community to review.



APPENDIX II MODELS USED IN OTHER CENTRES

The three basic models — In-House Model, City-Owned Corporation Model, Service Contract Model — are used in different centres in British Columbia and across Canada. This appendix provides some examples of centres that use each type. Note that in certain places identified here under the Corporation and Contract Models, an in-house economic development function also exists to provide a limited scope of service. In these places, the in-house component tends to be modest in nature — the economic development "brand" is associated with the Corporation or contractor. Places with hybrid approaches are not identified separately in this appendix.

IN-HOUSE MODEL

Most municipalities across British Columbia deliver economic development through an in-house economic development office or department. Examples include the Cities of Richmond, Surrey, Prince George, Coquitlam and Campbell River. The Regional District Central Okanagan (Greater Kelowna) uses the model to deliver economic development for its members, as does the Cowichan Valley Regional District.

CITY-OWNED CORPORATION MODEL

Places in British Columbia that use the City-Owned Corporation Model include the City of Kamloops (Venture Kamloops) and the City of Vancouver (Vancouver Economic Commission). There are many examples of economic development corporations outside of BC, including Calgary, AB (Calgary Economic Development), Edmonton, AB (Edmonton Economic Development Corporation), London, ON (London EDC), Kingston, ON (Kingston EDC, Waterloo Region, ON (Waterloo EDC), Halifax, NS (Halifax Partnership), and others.

SERVICE CONTRACT MODEL

The model is used in a number of smaller communities, including the Town of Osoyoos (Destination Osoyoos), City of Nelson (Nelson & District Chamber), Gabriola Island (Gabriola Island Chamber) and the District of Houston (Houston Chamber), to name only a few. A few larger cities also contract economic development to other parties — the City of Burnaby (Burnaby Board of Trade) may be the best example. The Comox Valley Economic Development Society is an example of a contract organization at a sub-regional level.

ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE MODEL

INTERIM REPORT



JULY 2019

Governance and Priorities Committee – Agenda Planning

Upcoming GPC Dates								
July August September October November								
15	26	9	7	4	9			
29			28	25				

JUIY

Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30	31			

AUGUST

Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

SEPTEMBER

Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	·				

OCTOBER

Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

NOVEMBER

Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30

DECEMBER

Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

Council Meeting or Special Council Meeting

Committee of the Whole Meeting

Public Hearing (Special Council Meeting)

Governance and Priorities Committee Meeting

Finance and Audit Committee Meeting

Statutory Holiday

Governance and Priorities Committee – Agenda Planning

Agenda Topic	Strategic Theme	Meeting Date	Staff Member Responsible	
Emergency management	Livability	25-MAR-19	Karen Fry/Karen Lindsay	
CSR update	Governance Excellence	25-MAR-19	Sheila Gurrie	
Planning 101	Governance Excellence	8-APR-19	Dale Lindsay	
Committees & public engagement	Governance Excellence	8-APR-19	Sheila Gurrie	
Transportation	Livability	29-APR-19	Bill Sims	
Environment	Environmental Responsibility	13-MAY-19	Dale Lindsay/Bill Sims/Richard Harding	
Downtown economic health	Economic Health	15-JUL-19	Dale Lindsay	
Economic development session #2 Update on Downtown	Economic Health	29-JUL-19	Dale Lindsay	
OCP/Parks Master Plan/Active Transportation Plan	ALL	26-AUG-19	Multi Departmental (1 – 4 p.m.)	
Council Procedure Bylaw, Engagement and Agendas Committee	Governance Excellence	9-SEP-19	Sheila Gurrie	
Civic facilities – conditions, issues, plans and objectives	Livability	TBD	Richard Harding	
Sports venues & tourism strategies	Livability	TBD	Richard Harding	
Arts and culture	Livability	TBD	Richard Harding	
Capital planning process	Governance Excellence	TBD	Bill Sims, Richard Harding, Laura Mercer	
Storm water utility	Environmental Responsibility	TBD	Bill Sims	
MIABC (liability/insurance)	Governance Excellence	TBD	Sheila Gurrie	
Vancouver Island Regional Library Overview	Livability	TBD	External	